transcript produced by transcription service.

| 1 | <u>APPEARANCES</u> : |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: |
| 4 | George Meggali DOJ-Tax |
| 5 | 150 M Street NE |
| 5 | Washington, DC 20002 202-598-5969 |
| | |
| 7 | Amy L. Schwartz DOJ-Criminal |
| 8 | Criminal Division/OCGS 1301 New York Ave. NW Suite 750 |
| 10 | Washington, DC 20001 202-616-1489 |
| 11 | FOR THE DEFENDANT KELVIN GEORADE JACKSON: |
| 12 | Andrew J. Williams Attorney at Law |
| 13 | 1521 Green Oak Place Suite 140 |
| 14 | Kingwood, TX 77339 281-358-9111 |
| 15 | |
| 16 | FOR THE DEFENDANT ALFRED JACOBY GREEN: |
| 17 | J. A. (Joe) Salinas, III Attorney At Law |
| 18 | P. O. Box 941442 Houston, TX 77094 |
| 19 | 713-227-7700 |
| 20 | FOR THE DEFENDANT |
| 21 | DEANDRE MARQUEL FIZER: Trent Gaither |
| 22 | Law Office of Trent Gaither 6602 Westview Dr. |
| 23 | Houston, TX 77055 713-865-5600 |
| 24 | /13-003-3000 |
| 25 | |

| 1 | <u>APPEARANCES</u> : | (Continued) |
|----------|--|-----------------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | FOR THE DEFENDANT DENZEL CURTIS DWAYNE PINK: | |
| 4 | B A | Brett Podolsky Attorney at Law |
| 5 | S | 917 Franklin Street Suite 510 |
| 6 7 | | Mouston, TX 77002 713-227-0087 |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

| Case | 4:24-cr-00404 | Document 158 | Filed on 01/27/25 in TXSD | Page 4 of 196 |
|------|---------------|--------------|---------------------------|---------------|
|------|---------------|--------------|---------------------------|---------------|

| 1 | | | INDEX | | | |
|----|-------------------------------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|-----------------|
| 2 | | | INDEX | | | |
| 3 | WITNESS: | Direct | Cross | Redirect | Rec | ross |
| 4 | SHANE KRANTZ: | 1 [| | 7.5 | | |
| 5 | By Mr. Meggali: By Mr. Salinas: | • | 25 | 75 • | • | |
| 6 | By Mr. Podolsky: | • | 27 | • | 8 | 0 |
| 7 | RYAN WARE: By Ms. Schwartz: | | • | 112,1 | L25 . | |
| 8 | By Mr. Salinas: By Mr. Gaither: | | 103 113 | • | • | |
| 9 | JORDAN MEISTER-WI | | | | | |
| 10 | By Ms. Schwartz: By Mr. Gaither: | | 141 | 154 | • | |
| 11 | EXHIBITS: | | Маз | rked Off | fered | Received |
| 12 | GOVERNMENT'S: | | 1141 | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | 110001100 |
| 13 | Exhibit Number 1: | | | 89 | 89 | 89 , 132 |
| 14 | Exhibit Number 2A | A, B, C: | | 97 | 98 | 98 |
| 15 | Exhibit Number F1 Exhibit Number F2 | 2 : | | 133 | 133 133 | 133 134 |
| 16 | Exhibit Number F3 | 3: | | 138 | 140 | 140 |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | *** | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

HOUSTON, TEXAS; WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 11, 2024; 9:02 A.M. 1 2 THE BAILIFF: All rise. 3 THE CLERK: United States District Court for the 4 Southern District of Texas is now in session. The Honorable 5 Judge Richard Bennett presiding. 6 God bless these United States and this Honorable 7 Court. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. Be seated. 9 (Pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Good morning. This is United States 10 11 Magistrate Judge Richard Bennett here for the 9:00 a.m. docket this morning for several detention hearings. 12 The Court is first going to call the United States 13 versus Kelvin Jackson, Case Number 4:24-cr-0404, Defendant 14 15 Number 2. Will the parties announce their appearances, 16 please? 17 18 MS. SCHWARTZ: Amy Schwartz for the Government, 19 Your Honor, good morning. 20 THE COURT: Good morning. MR. WILLIAMS: Andrew Williams for Kelvin Jackson. 21 22 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Williams. 2.3 And, sir, would you please state your name? DEFENDANT JACKSON: Kelvin Jackson. 24 25 THE COURT: All right. Sir, we are here for a

```
detention hearing, but I have in my hand here a waiver of
1
    that detention hearing. Do you understand that you do have
 2
 3
   a right to a detention hearing, correct?
 4
             DEFENDANT JACKSON: Yes.
 5
             THE COURT: And I'm holding this waiver here.
 6
   you recognize this document?
7
             DEFENDANT JACKSON:
                                 Yes.
             THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorney?
8
 9
              DEFENDANT JACKSON:
                                  Yes.
10
              THE COURT: And did you sign this document?
              DEFENDANT JACKSON: Yes.
11
12
             THE COURT: Did he answer all of your questions?
13
             DEFENDANT JACKSON: Yeah.
14
              THE COURT: All right. By signing this document,
    then you are waiving your right to a detention hearing and
15
   based upon your answers and this document, then I find that
16
    you will remain in custody pending trial in this case, and
17
18
   order you are remanded to the custody of the Marshals until
   vour trial.
19
20
              Do you want to go ahead and do Arraignment?
21
             MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, we can do that.
22
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Jackson, have you received
23
   a copy of the Indictment pending against you?
24
              DEFENDANT JACKSON: Yes.
25
             THE COURT: And have you had a chance to discuss
```

```
that Indictment, along with the charges, the elements, and
 1
    the penalties with your attorney?
 2
 3
              DEFENDANT JACKSON: Yes, sir.
 4
              THE COURT: Are you ready to enter a plea to the
 5
    charges pending against you?
 6
              DEFENDANT JACKSON: Yes, sir.
 7
              THE COURT: And does Counsel waive formal reading
    of the Indictment?
 8
 9
              MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, we do, Judge.
10
              THE COURT: How do you plead, sir?
              DEFENDANT JACKSON: Not guilty.
11
12
              THE COURT: A plea of not guilty will be entered
13
    on the record in this case.
14
              Ms. Jones, do you have dates?
15
              MS. JONES: To do arraignment?
              MR. WILLIAMS: We might have. I don't remember.
16
17
    There is a scheduling order already.
18
              THE COURT: Okay. So, you have a copy of the
19
    scheduling order?
20
              MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, that has been
21
22
   entered in the record.
23
              Is there anything else we need to take care of at
24
    this time, Mr. Williams?
25
              MR. WILLIAMS: No, Your Honor.
```

| 1 | THE COURT: Government? |
|----|---|
| 2 | MS. SCHWARTZ: Nothing at this time, Your Honor |
| 3 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you. |
| 4 | MS. SCHWARTZ: as to this defendant. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Thank you. You're excused. |
| 6 | MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, there is one thing he did |
| 7 | want me to bring to your attention. He is not getting his |
| 8 | proper blood pressure medicine over at the FDC. His blood |
| 9 | pressure is bouncing up to 180 or 200 over 100. So, he |
| 10 | wanted me to bring that to the Court's attention. |
| 11 | THE COURT: All right. Will the Marshals look |
| 12 | into that, please? |
| 13 | THE MARSHAL: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. |
| 15 | I understand that Mr. Fizer and Mr. Pink intend to |
| 16 | go forward with their hearings; is that correct? |
| 17 | MR. GAITHER: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 18 | MR. PODOLSKY: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Salinas, what do you |
| 20 | want to do? |
| 21 | MR. SALINAS: Yes, we're going to go forward, Your |
| 22 | Honor. |
| 23 | MS. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor? |
| 24 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| 25 | MS. SCHWARTZ: I have one brief matter with |
| | |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

respect to Mr. Green and Mr. Fizer, which is that I would like to orally move the Court to unseal search warrants that had been issued in the course of their apprehension and the investigation of this case so that I may turn copies over to Counsel now for them to read in preparation for this hearing, and along those lines, potentially, if the other hearing went first, that would give them time to read the --THE COURT: Well, you know, what I do is we're going to do all -- I'm not going to do one by one by one. We're going to -- you have one witness for all three or --MS. SCHWARTZ: No, we have three witnesses. THE COURT: You have -- are they for each person, or are they for all of them? MS. SCHWARTZ: One witness for Defendant Pink, and then a witness for Green and a witness for Fizer, but all of the Fizer conduct is subsumed within Green as well. So, those two conceivably could go together. THE COURT: What I typically do is I'll have you put all your witnesses on and then I'll allow each attorney to cross-examine that witness with respect to their own client. That way, it's not -- it is not going to take multiple hours, if we do one by one by one. And then after they cross-examine, I'll allow you to redirect as to that client. And we're just here for detention. There's no probable cause.

1 MS. SCHWARTZ: Certainly. 2 THE COURT: So, I want all this to be limited to 3 detention. I understand that weight of the evidence is part 4 of that consideration, so. 5 MS. SCHWARTZ: But along those lines, I would like 6 to give Counsel the benefit of the warrants, and if the 7 Court will --8 THE COURT: Yes. We'll give you a few minutes. 9 MS. SCHWARTZ: -- orally give me permission to 10 give them the warrants that they do not at this point have. 11 THE COURT: Right. The Court will then grant your 12 oral motion to unseal those search warrants, and you can 13 turn them over to Counsel. 14 MS. SCHWARTZ: Thank you so much. 15 THE COURT: And are they long? 16 MS. SCHWARTZ: Some of them are longer than 17 others. The relevant part is not. I mean, there's always 18 the extra pages and paperwork and presentations. 19 THE COURT: Sure. 20 MS. SCHWARTZ: I think if the witness for Pink is 21 called first, that should provide the time. I mean, Counsel 22 can speak to their own ability to absorb the material, but I 23 think that should provide Counsel the time that they would

THE COURT: Go ahead and turn them over, and I'll

need.

24

```
give you a few minutes to review those before we start.
 1
 2
              MS. SCHWARTZ: There is -- in addition to that,
 3
    Your Honor, there is a warrant that was prepared for
    Defendant Green's telephone in connection with a Cincinnati
 4
 5
    arrest.
 6
              The case in Cincinnati is going to be dismissed
 7
   because that is part of the current case, but the Cincinnati
    Court did indicate that that was sealed. I have nonetheless
 8
 9
    received a copy through law enforcement. I have made
10
    efforts to get some kind of ruling from Cincinnati to permit
11
    unsealing.
12
              If the Court deems it appropriate, I can give that
13
   to Counsel as well. It's -- the relevant part is quite
14
    short, but technically it is sealed in Hamilton County,
    Cincinnati.
15
              THE COURT: And does it pertain to detention, or
16
   does it have facts pertaining to detention?
17
18
              MS. SCHWARTZ: It is -- it relates to an incident
    in which he was arrested in Cincinnati with 10 kilos, and
19
20
    that goes to the strength of the case.
21
              THE COURT: Right. Is that something you're going
22
    to discuss here?
23
              MS. SCHWARTZ:
                             Yes.
24
              THE COURT: Okay. Well, then --
```

MS. SCHWARTZ: I mean, if -- you know, if the

```
Constitution or federal law requires me to turn it over,
1
2
    then I can turn it over without feeling --
 3
              THE COURT: Just give them a copy to look at, not
 4
   to keep, and then, you know, after you looked at it, take
 5
   notes on it, or whatever, then return the copy.
 6
             MS. SCHWARTZ: That would be fine. Thank you,
7
   Your Honor.
8
             THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.
 9
             Mr. Salinas, take it -- take a look at that, and
10
    then when you're done, just return it back to the
11
    Government.
12
             MR. SALINAS: Yes, Your Honor.
13
             MS. SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
15
              THE COURT: And for the record, the Court does
    take judicial notice of all the Pretrial Services reports.
16
17
             MR. PODOLSKY: Your Honor, with regard to Pretrial
18
   services, I have a Pretrial Services Report from our last
19
    week's appearance, is that potentially the same as today?
20
              THE COURT: Which client is this?
21
             MR. PODOLSKY: Mr. Pink. I'm so sorry.
22
             THE COURT: Okay. Pretrials are the same?
23
             MS. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, (indiscernible).
24
             MR. PODOLSKY: Okay. Thank you. I just want to
25
   make sure I'm not missing --
```

```
THE COURT: That's the one dated August 28.
 1
    That's what I have.
 2
 3
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
 4
              MS. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, may I approach
 5
    Pretrial?
 6
              THE COURT: I'm sorry?
 7
              MS. SCHWARTZ: May I approach Pretrial?
              THE COURT: Yes, of course.
 8
 9
              Have you-all had enough time to look at things, or
10
    are you almost done?
11
              Mr. Salinas, do you need additional time?
12
              MR. SALINAS: No, sir.
13
              THE COURT: I'm sorry?
14
              MR. SALINAS: I believe we're ready, Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Podolsky?
              MR. PODOLSKY: Yeah, we got our search warrant --
16
17
              THE COURT: Okay.
18
              MR. PODOLSKY: -- Friday. So, I assume that this
19
    is the only search warrant.
20
              MR. MEGGALI: Yeah, that's the only search warrant
21
    that our witness reviewed and relied on.
22
              THE COURT: Okay.
23
              MR. PODOLSKY: Not necessarily the question, but
24
   my question was, is this the only search warrant that -- the
25
    one that was turned over on Friday, is this the only search
```

```
warrant related to Mr. Pink?
 1
 2
              MR. MEGGALI: Yes, that's the only search warrant
 3
    that was --
 4
              MR. PODOLSKY: Not just the only search warrant
 5
    that was given to us?
 6
              MR. MEGGALI: Yes, that's the only one that was
 7
    (indiscernible).
 8
              MR. PODOLSKY: Okay.
 9
              THE COURT: All right. And, Mr. Gaither?
10
              MR. GAITHER: We are ready, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. Government, are you ready
11
12
    to proceed?
13
              MS. SCHWARTZ: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: And who -- what's the basis for the
14
15
   request for detention?
              MS. SCHWARTZ: I believe we're going to deal with
16
   Defendant Pink first. Is that correct?
17
18
              MR. MEGGALI: Yeah, the Government is ready to
   move forward with Defendant Pink.
19
20
              THE COURT: But what -- under what provision under
21
    the Bail Reform Act are you moving -- requesting detention?
22
             MR. MEGGALI: I will get you the sections in a
   moment. My apologies, Your Honor. 3142(f)(1)(C), and we
23
24
   assert that this is a presumption case under 18 U.S.C.
25
    3142(e)(3).
```

| 1 | THE COURT: Okay. You may proceed and call your |
|----|--|
| 2 | first witness. |
| 3 | MR. MEGGALI: Government calls HPD Detective Shane |
| 4 | Krantz. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Sorry. What was the name? |
| 6 | MR. MEGGALI: Shane Krantz. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Krantz? |
| 8 | MR. MEGGALI: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. |
| 10 | SHANE KRANTZ, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN |
| 11 | (Government's witness, Shane Krantz, takes the stand.) |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I do. |
| 13 | THE CLERK: Okay. You may proceed. |
| 14 | MR. MEGGALI: May I proceed, Your Honor? |
| 15 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| 16 | DIRECT EXAMINATION OF SHANE KRANTZ |
| 17 | BY MR. MEGGALI: |
| 18 | Q Good morning. Please state and spell your name. |
| 19 | A Shane Krantz, S-H-A-N-E, K-R-A-N-T-Z. |
| 20 | Q Where are you employed? |
| 21 | A I'm a detective with the Houston Police Department. |
| 22 | Q What is your position at the HPD? |
| 23 | A I'm a detective with the major offenders division, and |
| 24 | I've been in the department approximately 12 years. |
| 25 | Q Have you become familiar with a criminal matter |
| | |

- 1 || involving Denzel Pink?
- 2 A Yes, sir, I have.
- $3 \parallel Q$ Are you a case agent on his case?
- $4 \parallel A$ No, sir, I'm not.
- $5 \parallel Q$ And after speaking with case agents and reviewing
- 6 | relevant documents, have you become familiar with the
- 7 | Defendant, Denzel Pink?
- $8 \parallel A$ Yes, I have.
- 9 | Q And do these documents include a search warrant, an HPD
- 10 | report, and an interview report?
- 11 ||A| Yes, they do.
- 12 | Q And have you participated in any surveillance of Pink's
- 13 | home?
- 14 | A Yes, sir, I did. The night before the search warrant,
- 15 | an arrest occurred. I conducted surveillance overnight.
- 16 | Q Now, have you also become familiar with the Defendant's
- 17 | appearance after reviewing DMV records?
- 18 |A| Yes, sir, I have.
- 19 \parallel Q Do you see the Defendant in the Courtroom here today?
- 20 | A Yes, sir, I do.
- 21 | Q Could you identify him by where he's sitting and what
- 22 | he's wearing?
- 23 | A He's sitting just to my right of his attorney with a
- 24 | green shirt and braids.
- 25 MR. MEGGALI: Your Honor, may the record reflect

- 1 | that the witness has identified the defendant?
- THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
- 3 BY MR. MEGGALI:
- 4 | Q Now, Detective Krantz, are you aware of a wiretapped
- 5 ||conversation between the Defendant and Alfred Jacoby Green
- 6 on March 11, 2024?
- 7 | A Yes, sir, I was.
- $B \parallel Q$ And who is Alfred Jacoby Green?
- 9 A Alfred Jacoby Green is a suspected drug supplier.
- 10 | Q Did you review an excerpt of the line sheets between
- 11 | them two that day?
- 12 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 13 | Q And did the wiretap monitors determine that it was Pink
- 14 | talking to Green based off of subscriber records?
- 15 | A Yes, sir, that's correct.
- 16 \parallel Q And on that call, what if anything, did Pink ask of
- 17 || Green?
- 18 | A Pink requested three to four, four ways, which I know
- 19 | is kind of slang for cocaine, powder cocaine. So, roughly
- 20 | four ounces of cocaine.
- 21 | Q And would the total that he ordered equal four ounces,
- 22 ||or would one four way equal four ounces?
- 23 A One four way equals four ounces.
- 24 \parallel Q And how many four ways did you say he ordered?
- 25 A He was trying to get four total.

- 1 | Q And you know that based off of your training and 2 | experience?
- $3 \parallel A$ Yes, sir, I do.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 4 Q And right after that call, did law enforcement maintain 5 any surveillance on Green?
- A Yes, sir, they did. There was a pole camera on the -over Pink's house, and officers conducted physical
- 8 | surveillance of the location that day.
- 9 Q And where did law enforcement that surveilled Green 10 have gone?
 - A So, Green traveled to 6103 Sonoma Way, which is Pink's address, and they observed a couple transactions consistent with dope transactions. So, the first transaction where Defendant Green pulled up to the house, Defendant Pink walks out to his vehicle, gets inside his vehicle, a transaction occurs inside, Pink exits the vehicle, and as he's wearing a -- kind of a little satchel on his chest, and officers observed him like moving around, putting kind of something inside of it.

Defendant Green leaves and Pink goes back to his vehicle, which is parked in front of the house and sits in there for a few minutes before going inside.

There was another transaction that occurred after that, where a white SUV pulls up, and another transaction consistent with that occurs, where Pink goes inside the

1 | vehicle for a few minutes and then that vehicle leaves.

2 The third transaction occurred with a white Dodge

3 | Charger that pulls in front of the house. Defendant Pink

4 | walks out to it to the passenger window. The dome light

5 | comes on --

MR. PODOLSKY: Objection, narrative, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled but just ask questions as

8 ||well.

6

- 9 BY MR. MEGGALI:
- 10 \parallel Q We could pause right there for now.
- 11 || A Okay.
- 12 | Q And by referring to Pink's home, is that 6103 Sonoma
- 13 | that you mentioned before?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 || Q And is that where you maintained surveillance before
- 16 | his arrest on August 28?
- 17 $\|A\|$ Yes, sir, it was.
- 18 $\|Q\|$ Now, you mentioned the white Dodge. Could you
- 19 | elaborate on any surveillance that law enforcement might
- 20 | have maintained on that Dodge?
- 21 | A Yes. So, that white Dodge pulls up and a dome light
- 22 | comes on inside because it was dark at the time. Dome light
- 23 comes on, officers can see a transaction is made through the
- 24 | window of that white Dodge, and Pink goes back to his
- 25 | vehicle after this again, and then that Dodge leaves the

1 || location.

2

- Q Did law enforcement encounter the driver of that Dodge at any point?
- 4 A Yes, sir. They conducted surveillance in unmarked
- 5 vehicles following that vehicle. Officers from the South
- 6 Gessner Central Crime Suppression Team conducted a traffic
- 7 | stop after observing -- the undercover officers observed a
- 8 | failure to lane -- use a turn signal, and then the officers
- 9 | in the marked patrol unit observed the vehicle failed the
- 10 | turn in the first available lane of traffic while turning on
- 11 | the Fuqua from Chimney Rock.
- 12 \mathbb{Q} And after making these observations, did law
- 13 enforcement make a traffic stop?
- 14 A Yes, sir, they did. They conducted a traffic stop in a
- 15 marked patrol vehicle. They made contact with the driver,
- 16 whose name was Edward Turner, nickname was ET.
- 17 Upon making contact, they could smell a strong odor of
- 18 | marijuana coming from inside the vehicle. They asked
- 19 Mr. Turner about, you know, if he had smoked marijuana that
- 20 day. He admitted that he had shortly there before as well
- 21 as the passenger.
- 22 At that time, both of them were detained, the driver
- 23 | and the passenger. A narcotics dog was brought to the
- 24 | location and received a positive hit, indicating there was
- 25 | narcotics inside the vehicle.

Officers conducted a probable cause search of the vehicle and found a small baggie of cocaine underneath the seat that weighed approximately like 0.2 grams.

Defendant Turner was arrested and questioned after that, where he admitted to buying narcotics from D-Boy, which was referred to as Denzel Pink.

- Q And how do we know that that was cocaine recovered in the baggie? Was it tested by a lab?
- 9 $\|$ A Yes, sir. It was tested by a lab.

1

2

3

4

5

6

- 10 Q Now, was Turner shown any pictures of Pink when asked 11 who D-Boy was?
- 12 A Yeah. I believe the officers that were interviewing
 13 showed him a picture of a driver's license photo or a mug
 14 shot of Defendant Pink, and he positively identified him as
 15 the person known as D-Boy that he purchased the cocaine
 16 from.
- 17 | Q Was he asked for any phone numbers?
- 18 A Yes. He did provide a phone number to officers, and
 19 they informed me that was the same number that was on -20 that they had for Defendant Pink on the wire.
- 21 | Q And did it match the number on the line sheet from 22 | March 11?
- 23 |A| Yes, sir, it did.
- 24 Q Now, moving forward to August 28, 2024, can you walk us 25 through your surveillance efforts?

A We were -- my task was to conduct surveillance prior to the arrest/search warrant of the property. I had asked the case agent, you know, a little bit about Defendant Pink, and what kind of -- I might expect during my surveillance. He said that Defendant Pink is a drug dealer, and I'd likely observe drug transactions that occurred during my surveillance.

Our surveillance started approximately 10:00 p.m. the night before August 28th. At approximately 11:00 p.m., I observed a van pull up to Defendant Pink's house. Defendant Pink walked out to that van, made some type of transaction through the passenger or -- sorry, he climbed inside the van for a short period of time where that's consistent in my training and experience with a drug transaction.

Less than a minute or two later, he got out of his vehicle, that van drove away, and then Defendant Pink went to his blue sedan that was parked right in front of his house, and sat there for a few minutes, and then went inside.

- Q And just to clarify, unlike the observations that were relayed to you by other law enforcement officers from March 11th, were these observations you personally made?
- A Yes, sir. They were.

24 Q And did you speak with any case agents or arresting 25 officers?

| 1 | A Yes, sir, I did. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q Did you learn whether the Defendant surrendered |
| 3 | immediately? |
| 4 | A No, he did not. It took some time for him to get in |
| 5 | custody. They make were making contact with him, I |
| 6 | believe, through the door to the house, they could hear him, |
| 7 | but he took a long time to surrender to officers. |
| 8 | Q And when agents finally arrested him, did they conduct |
| 9 | a protective sweep? |
| 10 | A Yes, sir, they did. |
| 11 | THE COURT: Let me back up. |
| 12 | What do you mean it took a long time? Did he just |
| 13 | not answer the door? What was that? |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: No. They were communicating with |
| 15 | him through the door, and I think his family members through |
| 16 | the door, and it was several minutes. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Before anyone opened the door? |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Correct. Before he appeared, yes. |
| 19 | THE COURT: He opened the door and appeared? |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: He did not open the door. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Somebody opened the door and appeared? |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Okay. You didn't have to break down |
| 24 | the door or anything, right? |

THE WITNESS: I don't believe the door -- that,

```
1 | I'm not sure.
```

- 2 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.
- 3 Go ahead.
- 4 | BY MR. MEGGALI:
- $5 \parallel Q$ And just to clarify, when he was finally arrested, your
- 6 | shift of surveillance was already over by then. Is that
- 7 || right?
- 8 || A Correct. It was.
- 9 $\|Q\|$ And so, this was just what was relayed to you by case
- 10 | agents or arresting officers?
- 11 | A That's correct.
- 12 | Q And just to ask again, did they conduct a protective
- 13 | sweep of his home when they arrested him?
- 14 | A Yes, sir, they did.
- 15 $\|Q\|$ What did they find, if anything, in plain view?
- 16 | A There was a pistol in the Defendant's bedroom that was
- 17 | found in plain view, as well as some narcotics as well in
- 18 the Defendant's bedroom.
- 19 0 Did law enforcement then execute a search warrant of
- 20 | his home?
- 21 A Yes, they did. Shortly that same day, officers, I
- 22 | think, held the scene to make sure nobody would come and go.
- 23 | They executed a search warrant shortly thereafter.
- 24 \parallel Q And what in total did they recover from his home that
- 25 | day?

```
There were four firearms found in the home. Two were
1
 2
    found in the Defendant's bedroom, which was a pistol, and
 3
    there was a shotgun in the closet. And then there was two
   pistols that were found in the kitchen on top of the
 4
 5
    cabinets. So, you'd have to climb on top of the
   countertops, and above that space on the cabinets, there was
 6
   two found. Those were both reported stolen. As well as
7
   approximately 220 grams of powder cocaine that were found
 9
   between the Defendant's vehicle and the Defendant's bedroom,
10
   as well as 97 grams of crack cocaine that were found.
         But the -- is it the case that these drug amounts are
11
12
    just suspected for now?
13
        Correct. Yes, it's suspected narcotics. Yes.
14
              MR. MEGGALI: No further questions, Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
              I assume that this is -- since this is just
16
   dealing with Mr. Pink that --
17
18
              Mr. Salinas, do you have any questions of this
   officer?
19
20
              MR. SALINAS: Just briefly, Your Honor.
21
                  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF SHANE KRANTZ
22
   BY MR. SALINAS:
23
        My name is Joe Salinas, and I represent Alfred Green.
24
   And, I guess, you had testified that there was a telephone
25
    call between Mr. Green and Mr. Pink. Is that correct?
```

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 | Q Okay. And you had surveillance where Mr. Green pulled
- 3 | up to Pink's house, correct?
- 4 | A That's correct.
- $5 \parallel Q$ Okay. And tell me again what it is you saw when
- 6 Mr. Green pulled up to Pink's house?
- $7 \parallel A \parallel I$ did not see anything personally. This information
- $8 \parallel$ was relayed to me by the officers on scene or was from the
- 9 | reports that I read.
- 10 $\|Q\|$ So, was it surveillance from the officer itself, or was
- 11 ||it the pole camera that --
- 12 | A Both.
- 13 || Q Both. There was surveillance from --
- 14 \parallel A There was physical surveillance according to the
- 15 | report. Yes, sir.
- 16 | Q And the pole camera?
- 17 $\|A\|$ Yes, sir.
- 18 ||Q| And what date was that?
- 19 A That was on March 11th of 2024.
- 20 MR. SALINAS: Nothing further, Your Honor.
- 21 | THE COURT: Thank you.
- 22 Mr. Gaither, do you have any questions?
- 23 MR. GAITHER: I do not.
- 24 | THE COURT: All right. Mr. Podolsky?
- MR. PODOLSKY: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF SHANE KRANTZ

- 2 BY MR. PODOLSKY:
- 3 | Q Good morning. My name is Brett Podolsky. I'm
- 4 | Mr. Pink's attorney. I'm going to ask you some questions.
- $5 \parallel \text{If you don't understand a question, please stop me and ask}$
- 6 | me to rephrase or let me know that you're not sure what I'm
- 7 | asking. Okay?
- 8 | A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q Okay. So, it's Detective Krantz?
- 10 $\|A\|$ Yes, sir.
- 11 || Q Okay. All right. So, you were involved, it sounds
- 12 | like somewhat briefly in this whole investigation, correct?
- 13 | A That's correct.
- 14 ||Q| Just one day, is that right?
- 15 | A That's correct.
- 16 | Q All right. And you were -- you were doing surveillance
- 17 | of my client's home, and you testified as Sonora Way?
- 18 | A 6103, yes, sir.
- 19 || Q 6103 Sonora Way?
- 20 A Sonoma Way. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q Sonoma?
- 22 A I believe it's Sonoma. Yes, sir.
- 23 | Q Sonoma. Okay. All right. And that was -- how long
- 24 | were you conducting surveillance?
- 25 | A We got there approximately at 10:00 p.m. the night

- 1 | before, and we conducted surveillance until 4:00 a.m. where
- 2 | we were relieved by officers. I think it was the Southwest
- 3 | Crime Suppression Team that conducted surveillance from four
- 4 | until the search warrant, and they were -- they helped out
- 5 || with the outer perimeter, I think, for the SWAT officers
- 6 that conducted the arrest warrant.
- $7 \parallel Q$ All right. And where were you when you were conducting
- 8 | the surveillance?
- 9 A We were parked down the street, approximately five
- 10 | houses down from the Defendant's house with binoculars.
- 11 || Q So, I mean, 250 feet, 350 feet?
- 12 A Somewhere in there. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q Okay. All right. And in an unmarked vehicle?
- 14 | A Unmarked vehicle, and plain clothes. Yes, sir.
- 15 ||Q Plain clothes. All right.
- So, when you conduct surveillance, what tools are you
- 17 ||using?
- 18 | A Primarily for that, just my binoculars. We had a
- 19 | radio, and then for vehicles that came specifically that the
- 20 | transaction that I observed, possible narcotics transaction,
- 21 | we relayed the plate over to one of the case agents, and
- 22 | they were also monitoring the location on the pole camera
- 23 | for that transaction.
- 24 | Q Okay. Did you have any recording equipment, cameras,
- 25 | video cameras, still phone or photo cameras, dash cam, body

cam?

- $2 \parallel A$ No, sir.
- 3 Q Is that -- did you intentionally decide not to make any
- 4 | recordings from your surveillance?
- 5 | A We weren't -- I'm not issued any, you know, technical
- 6 | surveillance equipment. You know, I use my binoculars, and
- 7 | then, I believe the pole camera could be recorded, but I'm
- 8 | not positive on that.
- 9 \mathbb{Q} So, you don't know if the pole camera was recording?
- 10 | A I believe it was, but, again, I'm not sure. I'm not
- 11 | the case agent over that case that has access to the pole
- 12 | camera. I was relaying this to one of the case agents who
- 13 | monitors that.
- 14 ||Q So, are you relying in real time is what you're --
- 15 | you're standing there holding binoculars?
- 16 | A Correct.
- 17 \mathbb{Q} But, no -- but so which is pretty conspicuous, right?
- 18 \parallel A During the time at 11 o'clock, not necessarily --
- 19 | Q It's a yes or no?
- 20 $\|A\|$ No, sir. Not, in my opinion, no.
- 21 | Q So, it's sitting in a car, staring at home holding
- 22 | binoculars to your eyeballs is not conspicuous? Is it
- 23 | because they can't see inside the car?
- 24 \parallel A Partially, we did have tint on the windows, and we had
- 25 | the seats pulled back, so it would have been somewhat

- difficult. There was no traffic on the streets during that time, no people walking.
- 3 Q So, you weren't worried about getting seen, like 4 monitoring or recording or anything like that?
- 5 A Of course, and that's why we were in plain clothes, but
- 6 I believe that we were conspicuous enough to not be observed
- 7 | by anybody else.
- 8 Q Okay. So, you could have certainly easily --
- 9 | binoculars, you could have easily taken a photograph with a
- 10 | camera, and because you weren't worried about being observed
- 11 | doing that either, right?
- 12 | A I could have, but I was on real time, on the phone with
- 13 one of the case agents that had access to the pole camera
- 14 | who was also monitoring as well.
- 15 | Q Monitoring how?
- 16 | A With the pole camera.
- 17 | Q Okay. So, this -- so there is recordings going on?
- 18 ||A It should be, but again, I don't have access to that,
- 19 | to provide that or know the details of how that works.
- 20 Q Where was the pole camera?
- 21 A I believe it was directly in front of the Defendant's
- 22 | house.
- 23 ||Q So, would it have recorded the area where these alleged
- 24 | transactions were said to have occurred?
- 25 | A Yes.

- Q Okay. And those -- that was recording -- that pole camera was active during the time this was supposed to have
- 4 A Yes, sir.

happened?

5 | Q Okay.

- 6 A It was active. Like I said, I can't confirm if it was 7 recording or not, but it was active. I can tell you that.
- 8 \parallel Q But the pole cam is recording, what, 24/7?
- 9 A Like I said, this pole camera, I'm not -- I don't have
 10 access to --
- THE COURT: All right. Let's move along. He has already answered, he's not sure. It was supposed to be active. He is not sure if it was recording or not.
- THE WITNESS: I know it was active and was visible
 to the officer that I was talking to. Whether it's
 recording, I can't tell you that information. You have to
 ask that officer.
- 18 BY MR. PODOLSKY:
- 19 Q With pole cameras, oftentimes, you will go back and 20 look at that footage. I mean, you've done that before?
- 21 A I have seen pole camera footage in the past, but, no, I
 22 haven't installed the pole camera, or --
- 23 ||Q| That wasn't my question.
- My question was, you have gone back and looked at recordings from a pole camera that was installed to surveil

1 ||--

- 2 | A In other cases. However, this is a federal pole
- 3 | camera, I believe, so, I don't -- I don't know their process
- 4 | and all that, but for HPD ones, yes.
- $5 \parallel Q$ Now, in your -- you were relating a lot of information
- 6 | based on what you've read and what other people have told
- 7 | you today, right?
- 8 | A Correct.
- 9 $\|Q\|$ Now, did you not -- did you testify to what information
- 10 | that was recovered from pole cam footage? I heard you were
- 11 | talking about the pole camera conducting surveillance,
- 12 || right?
- 13 | A Correct.
- 14 | Q All right. And that -- and officers will go back and
- 15 | review that camera footage, right? That's pretty standard.
- 16 A In HPD, it is. As far as the federal side, I'm not
- 17 || sure.
- 18 | Q But they just --
- 19 A But I'm sure -- I'm sure it is, but I'm -- I can't give
- 20 | you a confirmation on that.
- 21 | Q Okay. All right. But you have no idea whether anybody
- 22 | has reviewed any pole camera footage in this case?
- 23 | A I did not specifically ask the case agents about that,
- 24 || no.
- 25 Q Okay. All right. Now, and as I understand, you took

- 1 | no photographs, did no recordings of anything that you saw
- 2 | from, I believe, 10:00 p.m. to 4:00 a.m.?
- 3 || A Correct.
- 4 | Q And that was by choice?
- 5 | A Correct.
- 6 Q All right.
- 7 | A I didn't have any equipment to do that. No, sir.
- 8 \parallel Q Okay. The binoculars were issued by HPD or the feds?
- 9 A No, sir. They're my personal binoculars.
- 10 | Q Do you own a camera that records?
- 11 | A Besides my cell phone, I don't have a -- like a
- 12 | surveillance type camera. No, sir.
- 13 | Q Does your cell phone record?
- 14 \parallel A Yes, sir, it does.
- 15 Q Does it take still photos?
- 16 $\|A\|$ Yes, sir, it does.
- 17 ||Q| Did you have it on you that day?
- 18 | A Yes, sir.
- 19 || Q Okay. Now, there was a white Dodge that you said
- 20 | pulled up. Is that right?
- 21 ||A Yes, sir.
- 22 | Q And is that the same white Dodge that HPD later
- 23 | conducted a traffic stop?
- 24 | A Yes, sir.
- 25 \mathbb{Q} And you said that, and correct me if I'm wrong here,

- 1 | that you saw what you thought was behavior consistent with a
- 2 | drug transaction with regard to that white Dodge?
- 3 | A Sir, I didn't see it. I read from -- based on the
- 4 | interviews I had with case agents, and then referring to the
- 5 | reports, they -- sorry, they saw --
- 6 | Q Okay.
- $7 \parallel A --$ what they believe.
- 8 Q And this is, was this -- who did you talk to regarding
- 9 | this traffic stop of this white Dodge?
- 10 || A I talked --
- 11 || Q And was it a -- was it a Dodge Charger?
- 12 | A Yes, sir, it was.
- 13 | Q All right. Who did you speak with?
- 14 | A I spoke with Detective Anders (phonetic), who is one of
- 15 | the case agents on this. Or it's Officer Anders, sorry, not
- 16 | Detective Anders.
- 17 | Q Okay. And who else? And specifically talking about
- 18 | this Dodge Charger incident?
- 19 A That is the only one I talked to.
- 20 Q So, Officer Anders?
- 21 ||A Yes, sir.
- 22 Q Okay. And did you review any reports?
- 23 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 24 \parallel Q Okay. Which report was that? Was it more than one or
- 25 || just one?

- 1 A There's multiple reports. I think there's some on the 2 federal side, and then some on HPD side.
- 3 Q And just -- I'm being specific to the incident, and
- 4 | what date was this incident with the Dodge Charger?
- 5 | A I believe it was March 11, 2024.
- 6 Q Okay. So, what exactly did you review in preparation
- 7 | for your testimony today related to the March 11th, 2024
- 8 || interaction with this Dodge Charger?
- 9 An HPD report written by the South Gessner patrol
- 10 | officers that stopped them.
- 11 || Q Okay.
- 12 | A As well as a narcotics report written by HPD narcotics.
- 13 And then I observed some federal reports regarding -- I'm
- 14 | not sure exactly what those are called, but some federal
- 15 | reports from the FBI.
- 16 | O Like 302s?
- 17 A I think so. Yes, sir.
- 18 \parallel Q Okay. And that was regarding the Charger?
- 19 | A Yes, sir.
- 20 Q Okay. Some reports, or a report?
- 21 ||A I believe that there was a few 302s that I reviewed.
- 22 | I'm not sure how many.
- 23 | Q Okay. Do you have those with you?
- MR. MEGGALI: Your Honor, the Government disclosed
- 25 | every report that the witness has reviewed.

| 1 | THE COURT: Okay. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. PODOLSKY: All right. I have one 302, Your |
| 3 | Honor. And that's why I asked if there was one or more than |
| 4 | one, and he said there was a few 302s. |
| 5 | MR. MEGGALI: If defense Counsel wants to make |
| 6 | this a pop quiz as to, like, what pieces of discovery he |
| 7 | reviewed before this |
| 8 | MR. PODOLSKY: Objection, Your Honor. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Okay. No side bar, but here's the |
| 10 | deal, you participated yourself, one instance, correct, in |
| 11 | surveillance? |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Correct. |
| 13 | THE COURT: And you've reviewed this you've |
| 14 | reviewed a report that was provided to Mr. Podolsky? |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: Correct. |
| 16 | THE COURT: And there's probably other reports |
| 17 | about this incident. Is that correct? |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Correct. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Now, you didn't participate yourself |
| 20 | in preparing those reports, right? |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: No, sir. |
| 22 | THE COURT: You weren't there physically and |
| 23 | participating in whatever the substance of those reports |
| 24 | are, correct? |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: No, sir. |

```
THE COURT: So, your testimony is based on what
1
 2
   you've talked to other people about. Is that right?
 3
              THE WITNESS: And what I've read, yes, sir.
 4
              THE COURT: All right. So, those don't have to be
 5
    turned over at this time.
 6
              You-all move on.
7
              MR. PODOLSKY: And just for the record, we're
8
   going to request every document, report that he reviewed in
 9
   preparation for his testimony today.
10
              MR. MEGGALI: Those have already been disclosed on
    Saturday, Your Honor.
11
12
              THE COURT: All right. Those are disclosed and
   it's denied.
13
14
             Please move along.
15
              MR. PODOLSKY: All right.
   BY MR. PODOLSKY:
16
17
        Now, you said that you believe that -- or you don't
18
   know, you didn't see anything, you have no personal
19
   knowledge of this interaction with this white Dodge?
20
        Correct.
   Α
21
        But you testify that it was consistent with a drug
22
   transaction based on what someone else told you?
23
   Α
        Yes, sir.
24
         Okay. And what was consistent about it?
25
        A short interaction like at the window or inside the
```

- 1 | vehicle.
- 2 0 Which was it??
- 3 | A It could be both because there was like -- there's one
- 4 | that occurred through the window. Oh, sorry, the white
- 5 | Dodge.
- $6 \parallel Q \quad \text{Yes, sir.}$
- 7 | A Okay. The white Dodge occurred through the window.
- 8 || 0 What occurred?
- 9 A Transaction where Edward Turner turned on the dome
- 10 | light of the vehicle. There was some kind of transaction
- 11 | made inside with the hands exchanging something and then
- 12 | Defendant Pink put something into his little satchel across
- 13 | his chest afterwards.
- 14 | Q Okay. And that is based on what you read in a report
- 15 ||or what some officer told you?
- 16 A It's both. But based on my training and experience, I
- 17 | would consider that consistent with a drug transaction.
- 18 Q So, if someone walks up to a window, there's some sort
- 19 | of interaction between the person and the other person --
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 $\|Q that's drug that's a drug transaction.$
- 22 Did you find any drugs on Mr. Pink that day, or did
- 23 | anybody?
- 24 | A He wasn't stopped or detained that day.
- 25 | Q And so, which way was it going? Was -- which way did

- 1 | the transact- -- I mean, was there -- who got the money?
- 2 | Who got the whatever? Did you -- was there any money seen?
- 3 Were there any plastic baggies seen, or anything that was
- 4 | consistent with contraband?
- 5 | A Not during the transaction. There was a plastic baggie
- 6 of cocaine found in the vehicle that this transaction
- 7 | occurred from after that.
- 8 | Q Okay. Let's talk about that, because you're
- 9 | representing to this Court that this interaction was a drug
- 10 | transaction, right?
- 11 | A It's consistent based on my training and experience
- 12 | with the drug --
- 13 | Q So, we would expect the -- Mr. Edward Turner?
- 14 | A Edward Turner. Yes, sir.
- 15 \parallel Q Mr. Turner to have either some quantity of drugs,
- 16 | consistent with buying and selling of drugs, or some
- 17 | quantity of money consistent with buying or selling of
- 18 | drugs, right?
- 19 | A Correct.
- 20 Q And you found 0.2 grams, which is pretty close to, you
- 21 | know, getting close to residue amount?
- 22 A I didn't find it, but the officers on scene did. Yes,
- 23 ||sir.
- 24 \parallel Q My apologies. You're correct. The royal you, you
- 25 | being law enforcement. Law enforcement found a sum total of

```
1 | 0.2 grams?
```

- 2 | A Correct.
- 3 \parallel Q Okay. Not really amount that you're familiar with as
- 4 | being part of a drug deal, is it? I mean, we're getting
- 5 | close to residue amounts, aren't we?
- 6 A In my training and experience, there's multiple
- 7 | transactions that have occurred with that amount.
- 8 || 0 0.2?
- $9 \parallel A$ Yes, sir.
- 10 | Q And, in fact, did Mr. Turner not say that it was
- 11 || actually -- it was his girlfriend's? Did he not?
- 12 | A I'm not sure on that.
- 13 $\|Q\|$ Well, it's in the report that you reviewed, is it not?
- 14 | Did I read that correctly?
- 15 A I remember it's in the report he admitted to officers
- 16 that he purchased that from Defendant Pink.
- 17 ||Q| Show me that. I'm going to approach because maybe I
- 18 | missed it, and I'll stand corrected.
- 19 | MR. PODOLSKY: May I approach, Your Honor?
- 20 | THE COURT: Yes.
- 21 BY MR. PODOLSKY:
- 22 | Q And I want to make sure that we're looking at the same
- 23 | thing you're looking at and if there's something else that
- 24 | you're relying on. And so, is this -- you were referring to
- 25 | HPD reports?

- 1 A I think it was in interviews.
- 2 | Q And once again, I read it, and if I missed it, I'll
- 3 | stand to be corrected.
- 4 | A It wasn't in this report. It was in one, I believe the
- 5 | interview, there was an interview one.
- 6 Q Okay. All right. So, in that -- is that in the HPD
- 7 | interview?
- 8 A I can't remember off the top of my head.
- 9 Q Okay. And you read this?
- 10 | A Yes, sir. I read --
- MR. PODOLSKY: May I approach, Your Honor?
- 12 THE COURT: Yes.
- 13 MR. PODOLSKY: Okay.
- 14 BY MR. PODOLSKY:
- 15 \parallel Q Okay. I'm looking at a FBI 302, which is their
- 16 designation for their report.
- 17 | A Correct.
- 18 | Q That's what they titled the document designation for
- 19 | FBI report. Can you see where --
- 20 A They say he got it from D-Boy.
- 21 | Q It says he caught -- the narcotics that he was caught
- 22 | with were in his car that the narcotic belonged to a female
- 23 | he was with. He did not want to tell on the girl.
- 24 Does it say that?
- 25 A Yes, it says that.

- 1 Q Right.
- 2 $\|A\|$ He said they got it from D-Boy. Yes, that's what --
- $3 \parallel Q$ Okay. Well, hold on. Then, let's unpack this.
- 4 | A Okay.
- $5 \parallel Q$ So, is he saying that he -- that that drugs that they
- 6 | found on the car, he got from D-Boy?
- 7 | A | He said, they, so him being part of it.
- 8 \mathbb{Q} Well, was there someone else in the car that -- I never
- 9 heard about a second person in the car.
- 10 A There was another person in the car. Yes, sir.
- 11 0 And who was that?
- 12 MR. MEGGALI: Your Honor, just to clarify, on
- 13 direct examination, he did say there was a passenger.
- 14 THE COURT: Proceed.
- 15 MR. MEGGALI: Just to clarify the record.
- 16 BY MR. PODOLSKY:
- 17 || Q Who was the passenger?
- 18 | A I'm not sure if I remember her name correctly, but --
- 19 $\|Q\|$ So, he is saying that the girl -- well, he said it was
- 20 | the -- that the girl got the drugs, right? That's what it
- 21 || says.
- 22 | A Correct. It says the girl, and then he says they got
- 23 || it from D-Boy. So, I mean --
- 24 ||Q The narcotics belonged to the female he was with, but
- 25 | he didn't want to tell on her, right?

- 1 | A Correct.
- 2 ||Q| And so, did yours -- did the surveillance that you saw
- 3 | that -- that you read about, that you were told about, talk
- 4 | about any interaction with the female with Mr. Pink, or the
- 5 | male and Mr. Pink?
- 6 A I'm not sure if it was the male or the female. It's
- 7 | through the passenger window. That's what I read in the
- 8 | report.
- 9 | Q Okay.
- 10 A I just know the narcotics were found underneath the
- 11 | Defendant or Edward Turner's seat.
- 12 | Q Is there any bodycam or dashcam footage of that traffic
- 13 || stop that you're aware of?
- 14 A There should be.
- 15 \parallel Q Because we know that HPD officers are issued what?
- 16 A Body cameras. Yes, sir.
- 17 | Q And often times their patrol cars have what?
- 18 | A Dashcams, in some cases, yes, but, yes, they should be
- 19 | wearing a body camera.
- 20 | Q Okay. All right. So, we'll be able to figure all that
- 21 | out from the bodycam footage, right?
- 22 A It should be. Yes, sir.
- 23 \parallel Q All right. So, we know that part. Fair enough.
- 24 | Now, moving on. Edward Turner, as far as you know, did
- 25 | he get charged that day?

```
1
        He did. Yes, sir.
        Okay. And obviously he's cooperating with the feds.
 2
 3
   Now, you are relying, or you're representing to --
 4
              THE COURT: Mr. Podolsky, we don't need to say
 5
   something like that. Obviously, he is cooperating with the
 6
    feds, unless there is a basis for it.
7
             MR. PODOLSKY: Well, I mean --
8
             THE COURT: Is there? I mean, I don't know.
 9
             MR. PODOLSKY: -- we have a report --
              THE COURT: Okay.
10
11
             MR. PODOLSKY: -- of talking to them. I mean,
12
   that's what I meant by cooperation. That he sat for an
13
   interview and answered questions. I don't know, I'm not
14
    suggesting, Your Honor --
15
             THE COURT: Right.
             MR. PODOLSKY: -- because I have no idea whether
16
   he has a deal, or he's been -- there's any formal
17
18
   cooperation agreement. I'm saying on that day he was
19
    cooperative. He answered questions.
20
             THE COURT: He answered questions, correct?
21
             MR. PODOLSKY: Yes, Judge.
22
              THE COURT: Okay. Move along.
23
             MR. PODOLSKY: Okay.
   BY MR. PODOLSKY:
24
```

JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC

So, you're representing to this court information that

- 1 | was presented by Mr. Turner to law enforcement, right?
- $2 \parallel A$ Yes, sir.
- 3 \parallel Q All right. So, obviously, Mr. Turner's credibility is
- 4 | in question because you want the Judge to believe that the
- 5 | information that Mr. Turner provided to law enforcement,
- 6 | which you then provided to this court is truthful, right?
- 7 MR. MEGGALI: Your Honor, if he could just reask
- 8 | that question one more time.
- 9 | THE COURT: Yeah. Rephrase, Mr. Podolsky.
- 10 BY MR. PODOLSKY:
- 11 || Q Well, you're presenting to the Judge information that
- 12 Mr. Turner told you or law enforcement?
- 13 || A Told law enforcement. Yes, I did not talk to
- 14 | Mr. Turner.
- 15 \parallel Q Right. That law -- that he relayed to law enforcement,
- 16 which then relayed to you, which then you relayed to the
- 17 | Judge?
- 18 A That's correct.
- 19 $\|Q\|$ All right. So, and you want the Judge to believe that
- 20 | that information that Mr. Turner provided is truthful,
- 21 ||right?
- 22 | A I don't know if I can -- I believe that the information
- 23 | he gave was truthful, just based on the report that was
- 24 | written, and I reviewed.
- 25 | Q You found 0.2 grams of cocaine, and he said he got it

- 1 || from Mr. Pink, right?
- 2 | A That's correct.
- 3 \parallel Q All right. So, you have to believe that he is telling
- 4 the truth. You certainly wouldn't get up here and relay
- 5 || information to the Court that you thought was not truthful?
- 6 A Correct.
- $7 \parallel Q$ All right.
- 8 MR. MEGGALI: Your Honor, if Counsel can only make
- 9 | a distinction between what he asserts as truthful versus
- 10 | what he's been conveyed and, therefore, portraying the
- 11 | truthfulness of --
- 12 | THE COURT: I'm not going to get -- and we're not
- 13 \parallel going to get into the whether -- it can be determined later
- 14 | whether this is truthful or not. The detective is relaying
- 15 | what was told to him. That's what the Court is considering.
- 16 | And let's move along.
- 17 If you have another question, Mr. Podolsky, about
- 18 | that, please go ask it, but --
- 19 MR. PODOLSKY: Yes, Your Honor.
- 20 | THE COURT: His credibility is not my -- what I'm
- 21 | determining here right now. I'm determining what he was
- 22 | said to the Detective.
- 23 MR. PODOLSKY: Right.
- 24 BY MR. PODOLSKY:
- 25 | Q And I'm saying that the credibility of Mr. Turner is

```
important because he relayed what you would say is
 1
 2
    incriminating information regarding Mr. Pink, right?
 3
        Correct.
        All right. Tell me about Mr. Turner then. What about
 4
 5
   his --
 6
              THE COURT: How is this relevant to detention on
 7
   Mr. Pink?
 8
              MR. PODOLSKY: Well, Judge, he is representing
 9
    that Mr. Turner told law enforcement that he bought drugs
    from Mr. Pink.
10
11
              THE COURT: Correct.
              MR. PODOLSKY: All right. That, I mean, is that
12
13
   not his statement is then subject to a credibility analysis.
    Is it not because the Court --
14
15
              THE COURT: It is, but I'm not here to make a
    suppression - we're not here for suppression issues.
16
17
              Do you have any information as to what Mr. Turner
18
    told you was a lie at that time?
19
              THE WITNESS: No, sir.
20
              THE COURT: All right. Do you have anything
21
    further on that?
22
              MR. PODOLSKY: Yes, I do, Your Honor, if I may?
23
              THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
   BY MR. PODOLSKY:
24
25
         So, as far as Mr. Turner's credibility is concerned,
```

```
1 | what about his criminal history?
```

A I did not review --

- THE COURT: That's not relevant. Let's move along.
- 5 | THE WITNESS: I did not review --
- 6 THE COURT: I'm not going into any credibility
- 7 determinations or suppression issues at this detention
- 8 | hearing. I have -- I've heard what he said to him.
- 9 Let's move along, Mr. Podolsky.
- 10 BY MR. PODOLSKY:
- 11 | Q Now, you said that this -- you have mentioned in your
- 12 direct examination that this substance that was found, I
- 13 | believe, under this passenger seat, this 0.2 grams of
- 14 | suspected cocaine was then tested by a lab?
- 15 A That's what I was told. Yes, sir.
- 16 $\parallel Q$ Okay. And who told you that?
- 17 | A Detective Anders.
- 18 | Q And is there some lab report?
- 19 \parallel A I do not have that lab report. I was just relayed what
- 20 was told.
- 21 ||Q But you were told that that --
- 23 | Center, I believe.
- 24 || Q Okay. And when were you told this information?
- 25 A Yesterday.

- 1 | Q Okay. Now, let's move on to that which you actually
- 2 || saw.
- 3 | A Okay.
- 4 ||Q| Which was -- was that the 27th of August?
- $5 \parallel A$ Yes, sir.
- 6 0 of 2024?
- $7 \parallel A$ Yes, sir.
- 8 \parallel Q If my memory serves correctly. You were on duty
- 9 | conducting surveillance at 10:00 p.m. on the 27th to 4:00
- 10 \parallel a.m. on the 28th?
- 11 | A That's correct.
- 12 | Q All right. Now, you said that according to your
- 13 | testimony that -- and I think your quote was you witnessed
- 14 some type of transaction. Is that your words?
- 15 | A Yes, sir.
- 16 \parallel Q Okay. All right. And that was a -- was it a vehicle?
- 17 | Was it a white -- another white vehicle? Did I get that
- 18 | correct?
- 19 $\|A\|$ No, it was a -- it was a van. I think it was, like,
- 20 maybe a red or like a dark red color van.
- 21 || Q Okay. So, it was --
- 22 A It's not the white SUV that I just discussed --
- 23 || Q Was this a maroon?
- 24 | A Yeah, I mean, it's maroon, yes.
- 25 Q Okay. All right. And that pulled up you said around

- 1 | 11:00 p.m.?
- $2 \parallel A$ Yes, sir.
- 3 \parallel Q Now, excuse me, when you say van, these days it means a
- 4 | minivan.
- $5 \parallel A \parallel$ It was a minivan.
- 6 | Q And there was some interaction between Mr. Pink and
- 7 | whoever was in that van?
- 8 | A Yes.
- 9 \parallel Q Did you identify the person or persons inside that
- 10 | maroon van?
- 11 || A No, sir.
- 12 | Q What about license plate?
- 13 A I believe I relayed the license plate to the officer I
- 14 | was on the phone with that had access to the pole camera.
- 15 | Q Did you -- were you making any notes or anything?
- 16 | A I didn't take any notes. I gave it to him over the
- 17 | phone so they could run it because I didn't have a computer
- 18 ||or anything to run the license plate.
- 19 $\|Q\|$ Was it run?
- 20 | A That, I'm not sure.
- 21 | Q Okay. So, you have no idea if that was a friend or a
- 22 | family member --
- 23 | A No.
- 24 $\|Q$ -- or, like, maybe a father-in-law that was coming to
- 25 | the house?

- 1 A I have no idea who it was. No, sir.
- $2 \parallel Q$ All right. And this interaction, tell me about that.
- 3 | A I believe he went up to the passenger side window. He
- 4 | was talking to him. His hands were kind of inside the
- 5 | window and then he climbs in the vehicle. Short period
- 6 | later, the Defendant Pink goes back to his car that's parked
- 7 | in front of his house, and that car leaves at that time --
- 8 | Q Okay.
- 9 A -- or that van leaves at that time.
- 10 Q So, what I heard from you relating to the Judge was
- 11 | clearly an inference that this was some sort of criminal
- 12 | activity?
- 13 | A Based on my training and experience, and the knowledge
- 14 | I had beforehand of Mr. Pink, I would -- in my opinion, it's
- 15 | consistent with a drug transaction.
- 16 \parallel Q Okay. And why again?
- 17 | A A short interaction where somebody just pulls up and,
- 18 you know, they talk for a second and leave.
- 19 | Q Okay. And but who -- I mean, would it matter to you
- 20 | who was driving that vehicle?
- 21 || A But --
- 22 ||Q Or if that vehicle was registered to someone that you
- 23 | known to be for a family member, or something like that?
- 24 ||A It's possible at 11:00 o'clock, but given the time and
- 25 | the interaction that occurred, it's my opinion it was a drug

- 1 | transaction.
- 2 | Q Did you see anything exchanged between the individuals?
- 3 A Just hand movement, and I couldn't see if anything was
- 4 | actually exchanged, no.
- $5 \parallel Q$ Okay. But tell me about -- this is very similar to the
- 6 | white Dodge Charger interaction, right?
- 7 | A Correct. Except, I think he got -- he got inside the
- 8 | vehicle with --
- 9 ||Q| In the maroon van.
- 10 | A -- in the maroon van. Yes.
- 11 $\|Q\|$ Okay. So, it's even -- there was even more contact
- 12 | than you had with the Charger.
- 13 || A Yes.
- 14 \parallel Q Okay. All right. So, as with the Charger, you called
- 15 \parallel in and they conducted a traffic stop, pretextual stop,
- 16 | right? Nothing necessarily wrong about that. If you see a
- 17 | traffic violation, pull them over, even though you really
- 18 | have other investigative motives, right?
- 19 | A Correct.
- 20 Q Perfectly fine. Tell me about the late -- the traffic
- 21 | stop of the maroon van.
- 22 | A There's no traffic stop of the marron van. We -- I
- 23 | believe the officers we were talking to on the phone were
- 24 | going to try to find a marked patrol car if there's one in
- 25 | the area, but I don't believe there was. So, no traffic

```
1 stop was conducted.
```

- 2 \mathbb{Q} Okay. So, there's no other evidence other than your
- 3 | suspicion that there was any criminal activity going on?
- $4 \parallel A$ No, sir.
- 5 ||Q All right. Now, let's talk -- you talk then about, I
- 6 | quess, an arrest warrant -- well, hold on a second. The
- 7 | arrest warrant was executed on what day?
- 8 A I believe it's the 28th, the day following.
- 9 ||Q| It was the 28th? And were you a part of that?
- 10 || A No, sir, I was not.
- 11 $\|Q\|$ And what time was that arrest warrant, if you know?
- 12 | A I believe approximately 6:00 a.m.
- 13 $\|Q\|$ All right. Okay.
- 14 \parallel A Sorry. The arrest warrant was conducted at 6:00 a.m.,
- 15 | the search warrant was following that.
- 16 | Q Okay.
- MR. PODOLSKY: May I approach, Your Honor?
- 18 THE COURT: Yes.
- 19 | MR. PODOLSKY: Would you -- I'm going to talk to
- 20 | him about this. I was produced a search warrant with a
- 21 | return. You want me to mark this or just --
- 22 | THE COURT: Just ask questions about it.
- MR. PODOLSKY: Okay.
- 24 BY MR. PODOLSKY:
- 25 | Q I'm going to show you what was provided by the

- 1 | Government, the defense, what looks to be an affidavit and a
- 2 | search warrant, and a return of an executed search warrant.
- 3 || Is that --
- 4 A Yes.
- $5 \parallel Q$ I want you to just take a look at it, make sure that
- 6 || I'm --
- 7 | A Yes, sir, that's the one I reviewed.
- 8 | Q Okay. And just quickly flip through to make sure that
- 9 || --
- 10 $\|A\|$ Yes, sir.
- 11 $\|Q$ -- that it is what I'm representing it to be.
- 12 A Yes, sir, this is the search warrant I reviewed.
- 13 $\|Q\|$ Okay. And what's the top page?
- 14 \parallel A The top page is the return for the search warrant.
- 15 \parallel Q And what is -- what is a return for the search warrant?
- 16 A It's the items of contraband or evidence that are taken
- 17 | from the property.
- 18 \parallel Q And what is -- why does it -- why does that document
- 19 ||exist?
- 20 A This document exists to give a record to the Defendant
- 21 || or whoever's property it is, what was taken from a search
- 22 | warrant and document what evidence was taken.
- 23 $\|Q\|$ And what day, what time, it's very important, right?
- 24 | The date and time that a search warrant is executed is
- 25 | extremely important, right?

- 1 || A Correct.
- $2 \parallel Q$ All right. Certainly, because it obviously it has to
- 3 | happen after a search warrant is signed --
- 4 | A Correct.
- 5 | Q What's the date this search warrant is executed?
- 6 | A The warrant was executed on --
- 7 \mathbb{Q} That's not my question. According to that document,
- 8 | what date does it say that was executed?
- 9 A The document says, May 28, 2024, however, the --
- 10 ||Q| Hold on.
- 11 | A Okay.
- 12 || Q Answer my question.
- 13 || A Okay.
- 14 | Q Thank you.
- 15 | A Yeah.
- 16 $\|Q\|$ So, according to this, and this is filed with the
- 17 | Court, right?
- 18 | A I'm guessing so, yeah.
- 19 $\|Q\|$ What does it say? What is this line where it says,
- 20 | certification? What does that say?
- 21 A It says I declare under perjury -- or penalty of
- 22 | perjury, that this inventory is correct and was returned
- 23 | along with the original warrant to the designated Judge.
- 24 || Q Okay. So, the information contained on this document,
- 25 | this person, this is a -- is this Mr. Anders?

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 | Q Okay. And Officer Anders, right?
- $3 \parallel A$ Yes, sir.
- 4 || Q And you --
- $5 \parallel A \parallel He's a TFO -- he's a TFO, but, yes.$
- 6 0 That means Task Force Officer?
- $7 \mid A$ Yes, sir.
- 8 | Q Okay. So, he is filling out this extremely important
- 9 document, certifying that the information contained on this
- 10 | document is true and correct under penalty of perjury. Is
- 11 | that accurate?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 || Q Is that a true statement?
- 14 \parallel A I think he made a mistake on the date, but --
- 15 \parallel Q No, no, no. Is it a true statement? Is the
- 16 | information on this document true and correct?
- 17 A The date is incorrect.
- 18 \parallel Q Okay. And the date as you stated before is true and
- 19 | important, correct?
- 20 A It can be important, yes, sir.
- 21 \parallel Q Right. Because if this is executed before the signing
- 22 of a search warrant, what happens to the execution of that
- 23 | warrant?
- 24 | A It's invalid.
- 25 Q That's right. So, it says, according to this document,

```
1 | you would agree that this was executed on May 28 of 2024.
```

- 2 | It pretty clearly says that, doesn't it?
- $3 \parallel A$ Yes, sir.
- $4 \parallel Q$ So, either this is not true and correct under penalty
- 5 |of perjury, or that search warrant was executed before the
- 6 | signing of the warrant, correct?
- 7 $\|A\|$ I believe everything was accurate except for the date.
- 8 | Q Sir, if that date is accurate, and it was certified
- 9 under penalty of perjury, then this search warrant was
- 10 | executed prior to the signing of the actual warrant,
- 11 | correct?
- MR. MEGGALI: Your Honor, at this stage, we are
- 13 | allowed to present admissible evidence.
- 14 | THE COURT: No. Mr. Podolsky, you've made your
- 15 point, although this is not the forum to argue suppression
- 16 | and the evidence.
- 17 | MR. PODOLSKY: I just did, Judge --
- 18 | THE COURT: I know. I know what you're doing.
- 19 MR. PODOLSKY: Okay.
- 20 THE COURT: Your point has been made.
- 21 MR. PODOLSKY: All right, Judge. All right.
- 22 | Moving on.
- 23 BY MR. PODOLSKY:
- 24 \parallel Q And you mentioned also another white sedan. Is that --
- 25 || is that true? I'm sorry.

- 1 | A I believe it's a white SUV.
- 2 0 A white SUV?
- 3 \parallel A The -- on the May 11 -- are you referring to the May
- 4 | 11th surveillance or interactions that were -- that I talked
- 5 | about?
- $6 \parallel Q$ Yes, sir.
- 7 | A Okay. It was a white SUV that was documented in the
- 8 || report.
- 9 \parallel Q And tell me -- and that was another car that pulls up
- 10 | to the home?
- 11 | A Correct.
- 12 ||Q And did you describe that as another possible drug
- 13 | transaction?
- 14 | A It's possible.
- 15 $\|Q\|$ Why is it possible?
- 16 | A Based on the short, like, the interaction that he
- 17 | climbs -- I believe in that one, he also climbs inside the
- 18 | vehicle, and then they part ways, and I believe he goes back
- 19 to the blue sedan.
- 20 Q And whose car was that?
- 21 | A The blue sedan, or the white?
- 22 | Q The white? I think we know the blue sedan.
- 23 | A Okay. Yeah. I don't know who the white SUV was.
- 24 || Q Okay. And drive -- license plate?
- 25 A I'm not positive that was documented in the report or

```
1 || not.
```

- 2 | Q Well, I mean, obviously you would want to document the
- 3 ||license plate to determine the driver, especially if you
- 4 | thought it was a drug transaction, right?
- $5 \parallel A$ That is important. Like I said, I don't know if that -
- $6 \parallel$ if the license plate is documented in there.
- 7 ||Q| And where did you obtain -- and you were not part of
- 8 | observing any of that?
- 9 | A Correct.
- 10 \mathbb{Q} So, you would have gotten that information from either
- 11 | a person or a report?
- 12 | A That's correct.
- 13 | Q Which one, or was it both? Person or report?
- 14 A That one was from the report.
- 15 || Q Which report was that?
- 16 \parallel A That one was from, I think it was a narcotics HPD
- 17 | report by narcotics officers.
- 18 \parallel Q Okay. Was that the --
- 19 | MR. PODOLSKY: May I approach, again, Your Honor?
- 20 | THE COURT: Yes.
- 21 MR. PODOLSKY: All right.
- 22 BY MR. PODOLSKY:
- 23 ||Q Is that this one, that is -- looks like Report Number
- 24 | 361965-24 presenting to you?
- 25 | A Yes, sir.

```
1 | Q And this was, once again, for the record provided to 2 | me by the Government prior to this hearing?
```

- A No, this is not the report.
- Q Is there another report?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- MR. PODOLSKY: I'm sorry. I don't mean to talk off the record, Judge, but I just want to confirm with the Government I was provided this HPD draft report that looks like Report Number 361965-24, and I don't believe I have received a different -- another report, and maybe that could be my mistake, but this is --
- MR. MEGGALI: So, the report that -- the HPD report that the witness reviewed is a collection of reports that make up 10 pages. So, if that's a 10 page document then that's everything.
- THE WITNESS: I believe it was narcotics officers that wrote the report. They were doing the surveillance.
- MR. PODOLSKY: Yes, this is 10 pages, nine, 10.

 18 It's five pages front and back. So, 10 total pages.
- 19 BY MR. PODOLSKY:
- 20 Q But this is not what you were referring to? And 21 what's --
- 22 \parallel A It might be this -- the 302 then. I'm not -
- 23 Q Okay. Hold on.
- MR. MEGGALI: Your Honor, if knowing -- if having
 the witness pinpoint the exact source of each piece of

```
information that he reviewed and relayed is relevant to the
1
   hearing determination of detention, we would have no
 2
 3
    objection, but that's exactly what defense Counsel is doing.
 4
              MR. PODOLSKY: I'm sorry, Judge. My client was
 5
   talking to me.
 6
              THE COURT: Mr. Podolsky, where are you going with
7
   this questioning?
8
              MR. PODOLSKY: Well, I guess, my whole point here,
 9
   and I understand the limitations of the detention hearing.
10
   I truly do.
              THE COURT: Right.
11
              MR. PODOLSKY: But what, you know, what the
12
13
   officers role here is to obviously provide information about
    the general details of this conspiracy as it's -- as it's
14
15
    specific to Mr. Pink, and the reason why I was asking
16
    questions about these interactions is because they want to
    show the Court that this is a drug dealer, that he is --
17
18
    that he is conducting these drug deal.
19
              So, and it is my understanding that that certainly
20
    the Court is going to weigh the credibility of this
21
    testimony, as the Court would with any testimony, and the
22
   Court's determination of, you know, the credibility of these
23
   alleged -- the testimony regarding these alleged
```

transactions, I would imagine, it's going to weigh on the

course of determination of detention.

24

| THE COURT: And that is correct, but sitting there |
|--|
| and pinpointing everything out in a report is not really |
| conducive to the purpose of this hearing. You can ask him |
| the question, is it in this report or is it? Or maybe it's |
| in another report, you'll get somewhere down the line in |
| discovery. |
| MR. PODOLSKY: And I guess, what I was getting to |
| is that if it's in some other report, if he's testified to |
| it, and it's in some other report that hasn't been provided |
| to us, we would ask for that. |
| THE COURT: Well, again, the limited participation |
| doctrine under case law provides that if he participated |
| directly himself in the investigation, but did not write the |
| report then, yes, you can get that. But if he is just |
| merely regurgitating from other reports that he read, then |
| this is you're not going to get that at this time. |
| MR. PODOLSKY: Okay. And that's and if that, |
| obviously, I respect the Court's ruling and I will move on. |
| But I guess my point is, is that if he's going to |
| represent to the Court that these are suspected drug |
| transactions, then I think I have a responsibility to |

THE COURT: Sure.

question that and to get --

MR. PODOLSKY: -- why in fact, you know, if these, in fact, are just interactions with other human beings, or

```
in fact, there's something specific to make this a drug
1
    transaction.
 2
              THE COURT: Right. And you've done that. I mean,
 3
 4
   you've done that. You've asked, you know, they -- you said
 5
   what he seen in surveillance, and they stopped the cars.
 6
    So, you made your points that what you -- what you just
7
    said --
8
             MR. PODOLSKY: Understood, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: -- and the Court understands where
10
   you're going with that.
              MR. PODOLSKY: Thank you. I appreciate it.
11
12
             MR. MEGGALI: If I may, Your Honor?
13
             THE COURT: Yes.
             MR. MEGGALI: There are certain parts of the story
14
15
    that he had omissions. There were gaps that he could have
```

that he had omissions. There were gaps that he could have conveniently left, and he had not filled any of these gaps.

He educated himself based off of his limited participation in surveillance and based off of his review --

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Right. No. The Court understands it.

MR. MEGGALI: -- of reports, and he educated himself as to the totality of the story. Now, all I see that defense Counsel is doing is trying to pinpoint him to tell what's the source of each bit of information that he is testifying about, and that's basically a discovery rabbit hole and a pop quiz as to, like, what's the piece of --

```
what's the source of each piece of information he's --
1
 2
              THE COURT: I know what Mr. Podolsky is asking,
 3
   and you brought it up, you got into the transaction, so he
 4
    is entitled to a limited extent to discuss those
 5
    transactions and what the officer knows and doesn't know.
 6
              So, you know, he has every right to go into that.
7
   But, yes, I'm not going to -- we're not going to sit there
   and pinpoint where is this -- you know, what report did this
 9
    come from, what report did that come from, who said this or
10
    that. You'll get that later on in discovery, but generally,
    yes, you have the right to go into that, and he can testify
11
12
    from what he knows.
13
              MR. PODOLSKY: Yes, sir.
14
              THE COURT: All right. But let's keep moving.
15
              MR. PODOLSKY: May I approach the Government?
              THE COURT: Yes.
16
17
              MR. PODOLSKY: Thank you, Judge.
18
   BY MR. PODOLSKY:
19
        And just quickly with regard to the white SUV that we
20
   were just talking about, was there a traffic stop done down
21
   the road?
22
        No, sir.
   Α
23
        Was there identification of whoever was in that car?
        Not that I'm aware of.
24
```

So, whether or not that was friends or family or just

- 1 || some --
- 2 | A It's unknown.
- 3 | Q Unknown. Okay. All right. Now, let's circle back
- 4 | quickly. The arrest on August 28, 2024, the serving of the
- 5 || arrest warrant, okay? There was some discussion with the
- 6 Government of my client not being cooperative in
- 7 | surrendering. Is that a fair assessment of what you were
- 8 | testifying --
- 9 | A Correct.
- 10 | Q Okay. And the Court followed up with some questions on
- 11 | that. So, but I just want to be clear, because that is an
- 12 | important issue. When you say it was not being -- what were
- 13 | your words about what my client's cooperation was when the
- 14 | serving of the warrant?
- 15 A From what I remember by speaking with the officer, he
- 16 | was aware that officers were there. They were expecting him
- 17 | to come down, and it was a long time before he came down.
- 18 \parallel Q What is a long time?
- 19 || A I mean, it was minutes. I don't have the exact amount
- 20 of time, but more than like you hear the officers are there
- 21 | and walking, walking down, you know, within a minute or two,
- 22 || right?
- 23 | Q Okay. So, five minutes, 10 minutes?
- 24 | A Again. I'm not sure on the exact amount of time, but it
- 25 was prolonged to where they were about, you know, saying,

- hey, we made contact and then they're like, okay, we're going to have to go in and get him at this point.
- 3 Q Okay. And we're not talking about some standoff,
- 4 | right? We're not talking about bull horns or anything like
- 5 | that, correct? Where there's -- you guys are surrounding
- 6 the house, and there's some prolonged, 30 minutes, an hour,
- 7 | two hours of like standoff inside the house, right?
- 8 A I don't believe it's that long. I believe they do
- 9 | during their warrant, they use a loud speaker too, you know,
- 10 and sirens to let them know that officers are there and to
- 11 | specifically call him out by name.
- 12 | Q Okay.
- 13 A It's usually a standard procedure.
- 14 | Q So, you have no idea whether we're talking about three
- 15 | minutes, four minutes, five minutes, 10 minutes or longer,
- 16 || correct?
- 17 A I believe it is definitely over three to five minutes
- 18 | for sure, yes.
- 19 \parallel Q Okay. And whoever answered the door was not my client?
- 20 A I believe so, yes.
- 21 | Q Okay. That's what you were told?
- 22 A Yeah.
- 23 || Q Okay.
- 24 || A Yes.
- 25 | Q Was it just -- now, this part of what you're relaying

- 1 to the Court, was that based on just a conversation with 2 another officer or reading the report?
- A I believe that it was mostly off a conversation with another officer. I was actually listening part of this on
- 5 the radio because I was still around the -- still monitoring
- 6 the radio at this time, but I don't remember exactly about
- 7 | how my -- how long.
- 8 Q All right. So, you were in the vicinity when all this 9 was going down?
- 10 A No, I was at a totally different location. I was at
 11 the jail where everybody was going to get transported to --
- 12 | Q Okay.
- 13 | A -- because I had additional tasks to help out with.
- 14 | Q So, you were listening on a radio in real time?
- 15 | A Yes.
- 16 Q Okay. Well, how long was it?
- 17 A Like I said, I don't remember because there's multiple 18 arrests going on at the same time. There was about 18 or
- 19 || so.
- 20 ||Q| Fair enough. That's fair.
- 21 || A Yeah.
- 22 Q They were hitting a bunch of different locations --
- 23 | A Correct.
- $24 \parallel Q$ -- all at the same time?
- 25 A Correct.

- 1 | Q That's fair. All right. So, as far as where my client
- 2 was when they were banging on the door, what he was doing,
- 3 | whether he was in the shower, on the toilet or sleeping, or
- 4 | whatever, you don't recall any of that?
- 5 | A I did not know where he was. No, sir.
- 6 \parallel Q All right. So, really to attribute that somehow he was
- 7 | stonewalling law enforcement is really you don't have any
- 8 | information about that? You just know that it took a few
- 9 minutes before somebody answered the door, correct?
- 10 A And I believe they made contact with him, and they were
- 11 | expecting him to come down, but he didn't, and there was
- 12 some delay after that.
- 13 Q Okay. So, they actually spoke with him?
- 14 | A I don't know if they spoke with him, but it may have
- 15 | been through a family member. I'm not sure, but --
- 16 \parallel Q All right. But you -- did he run out the back window?
- 17 | Did he flee?
- 18 \parallel A No, sir, not that I --
- 19 $\|Q\|$ Did he fight with officers?
- 20 | A No, sir.
- 21 | Q Okay. All right. So, he came out and surrendered
- 22 | peacefully?
- 23 A He eventually came out, yes, sir.
- 24 | Q Okay. And whether it was three minutes, five minutes
- 25 || or 10 minutes, you just don't remember, or you don't know?

- 1 | A I don't know.
- 2 ||Q| All right. But as far as actively trying to elude or
- 3 ||evade law enforcement, you got --
- 4 | THE COURT: You made your point, Mr. Podolsky. I
- 5 | get that. Move along.
- 6 MR. PODOLSKY: Okay.
- 7 BY MR. PODOLSKY:
- 8 Q Now, he comes out the front door?
- 9 | A That I'm not sure, but I believe so, but --
- 10 | Q Okay. And then the officers enter the home?
- 11 | A I believe so, too. Yes, sir.
- 12 | Q Okay. And then they entered the home, and they sweep
- 13 | the home?
- 14 | A Correct.
- 15 \parallel Q Okay. And is -- with this -- was the pole cam running
- 16 | at this time?
- 17 | A That, I'm not sure of.
- 18 \parallel Q Was there -- do you have a reason to think that it was
- 19 | turned off at that point?
- 20 | A No.
- 21 Q Okay. All right. So, he comes out the front door to
- 22 the best of your knowledge, he is taken into custody because
- 23 | there's an arrest warrant, correct?
- 24 || A Correct.
- 25 \mathbb{Q} Then they entered the home and sweep the home?

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 | Q All right. Now, you testified that there were at least
- 3 \parallel a gun in plain view, or multiple guns in plain view?
- 4 | A I believe it was just one.
- $5 \parallel Q$ All right. Where?
- 6 A I believe it's in the Defendant's bedroom.
- 7 || Q Where?
- 8 | A Upstairs.
- 9 \mathbb{Q} No, no, no. Okay.
- 10 | A Oh, it's like --
- 11 ||Q| No, fair enough.
- 12 | A -- it was tucked up -- I believe it was like between --
- 13 sticking out between a mattress, I believe.
- 14 || Q Sticking out --
- 15 A Like, you could see the butt. The picture that I was
- 16 | provided, there was a gun between, like, the mattress and
- 17 | the bed frame, and you could see the grip.
- 18 \parallel Q Sticking out between, like, the box spring and the
- 19 | mattress just like that?
- 20 A Correct. Yes.
- 21 || Q Okay.
- 22 | A That's how it appeared to me in the picture, yes.
- 23 ||Q All right. So, you saw a photo?
- 24 | A Yes, sir.
- 25 | Q All right. And were the officers that were executing

- 1 | this warrant, were they in uniform?
- 2 A Like I said, they should have been. Yeah, that's
- 3 | protocol, but I wasn't there.
- $4 \parallel Q$ Was this federal agents, HPD agents or a combination of
- 5 | both?
- $6 \parallel A$ I believe this was HPD Swat that conducted the search.
- 7 Q Did they have body cam?
- $8 \parallel A$ I believe they do, yes.
- 9 \parallel Q Okay. So, we should be able to see all this?
- 10 | A Yes, sir.
- 11 \parallel Q All right. And as far as whether -- now, were there
- 12 | drugs in plain view? Did I -- am I remembering that
- 13 || correctly?
- 14 \parallel A I believe next to the gun, it also appeared to be a
- 15 | baggie with what I was told was, appeared to be cocaine.
- 16 | Q It's also sticking out between the mattress?
- 17 | A It was laying next to the gun, I believe.
- 18 \parallel Q Well, the gun was under the mattress with the -- you
- 19 | can see the butt sticking out.
- 20 | A It was -- the picture that's provided, there was a
- 21 | baggie right next to it, and there's also baggie in the car,
- 22 | I believe. A picture of a baggie on the car seat.
- 23 || Q Yeah, I'm just talking about the home right now.
- 24 || A Right.
- 25 ||Q| We'll get to the car.

So, you saw a photo of a baggie that appeared to be 1 2 some sort of contraband, cocaine or whatever, sticking out 3 of the mattress next to where -- you could see that --There was a gap between, I think, the mattress and the 4 box springs. Like there was -- it was -- the picture I saw 6 of the mattress was slightly to the left of the box spring, so there was kind of a ledge, and that's where the pistol 7 and the baggie was. 9 But those are photographs, we'll be able to see all 10 that at some later date? 11 Correct. 12 All right. And then there was -- other than the 13 baggie, and going back to this warrant return, the one that 14 I showed you -- Can you see this one here? 15 Α Yes, sir. This is what I'm looking at. 16 Yes, sir. 17 Α 18 And this is the warrant return that was signed by Jonathan Anders. 19 20

Which amount are we saying was found in plain view kind of sticking out from the mattress, between the mattress and the box spring?

23 | A That I can't answer. I'm not sure.

21

22

24 | Q All right. Because there's listed on here, there are 25 | three different amounts which I think that you --

- 1 | A Correct. Yeah, I'm not sure which one that is.
- $2 \parallel Q$ Okay. All right. And then a subsequent search warrant
- 3 | based on -- included in this document that you reviewed is a
- 4 | probable cause affidavit, right?
- 5 | A Correct.
- 6 Q Which includes a lot of what you were relaying to the
- 7 | Court today as basis for the probable cause for signing the
- 8 | warrant, right?
- 9 | A Correct.
- 10 \mathbb{Q} All right. Is this the -- just quickly, Judge, and I -
- 11 | the inventory of property that's on this return, is that
- 12 | the complete inventory of all items that were taken from the
- 13 | home that day?
- 14 | A To my knowledge, yes.
- 15 | Q Okay. And you said you saw some photographs, at least
- 16 of the mattress and box spring, correct?
- 17 | A Correct.
- 18 \parallel Q Were your other photographs of the -- of where any of
- 19 | this other contraband was found?
- 20 A There was a photograph of the front seat of the
- 21 Defendant's blue sedan, and there was a baggie, it would
- 22 | appear to be contraband in there.
- 23 | Q Okay. All right.
- 24 || A But, no, I did not observe any pictures of the other
- 25 | firearms that were listed in there or the ammunition.

- 1 | Q Now, oftentimes, as I know that you think -- if my
- 2 memory is serving, you've been a HPD officer for 12 years?
- 3 || A Correct.
- 4 \parallel Q Okay. Working for the last -- I don't think he says -
- 5 | at major offenders, for how many years?
- 6 A It's a little over a year and a half at major offenders
- 7 | division.
- 8 ||Q Okay. As far as items or documents or personal
- 9 | belongings that are specific to Mr. Pink, you're not aware
- 10 of any of those being found in that room, correct?
- 11 A On the items listed on the inventory is what you're
- 12 | asking?
- 13 | Q Or just -- or -- yeah. Clearly there's no items listed
- 14 | like I found a letter or a bill or a cell phone bill with
- 15 | Mr. Pink's name on it in that room, or driver's license, or
- 16 | anything like that?
- 17 | A That, I don't know.
- 18 ||Q You're not aware of that --
- 19 | A Not aware of that.
- 20 ||Q -- discussions?
- 21 | A Correct.
- 22 | Q And I apologize to the Court Reporter who is taking
- 23 | this on. I don't want to speak over you.
- 24 | A Sure.
- 25 | Q But you're not aware of any documents or other personal

- belongings that are specific to Mr. Pink that were found in that room?
- 3 $\|A\|$ Not that I'm aware of.
- 4 MR. PODOLSKY: Nothing further, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Any redirect?
- 6 MR. MEGGALI: Yes, Your Honor. I do have
- 7 | redirect.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. Keep it brief.
- 9 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF SHANE KRANTZ
- 10 BY MR. MEGGALI:
- 11 | Q You were asked some questions about other vehicles that
- 12 | Pink encountered during your surveillance beginning
- 13 | August 27th that you didn't end up further investigating,
- 14 || right?
- 15 A That's correct.
- 16 Q And is it because Pink was just about to get arrested
- 17 | that morning?
- 18 | A That's part of the reason, yes, where resources are
- 19 very limited, and we had 18 simultaneous search warrants or
- 20 | arrest warrants planned that morning.
- 21 | Q Okay. And you're asked a bunch of questions about
- 22 ||binoculars and recordings from your surveillance beginning
- 23 | August 27?
- 24 || A Correct.
- 25 | Q Did you have a clear view of Pink when he exited his

1 | home?

- 2 | A Yes, I did. Well, when -- so, when I first -- we drove
- 3 | by the house, so I can get familiar with the house and the
- 4 | layout on our first pass, and Pink was actually sitting in
- 5 | the driver's seat of that blue sedan, which we were told
- 6 | before was his vehicle.
- 7 So, I got a view of him when we passed by, and then we
- 8 | made a loop around neighborhood to set up just past his
- 9 | house, so if he left, he would not pass us, and we had a
- 10 | clear view right down the road to kind of the front of his
- 11 | house/where his vehicle was located.
- 12 | Q Defense Counsel during your cross examinations
- 13 | suggested that some of the documents, Turner suggested that
- 14 | the cocaine found belonged to the passenger. Is that right?
- 15 | A Correct.
- 16 Q As part of your training and experience as a law
- 17 | enforcement officers, do targets sometimes change their
- 18 || stories?
- 19 $\|A\|$ Yes, they do.
- 20 | Q Now, if we could just backtrack to March 11. Could you
- 21 | remind the Court how much was ordered during the call
- 22 | between Pink and Green?
- 23 | A Up to four four ways of powder cocaine.
- 24 \parallel Q And what's a four way again? How much is that worth?
- 25 A Roughly -- how much is it worth?

- 1 ||Q| Like, in terms of size. What's the size of a four way?
- 2 | A Roughly around four ounces.
- 3 || O Four ounces?
- 4 A Of cocaine, yes.
- 5 | Q And so, he ordered about 12 to 16 ounces?
- 6 | A Correct.
- $7 \parallel Q$ And that would account amount to several 100 grams,
- 8 || right?
- 9 | A Correct.
- 10 | Q And based off your training and experience as a law
- 11 enforcement officer, is that a consumer amount or is that a
- 12 | distribution amount?
- 13 | A Distribution amount.
- 14 | Q And is that your testimony that right after they
- 15 | encountered the same day as that phone call, right after
- 16 Green and Pink encounter, the same day as that phone call,
- 17 | the encounter between Turner and Pink took place?
- 18 | A Correct.
- 19 0 And is that the encounter that law enforcement believes
- 20 | resulted in the residue amount that Defense Counsel brought
- 21 || up?
- 22 | A Yes.
- 23 ||Q Okay. And would that residue amount be consistent with
- 24 | a consumer amount of cocaine?
- 25 A Correct.

```
Now, as far as the interview between law enforcement
1
   and Turner, did Turner offer a phone number for Denzel, or
 2
   was he given a phone number and asked to confirm that that
 3
 4
   was his?
 5
         And I could show you the 302, if you would like me to
 6
   refresh your recollection.
7
         Yes, sure. Yeah.
8
              MR. MEGGALI: Your Honor, may I approach?
 9
              THE COURT: Yes. Does he have a copy of this?
10
              MR. MEGGALI: He should have it --
11
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. MEGGALI: -- but I could show him to make
12
13
   sure.
14
              THE COURT: Okay.
15
              MR. MEGGALI: We have a redacted copy, but I kind
16
   of read between the lines.
17
   BY MR. MEGGALI:
18
         If you could review the last paragraph (indiscernible)
19
   go to the second page.
20
         And just for the record, is that the 302 you reviewed
21
   prior to your testimony?
22
        Yes, sir, it was.
   Α
23
   Q
        Or one of them.
24
        Let me know whenever you're ready.
25
```

Α

Okay.

- 1 ||Q| Do you see where the 832-570-2812 number is listed?
- $2 \parallel A$ Yes.
- $3 \parallel Q$ Was that a number that was offered by law enforcement
- 4 | for Turner to confirm, or was that a number offered by
- 5 ||Turner himself?
- 6 A Turner provided that number.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} Now, you reviewed line sheets for the March 11th call
- 8 | before this, right?
- 9 | A Correct.
- 10 | Q And I could refresh your recollection, if you'd like me
- 11 \parallel to, but is that the number that you saw in the line sheets?
- 12 $\|A\|$ Yes, sir, it was.
- 13 \parallel Q Okay. And you were shown search warrant returns dated
- 14 | May 28, 2024 during cross examination?
- 15 | A That's correct.
- 16 MR. MEGGALI: Your Honor, may I approach?
- 17 | THE COURT: Yes.
- 18 BY MR. MEGGALI:
- 19 \parallel Q Can you tell us is that the same warrant returns that
- 20 | you were shown on cross?
- 21 ||A Yes, it was.
- 22 | Q And what's the date of the signature at the bottom?
- 23 | A It was August 30th, 2024.
- 24 \parallel Q Now, besides the pictures you just mentioned in the
- 25 | search warrant returns, is there anything else that you

- 1 reviewed prior to your testimony that told you a firearm was
 2 found in his home?
 3 A I believe that one of the reports mentioned that. No,
- 4 I'm not sure actually.
- 5 | Q Okay. So --
- 6 A Yeah.
- $7 \parallel Q$ -- it was just that search warrant returns and the
- 8 picture that you saw?
- 9 A Yeah, I believe it's just a search warrant. Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. And just because I'm not sure if I got it out in
- 11 | direct, were any ammunition found?
- 12 A Yes, there was ammunition.
- 13 || Q And when officers reported on August 28 to arrest the
- 14 defendant, who was there?
- 15 A The defendant was there, and I believe his family
- 16 | members were there.
- 17 | Q Do you know how many?
- 18 | A I do not.
- 19 || Q Okay.
- 20 MR. MEGGALI: No further questions, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Any recross?
- 22 MR. PODOLSKY: Briefly.
- 23 | THE COURT: Yes.
- 24 | RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF SHANE KRANTZ
- 25 BY MR. PODOLSKY:

```
The Government said, I think it was based on your
 1
 2
    training and experience do suspects often change their
    story. Is that -- did I paraphrase that correctly?
 3
        Yes, sir.
 4
 5
         Okay. Let's just speak plainly. What he meant was, do
 6
    suspects often lie to your face and then tell a different
   story later, right?
 7
 8
         Yes, they can. Yes.
 9
    Q
         Thank you.
10
              MR. PODOLSKY: Nothing further, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Are we -- anything further from this
11
12
   witness?
              MR. MEGGALI: Nothing further from this witness,
13
    Your Honor.
14
15
              MR. PODOLSKY: Nothing further, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: May he be excused?
16
17
              MR. MEGGALI: Yes, Your Honor.
18
              MR. PODOLSKY: Yes, Your Honor.
19
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. You may
20
    step down.
21
         (Witness steps down.)
22
              THE COURT: All right. Call your next witness.
23
              MS. SCHWARTZ: The Government calls Officer Ryan
24
    Ware, and as he is taking the stand let me also note that in
25
    the course of Mr. Podolsky's cross-examination, I realized
```

```
that I had neglected to provide Mr. Salinas with six pages
1
   of discovery with respect to statements made by this actual
 2
 3
   witness, notes he took as reviewed materials and the report
 4
   he wrote.
 5
              So, I had those printed, and I gave them to
 6
   Mr. Salinas a few moments ago, and six pages total, and I
7
   apologize for the dilatory discovery.
              THE COURT: All right. Well, let's -- we can't
8
 9
   let that happen. It delays things.
10
              Mr. Salinas, have you had a chance to look at
11
    that?
12
              MR. SALINAS: Yes, Your Honor. I've reviewed it.
13
              THE COURT: Are you ready to proceed?
14
              MR. SALINAS: Yes, Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: All right. Please proceed.
               RYAN WARE, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN
16
17
         (Government's witness, RYAN WARE, takes the stand.)
18
                   DIRECT EXAMINATION OF RYAN WARE
   BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
19
20
         Please tell the Court your name and employer.
21
         Yes, ma'am. My name is Ryan Ware. I'm a police
22
   officer for the Houston Police Department.
2.3
        And about how long have you worked for the Houston
   Police Department?
24
25
        Approximately, 11 years.
```

What is your current position with the Houston Police 1 2 Department? 3 I'm currently assigned to the narcotics division as a 4 K-9 handler. 5 And how long have you been a K-9 handler? 6 Over two years. 7 Are you trained and certified in that role? 8 Yes, ma'am, I am. And do you have a particular K-9 that's assigned to 9 10 you? 11 Yes, ma'am. My partner's name is Krokusz. She is a 12 female German Shepherd Belgium Malinois mix. 13 Could you spell Krokusz for the Court Reporter's 14 benefit, please? 15 K-R-O-K-U-S-Z. 16 And is Krokusz also certified with you in that 17 training? 18 Yes, ma'am. 19 What is Krokusz trained to detect? 20 She is detect -- she is trained to detect narcotics. 21 Five narcotic odors, marijuana, cocaine, heroin, 22 methamphetamine, and MDMA. 23 Now, did you have a role in this case with respect to 24 the investigation of a Defendant Alfred Jacoby Green? 25 Yes, ma'am, I did.

- 1 | Q Starting on what date?
- 2 | A Starting on August 28.
- 3 ||Q Of this year?
- 4 | A Of 2024.
- $5 \parallel Q$ And was it -- so, that was the first date that you came
- 6 | in contact with this investigation to your knowledge?
- 7 A Yes, ma'am, it is.
- 8 \parallel Q Have you, in addition to that, reviewed materials about
- 9 | the investigation and about the Defendant and events leading
- 10 | up to August 28, 2024?
- 11 | A Yes, ma'am, I have.
- 12 | Q Had there been an FBI investigation of the Defendant?
- 13 | A Yes, there has been.
- 14 \parallel Q Approximately, or at least how long had it gone on?
- 15 A It has been going on -- it went on for approximately a
- 16 \parallel year and a half.
- 17 | Q And was that based on surveillance of various kinds?
- 18 A Yes, ma'am.
- 19 0 What were some of those kinds of surveillance?
- 20 A Physical surveillance, telephone taps, vehicle trackers
- 21 | and pole cameras.
- 22 | Q Now, let me direct your attention to August 28, 2024,
- 23 | the date of your involvement. Did something happen with
- 24 | respect to Defendant Green on that date?
- 25 A Yes, ma'am.

- 1 | Q What was that?
- 2 | A I was requested to assist with an operation at the
- 3 | Comfort Suites located in Stafford, Texas, off of 59. I was
- 4 | informed that there would be an arrest warrant executed at
- 5 | the location.
- 6 0 And is that -- that location is in the Southern
- 7 District of Texas, is it not?
- 8 A Yes, ma'am, it is.
- 9 $\|Q\|$ Briefly just -- and the arrest warrant was for who?
- 10 | A Well, it was for Alfred Green.
- 11 | Q Okay. Briefly describe what happened when you got
- 12 | there?
- 13 | A Yes, ma'am.
- 14 | So, I was in an unmarked vehicle. I was in plain
- 15 | clothes. I was parked in the parking lot of the Comfort
- 16 | Suites before the arrest team made entry. Marked Houston
- 17 | Police Department narcotics tactical team entered the
- 18 | parking lot. They positioned personnel on an exterior window
- 19 | for containment. Another element of the arrest team went
- 20 | inside the hotel and up to the third floor, and got
- 21 | containment on the door, and then they turned on their
- 22 | lights and sirens and began announcements over the public
- 23 | address system that an arrest warrant was being executed and
- 24 | for Alfred Green to exit the hotel room.
- 25 Q And how loud were those announcements?

- A Extremely loud. The sirens were loud. Other patrons or customers of the hotel were being awoken and exiting to see what was going on.
- 4 | Q And about how long did those announcements and lights
 5 | and sirens continue before you heard something heard or
 6 | saw something else?
 - A So, after about approximately five minutes, I was listening to the police radio, and I heard that the scene was secure and that the suspect was taken into custody.
- 10 | Q And what happened at that point, briefly?

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

- A At that time, I deployed my K-9 in the parking lot, conducted an open-air sniff, and my K-9 gave me indicators that she smelled the odor of narcotics. She pulled to a black truck that I knew to be Alfred Green's and she came to an alert on the passenger door seam to alert me that she was in the presence of the odor of narcotics.
- Q And at that point, what was your understanding of what other officers were doing after Green had been secured?
- A So, at that time, I had learned that during the execution of the arrest warrant that they saw narcotics in plain view of the hotel room, and that there would be an affidavit drafted, and they were going to attempt to get a search warrant for the hotel room.
- I notified them of my alert on the truck, and they said that they would include the vehicle in their affidavit for a

- 1 | search warrant.
- $2 \parallel Q$ And was a search warrant ultimately obtained for the
- 3 | room and the truck?
- 4 | A Yes, ma'am, it was.
- 5 \parallel Q And did you participate in the actual search of the
- 6 | | vehicle?
- $7 \parallel A \qquad \text{Yes.}$
- 8 | Q Please describe what happened.
- 9 | A Upon getting or upon running that the search warrant
- 10 | for the vehicle was obtained, the vehicle was unlocked. I
- 11 | deployed -- as soon as I opened up the door myself could
- 12 detect a strong odor of marijuana coming from the vehicle.
- 13 | I deployed my K-9 into the vehicle where she alerted to the
- 14 | center console and to the two front cup holders.
- 15 \parallel Q And were any narcotics actually recovered from the
- 16 | vehicle?
- 17 | A No, ma'am, there wasn't.
- 18 || Q Was anything -- was any other contraband recovered from
- 19 | the vehicle?
- 20 | A A loaded pistol.
- 21 | Q Now, did you and Krokusz assist in the search of the
- 22 | hotel room?
- 23 $\|A\|$ Yes, ma'am, we did.
- 24 \parallel Q Briefly just tell the Court about that search.
- 25 Oh, and let me just back up. That was the same hotel

- 1 | room where law enforcement had found contraband?
- $2 \parallel A$ Yes, ma'am, it was.
- 3 \mathbb{Q} Briefly describe that search.
- 4 A We started in the hallway the third floor. My K-9 was
- 5 | pulling me down the hallway. Pulled me into the room. We
- 6 | had to be careful, the door to the room was breached, and so
- 7 | we had to be careful that, you know, safety of me and my dog
- 8 stepping on wood particles and debris from the door having
- 9 to be breached. She pulled me immediately into the bathroom
- 10 and came to an alert on the bathtub.
- 11 $\|Q\|$ And what did you observe about the bathtub?
- 12 | A In the bathtub, I saw the bathtub to be wet. I saw a
- 13 | zip lock baggie and white powder that looks like it was
- 14 | being discarded in the bathtub.
- 15 MS. SCHWARTZ: May I approach, Your Honor --
- 16 | THE COURT: Yes.
- MS. SCHWARTZ: -- to show the witness an exhibit?
- 18 THE COURT: Yes.
- 19 MS. SCHWARTZ: I have one for Counsel and the
- 20 | Court as well. Actually, I only have one for Mr. Green's
- 21 | Counsel.
- 22 | THE WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am.
- 23 BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
- 24 | Q Is Government Exhibit 1 a fair and accurate
- 25 | representation of what the bathtub looked like when you

```
1 | looked at it?
```

- 2 A Yes, ma'am, it is.
- 3 MS. SCHWARTZ: I'll move Government 1 in evidence
- 4 | at this time, Your Honor, for purpose of this hearing.
- 5 | THE COURT: Any objections?
- 6 MR. SALINAS: No objection, Your Honor.
- 7 | THE COURT: Admitted.
- 8 | (Government's Exhibit No. 1 received in evidence.)
- 9 BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
- 10 | Q And did you observe something in particular about any
- 11 || of the areas depicted in the photo?
- 12 A The drain.
- 13 ||Q What did you observe?
- 14 | A White powder substance and floor to be wet.
- 15 | Q Okay. What did you conclude having seen that based on
- 16 | your training and experience?
- 17 | A With my training and experience, I concluded that the
- 18 | Defendant, Alfred Green, attempted to destroy evidence down
- 19 the drain of the bathtub.
- 20 \mathbb{Q} Now, beyond the search in the bath -- in the bathroom,
- 21 | did you -- with Krokusz's help, did you search other aspects
- 22 of the hotel room?
- 23 | A Correct. We continued our search of the hotel room.
- 24 | She also alerted on the bed. On the bed was a large
- 25 assortment of U.S. currency. She alerted onto a nightstand,

- 1 | a desk and a trash can inside of the room.
- 2 | Q And what items were recovered by law enforcement from
- 3 | the room, among other things?
- 4 | A On the nightstand, there was an additional bag
- 5 | containing U.S. currency, and a black baggie also believed
- 6 | to contain narcotics, and the trash can was recovered rubber
- 7 | gloves and other chemical agents, cutting agents that, from
- 8 | my training and experience, I know is used in the packaging
- 9 and distribution of cocaine.
- 10 \parallel Q About how much U.S. currency was in the totality of
- 11 | those two containers, if you -- the two locations in the
- 12 | room, if you recall?
- 13 | A Over \$10,000.
- 14 | Q And so, now, let's talk about some of the things that
- 15 \parallel led up to the arrest and the search warrant on that day. I
- 16 | want to direct your attention to March 7, 2024 and ask
- 17 | whether you listen to any recordings of any calls relating
- 18 \parallel to activity on that day.
- 19 A Yes, ma'am, I did.
- 20 | Q Briefly describe what happened on that date as
- 21 described in those calls.
- 22 | A Yes, ma'am. I read a report that indicated that Alfred
- 23 || Green, on a wiretap, was purchasing several kilograms of --
- 24 | was attempting to purchase kilograms of cocaine.
- 25 \mathbb{Q} And who was he purchasing from, if you recall?

- 1 A Another male by the last name of Lopez.
- 2 THE COURT: Last name of what?
- 3 | THE WITNESS: Lopez.
- 4 | THE COURT: Thank you.
- 5 | BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
- 6 Q And are you aware of whether that individual Lopez is a
- 7 || separately indicted defendant in this -- connected to this
- 8 || investigation?
- 9 A Yes, ma'am, I am.
- 10 | Q And do you recall what quantity Green had requested
- 11 | from Lopez?
- 12 A I believe it started out at five kilos and then went to
- 13 ||six kilos.
- 14 | Q When you say, "went to six kilos", meaning what?
- 15 | A Green requested additional.
- 16 \parallel Q Based on the context of the investigation and agents
- 17 | experience with this, what substance did you understand
- 18 | Green to be trying to buy from Lopez?
- 19 A Cocaine.
- 20 | Q And are you yourself familiar with the voices of Lopez
- 21 | and Green?
- 22 | A No, ma'am, I'm not.
- 23 | Q Were agents familiar with the voices of Lopez and
- 24 || Green?
- 25 A Yes, ma'am, they were.

- 1 || Q And was this pursuant to a wiretap on Green's phone?
- 2 | A Correct.
- 3 Q Was there an issue between Green and Lopez as to the
- 4 | cost of this transaction?
- 5 | A Yes, ma'am. The cost of the transaction was supposed
- 6 to be 73,500 and the wire taps indicated that Defendant
- 7 Green shorted Lopez an amount of money.
- 8 | Q And did they go back and forth about the amount that
- 9 | had been paid versus the amount that that should have been
- 10 | paid?
- 11 | A Correct. They went back -- the wiretaps indicate they
- 12 went back and forth on how the money was packaged and
- 13 | stacked, and the denominations were not the same throughout
- 14 | the stacks, and that was how the total count of 73,500 was
- 15 | shorted.
- 16 $\|Q\|$ And did you yourself actually listen to these calls?
- 17 | A Yes, ma'am, I did.
- 18 \parallel Q Now, I'm going to turn your attention to later that
- 19 | month. Now, to March 29th, 2024 and ask you whether there
- 20 was some kind of seizure of contraband at George Bush
- 21 | International Airport on that date.
- 22 A Yes, ma'am.
- 23 ||Q Briefly describe what led up to that.
- $24 \parallel A$ There was evidence from a wiretap that indicated that
- 25 | Alfred Green was going to have an amount of narcotics sent

```
to Ohio through the airport and with the utilization of a
 1
 2
   police K-9 at the airport who alerted to checked baggage,
 3
   and a search warrant was obtained, and kilogram amounts of
    cocaine were recovered from those suitcases.
 4
 5
        Now, prior to the sniff and the warrant at the airport,
   had law enforcement done any surveillance?
 6
         Yes, ma'am. From the reports I read, the surveillance
 7
    initiated at the individual's house, they maintain
 8
 9
    surveillance to the airport, where they watched them checked
10
    the baggage, and then at that point, a K-9 sniff was
11
    conducted.
12
              THE COURT: Who's "him"? You said, watched him
13
    checked the baggage.
              THE WITNESS: It was -- it's not Alfred Green.
14
15
              THE COURT: Okay.
              THE WITNESS: It's a courier. And I'm not 100
16
    percent positive without refreshing off of the material, the
17
18
   name of the individual.
              MS. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, the next witness is
19
20
    going to testify about this specific incident as to
21
    Defendant Fizer, with exhibits.
22
              THE COURT: Okay.
23
              MS. SCHWARTZ: I'm going to go through it quickly
24
   because I wasn't sure whether we were doing separate
```

hearings or individual, but you'll see more evidence

- 1 | specifically from the next witness.
- 2 | THE COURT: Okay.
- 3 BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
- 4 \parallel Q How many -- so, how many people checked baggage at the
- 5 | airport in connection with this, if you recall.
- 6 A Two individuals did.
- 7 | Q And were there two suitcases?
- 8 | A Yes, ma'am, there was.
- 9 Q How many kilos were recovered collectively from the two
- 10 || suitcases?
- 11 ||A I believe eight in total. Four in each suitcase.
- 12 | Q And did each of those kilos test positive for cocaine?
- 13 A Yes, ma'am, I reviewed lab results that indicated it
- 14 | tested positive for cocaine.
- 15 \parallel Q Okay. Now, I'm going to skip you ahead to April 10th,
- 16 2024, and ask whether agents observed a meet between Green
- 17 | and Lopez?
- 18 | A Yes, ma'am, they did.
- 19 $\|Q\|$ Briefly describe what you recall about that date.
- 20 | A On that day, I reviewed still photos that showed two
- 21 | vehicles meet up, and there was an exchange of a brown box
- 22 | and a bag, which we believe the box contained kilogram
- 23 | quantities of narcotics, and the bag to contain money.
- 24 | Q And did you also read agents accounts of that
- 25 | transaction and warrant affirmations and so forth?

- A Yes, ma'am, I did.

 Q And prior to arriving at the scene where Green met

 Lopez, where had Lopez been?

 A Went to a house and -
 Q Do you remember the name of the person whose home it

 was?

 A I do not recall the name off the top, but I could
 - refresh my memory off of the material that I reviewed.

 Q Okay. One moment and I will refresh the witness's recollection. I'm going to ask you to look at paragraph 36 of this item, which Counsel has. It's a search warrant for
- 13 Actually, it's paragraph 35.
- 14 A Yes, ma'am.

10

11

12

15

Q And Counsel does have this document.

a property located at 5635 Heather Run Drive.

- 16 | THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
- 17 MS. SCHWARTZ:
- Q Actually, Counsel for Mr. Green has this document. I'm not sure if Counsel for Mr. Fizer does, but I do have an extra copy.
- 21 MR. GAITHER: Heather Green?
- 22 MS. SCHWARTZ: Pardon me?
- 23 | MR. GAITHER: Are you talking about Heather Green?
- 24 MS. SCHWARTZ: Heather Run.
- 25 MR. GAITHER: Heather Run. I'm sorry.

- 1 MS. SCHWARTZ: Did I send you that?
- 2 MR. GAITHER: No, you did not.
- 3 MS. SCHWARTZ: Okay.
- 4 MR. GAITHER: That's first I've heard of it.
- 5 MS. SCHWARTZ: The witness is refreshing his
- 6 | recollection by reference to paragraph 35.
- 7 | BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
 - Q Does that refresh your recollection --
- 9 A Yes, ma'am, it does.
- 10 | Q -- Officer Ware? What was the name of the -- of the
- 11 | person whose home Lopez went to prior to the meeting with
- 12 || Green?

- 13 | A Last name with Tingle.
- 14 | Q And he obtained what at Tingle's home?
- 15 A box or a parcel, brown cardboard box.
- 16 Q Skipping ahead slightly. Was a box somehow connected
- 17 | to Tingle ever recovered in this case?
- 18 A Yes, ma'am. At an execution of a search warrant at
- 19 Defendant Alfred Green's house, there was a box that had the
- 20 | postage stamp or that had Tingle's name on it, which was
- 21 | consistent in appearance with the box that was observed in
- 22 | the transaction on that day.
- 23 Q So, when you say posted, are you talking about some
- 24 | kind of mailing label?
- 25 A mailing label. Yes, ma'am, I believe it was a UPS

- that has the address and the name of where the box was being
 shipped to.
 Now, you mentioned photographs of the meet between
 - Q Now, you mentioned photographs of the meet between Green and Lopez.
- 5 MS. SCHWARTZ: May I approach, Your Honor?
- 6 THE COURT: Yes.
- 7 MS. SCHWARTZ: Okay. Let me give one to Counsel
- 8 || for Mr. Fizer.

- 9 MR. FIZER: Thank you, ma'am.
- 10 BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
- 11 | Q Are these the photograph -- are these the photographs
- 12 | that you saw that you understand from the materials you
- 13 | reviewed to represent a transaction between Green and
- 14 | and Lopez on April 10th --
- 15 |A| Yes, it is.
- 16 0 -- 2024?
- 17 | A Yes, ma'am, it is.
- 18 \parallel Q And in that bottom picture marked C, is that a box
- 19 | being given from one to the other?
- 20 A Yes, ma'am, it is.
- MS. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, at this time, I'll move
- 22 | Exhibit 2 in evidence, 2A, B and C.
- 23 | MR. SALINAS: No objection, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Mr. Gaither, since you have a copy, do
- 25 | you have any objection for this?

```
MR. GAITHER: For? Do you mean Exhibit 2?
1
 2
             THE COURT: Yes.
 3
             MR. GAITHER: No, Your Honor.
 4
              THE COURT: All right. It's submitted for
 5
   purposes of this hearing.
 6
             MS. SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
7
         (Government's Exhibit 2A, B, and C received in
8
   evidence.)
   BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
 9
10
        So, now, Officer Ware, let me direct your attention to
11
   April 17, 2024, and ask whether Alfred Green, the Defendant
   in this case, was arrested in Cincinnati on that date?
12
13
       Yes, ma'am, I reviewed documents indicating that he
14
   was.
        And based on the items you've reviewed, briefly
15
   describe what happened leading up to the arrest of Alfred
16
   Green in Cincinnati?
17
18
        Yes, ma'am. Alfred Green and another individual flew
   from Houston into Cincinnati. Another female drove a
19
20
   vehicle from Houston to Cincinnati. Off of the wiretap on
21
   Defendant Green, they believe there to be a large shipment
22
   of narcotics going from Houston to Cincinnati.
23
        Law enforcement officials in Houston notified officials
   in Cincinnati of this event. They conducted and implemented
24
25
   surveillance at the airport, and they observed a female
```

- pickup Alfred Green and another individual, and that
 probable cause was developed for a traffic stop, and they
 were found to be in possession of kilo quantities of
 cocaine.

 Now, in between the airport and the traffic stop, had
- Now, in between the airport and the traffic stop, had there been a -- had the vehicle stopped at any location?
 - A Yes, ma'am. The vehicle did stop at a hotel. Law enforcement officials reviewed video footage inside the hotel.

The two males entered the hotel, each carrying a backpack. They went to the front desk. They booked a room. They immediately left the front desk, went back out into the vehicle, the vehicle left, and that was whenever probable cause was initiated for a traffic stop and a K-9 was utilized for a probable cause for search of the vehicle, and two backpacks, each containing five kilograms for a total of 10 were recovered at that time.

- Q So, the kilos were in the backpacks at the time that the -- that law enforcement recovered them.
- 20 A Yes, ma'am, they were.

THE COURT: Let me ask you a question (indiscernible). Back up. When you said the Defendant went from Houston to Cincinnati, was he in the car?

THE WITNESS: No, sir. The Defendant and another male individual, both flew to Cincinnati, and a --

```
THE COURT: Okay.
 1
 2
              THE WITNESS: -- off of the wiretap they knew a
 3
    car was driving to Cincinnati.
 4
              THE COURT: And this was the car that you saw stop
 5
    at a hotel with --
              THE WITNESS: I didn't see. No, sir, but that law
 6
 7
   enforcement officials saw, pick him up at the airport and go
    to the hotel.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Okay.
10
              THE WITNESS: It had Texas registration on them.
              THE COURT: Okay. Pick the Defendant at the
11
12
   airport, then went to the hotel?
13
              THE WITNESS: Correct.
14
              THE COURT: Okay. And that's where the two -- the
15
   bags were recovered with the cocaine?
16
              THE WITNESS: In the vehicle immediately upon
17
    leaving that hotel --
18
              THE COURT: After the stop, yeah.
19
              THE WITNESS: -- and there was -- the law
20
    enforcement went in and reviewed footage. They were -- they
21
    went to the hotel, they checked in, immediately left. They
22
   maintained the backpacks the entire time.
23
              THE COURT: Okay.
   BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
24
25
        And were those drugs tested at the lab?
```

- 1 A Yes, ma'am, I reviewed a lab report that indicated
- 2 positive results for cocaine.
- 3 $\|Q\|$ And what was the approximate total weight of the lab
- 4 | verified cocaine?
- $5 \parallel A = 10 \text{ kilograms}.$
- 6 Q Now, on that same date, April 17th, 2024, was a search
- 7 | warrant executed at the Defendant's home in Houston, Texas?
- 8 | A Yes, ma'am, it was.
- 9 | Q And were there items in the home connected to the
- 10 | Defendant?
- 11 | A Yes, ma'am.
- 12 | Q Such as what?
- 13 A Mail was recovered that had Defendant Alfred Green's
- 14 | name on it.
- 15 || Q Addressed to him at that location?
- 16 || A Yes.
- 17 | Q Okay. And did law enforcement find any firearms during
- 18 | that search?
- 19 A Yes, ma'am, they found approximately 11 firearms.
- 20 ||Q| And was there also ammunition for the firearm?
- 21 | A Yes, ma'am, there was.
- 22 | Q Okay. And did law enforcement find any narcotics
- 23 | during that search?
- 24 A Yes, ma'am, they found a large amount of cocaine as
- 25 | well.

- 1 | Q Do you remember approximately how much cocaine that 2 | was?
- 3 A It was approximately -- it was over 500 -- it was in 4 the 500 range, grams of cocaine.
- 5 | Q So, more or less half a kilo?
- 6 A More or less half a kilo, correct.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} And was that also tested to verify that it was cocaine?
- 8 | A Yes, ma'am, I reviewed lab results indicating that that 9 | is what.
- 10 Q Now, were the transactions about the only transactions
 11 involving cocaine sales by Defendant Green that you came
 12 across in reading the background on this case?
- 13 | A No, ma'am.
- 14 Q Did agents tabulate a total amount of cocaine bought by
 15 Defendant Green from Jose Lopez in the months only of March
- 16 | and April 2024?
- 17 A Yes, ma'am, and I read that it was approximately 22 kilograms.
- Q And that was based on the totality of -- for that period of time of the investigative means that they had, including the wiretaps and everything else?
- 22 | A Yes, ma'am, that is what the paperwork indicated.
- Q Okay. And has Alfred Green been convicted on two
 separate occasions of manufacture -- of possession of a
- 25 | controlled substance with intent to manufacture or deliver

```
1 || it in Texas?
```

- 2 | A Yes, ma'am, he has.
- 3 \parallel Q And was he sentenced to six years concurrent on each of
- 4 | those occasions?
- 5 | A Yes.
- 6 Q And does he have -- and were those both in 2020, 2015?
- 7 A Yes, ma'am, that is correct.
- 8 | Q And did he also have an earlier conviction for
- 9 possession within -- for the purpose of manufacture or
- 10 | delivery of a controlled substance in 2007?
- 11 | A Yes, ma'am.
- 12 MS. SCHWARTZ: I have no further questions for the
- 13 | witness. I pass the witness.
- 14 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Cross,
- 15 | Mr. Salinas?
- 16 MR. SALINAS: Yes, Your Honor, if I may.
- 17 | THE COURT: Yes. Proceed.
- 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF RYAN WARE
- 19 BY MR. SALINAS:
- 20 | Q Officer Ware, my name is Joe Salinas, and I represent
- 21 | Alfred Green.
- 22 A Good morning.
- 23 ||Q Just a couple of quick questions. So, you were
- 24 | involved in the search at the hotel, correct?
- 25 A Yes, sir, that is correct.

- 1 Q So, in the first -- the first thing that happened there 2 was the dog, your dog alerted on the black truck, correct?
- 3 A So, that was not the first thing that occurred. But,
- 4 | yes, during the course of that morning, my dog did alert on
- 5 | the black Dodge truck.
- 6 Q Okay. You hadn't done anything in the hotel room yet, 7 | right?
- 8 A No, sir. I had not -- the first thing that occurred at 9 the hotel that morning was the execution of an arrest
- 10 | warrant.
- 11 | 0 Execution of the arrest warrant?
- 12 $\|A\|$ Yes, and I was in the parking lot during that time.
- 13 | Q You were in the parking lot when that happened?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q Okay. And so, after they executed the arrest warrant,
- 16 the first thing you did was the dog went through the vehicle
- 17 | first, or did you go through the hotel room first?
- 18 \parallel A No, sir. So, they executed an arrest warrant at the
- 19 | hotel, and they indicated that the Defendant was in custody
- 20 | and that the scene was safe and secure.
- 21 At that point, I deployed my dog in the parking lot and
- 22 | conducted an open-air sniff, and my dog alerted onto the
- 23 | Defendant's truck.
- 24 Q Okay. And when the dog went through the truck, he
- 25 didn't find any drugs, but he alerted to marijuana. Is that

1 || correct?

- 2 A So, upon the search warrant being obtained for the 3 truck, that is, whenever I introduced my K-9 into the
- 4 | interior of the vehicle, where she alerted to the presence
- 5 of one of the five odors that she is trained to detect, I
- 6 can't determine which odor it is, but one of the five odors
- 7 | that she's trained to detect on the center console and on
- 8 | the cup holders, but you are correct. No narcotics were
- 9 | recovered from the truck at that time.
- 10 Q Okay. And you had said that there was a loaded pistol
- 11 | that was founded in the truck at that time, correct?
- 12 | A Correct.
- 13 Q And are you aware of any -- did they run the pistol to
- 14 | see if it was stolen or who purchased the pistol?
- 15 | A I'm unaware of.
- 16 Q Okay. And then, after the hotel room was secure, the
- 17 $\mid \log$ -- you took the dog up to the room and went through the
- 18 | room, correct?
- 19 A The timeline is not exactly correct, but the hotel room
- 20 was secured, and then several hours passed in the
- 21 (indiscernible) of the submittal of an affidavit for a
- 22 | search warrant of the hotel. I did not introduce my dog
- 23 | into the hotel until the search warrant was obtained.
- 24 | Q Okay. As far as you -- are you aware of whether or not
- 25 | they ever checked to see who the hotel room was rented to?

- 1 | A I'm unaware of that.
- 2 | Q Okay. Once they obtained a warrant and you took the
- 3 dog into the hotel room -- can we go across -- let's see you
- 4 | indicated that there was some white substance in the tub and
- 5 || it was wet, correct?
- 6 | A Correct.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} Okay. Then there was some money found on the bed, some
- 8 | money found on a nightstand, and what else was found on a
- 9 | nightstand?
- 10 | A black baggie containing narcotics.
- 11 ||Q| Okay. What was found on the desk?
- 12 | A I believe there was -- I would -- I reviewed -- I was
- 13 | there and I reviewed photos that were taken. I believe -- I
- 14 | would like -- I cannot recall exactly what was recovered off
- 15 of the desk.
- 16 $\parallel Q$ Okay.
- 17 | A It's in the paperwork.
- 18 Q Right. In the trash can?
- 19 A In the trash can was rubber gloves and other chemicals
- 20 | that I know to be used as cutting agents in the packaging of
- 21 | cocaine.
- 22 | Q Okay. And then basically testified on the wiretap, and
- 23 | the wiretap was a phone call between Mr. Green and
- 24 Mr. Lopez, correct?
- 25 ||A| That is correct.

- 1 Q Okay. And that phone call basically was a discussion
- 2 | with the purchase of kilos, and then there was an issue
- 3 | about money, correct?
- 4 | A Correct. Yes, sir.
- 5 ||Q And then the next thing you testified to was there was
- 6 | a wiretap on March 29 and that involved the George Bush
- 7 | Airport, correct?
- $8 \parallel A$ Yes, sir.
- 9 | Q And can you please tell me again what the discussion
- 10 was on the wiretap to the airport?
- 11 | A After reviewing the material, it was -- the agents
- 12 | believed that there was going to be a large amount of
- 13 | narcotics being traffic through George Bush International
- 14 | Airport to Ohio.
- 15 Q Okay. And you know who that wiretap -- who the wiretap
- 16 | concerned? Was it Green and somebody else?
- 17 | A It was -- I know it to concern Defendant Green and
- 18 | somebody else.
- 19 ||Q But you don't know who the other person is?
- 20 || A No, sir. I do not recall.
- 21 | Q Okay. And there was surveillance at somebody's home,
- 22 and those individuals were going to the airport. Do you
- 23 | know whose home that was?
- 24 | A The surveillance initiated on Mr. Fizer's house.
- 25 Q Okay. And then I -- did Mr. Fizer and Mr. Green

```
1 | checked bags at the airport?
```

- $2 \parallel A$ No, sir.
- 3 | Q Did they not have any luggage that was checked?
- 4 | A Mr. Green did not have any luggage at George Bush
- $5 \parallel \text{International Airport that I'm aware of on that date.}$
- 6 Q Okay. But you testified that both -- is it Mr. Fizer
- 7 | and Mr. Green that flew to Ohio?
- 8 THE COURT: Your Honor, I think we're confusing
- 9 dates. It might -- if Counsel wants to proceed, that's
- 10 | fine, but if I can detangle it for him, I'm happy to do
- 11 | that.
- 12 MR. SALINAS: That's fine, Your Honor.
- 13 | THE COURT: Sure, that's fine.
- 14 | MS. SCHWARTZ: The incident on March 29th involved
- 15 | Defendant Fizer and a woman who is not subject to this
- 16 | detention hearing, Alexis Hatchet. The information -- the
- 17 | trip to Ohio involving Defendant Green and another person
- 18 | happened on April 17th.
- So, there were two different ventures to Ohio with
- 20 different characters.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay.
- 22 MR. SALINAS: Okay.
- 23 BY MR. SALINAS:
- 24 \parallel Q Okay. And you had testified that on April the 10th,
- 25 | there was a meeting between Green and Lopez, and it was

- something with a brown box, and a bag containing money. Do you remember that?
- 3 A Yes, there was a transaction brown bag in a bag that -4 In a bag that they believed to contain money. Yes, sir.
- Okay. When you say they believe, are we talking about agents that were surveilling, or are they just speculating?
- 7 A The agents through that conclusion off of their 8 investigative means to include a wiretap that discussed the 9 transaction.
- 10 Q So, was there surveillance in relationship to that 11 wiretap?
- 12 A Correct. These pictures are from the surveillance of 13 that.
- Q Okay. And is that the pictures where the two trucks
 are side by side? I guess, there's a GMC pickup and a Dodge
 pickup, correct?
- 17 $\|A\|$ Yes, sir, it is.
- 18 Q Okay. And that's a meeting between Mr. Green and
- 19 Mr. Lopez, correct?
- 20 A To my knowledge, yes, sir.
- MR. SALINAS: Give me a second, Your Honor, 22 please.
- 23 | THE COURT: Sure.
- 24 BY MR. SALINAS:
- 25 Q Okay. On the April 17th Ohio arrest, a search warrant

- 1 was also executed at a home at 2130 Abiding Grace, Missouri
- 2 ||City?
- $3 \parallel A$ Yes, sir.
- 4 | Q Okay. And do you know whose home that is?
- 5 \parallel A That was -- I do -- if the -- who owns the home, or who
- 6 | -- I do not know. I know that Alfred Green was believed to
- 7 | live there, and there was evidence indicating that.
- 8 \parallel Q Okay. So, on that particular home, there were guns,
- 9 drugs in an envelope with Mr. Green's name on it. Do you
- 10 | know what address the envelope had for Mr. Green?
- 11 | A That address, sir.
- 12 \parallel Q It have the address of the 2130 Abiding Grace?
- 13 || A Yes, sir.
- 14 \parallel Q Okay. But you don't know whose home that is, correct?
- 15 \parallel A I do not know who owns the home. No, sir. If that is
- 16 | your question.
- 17 $\|Q\|$ Yes. Do you -- where did the amount of kilos that
- 18 | Mr. Green purchased from Mr. Lopez come from? Where was
- 19 | that amount calculated?
- 20 Are you referring to the total amount over a time
- 21 | period?
- 22 | Q Yes, the 22 kilos. Where did that come from?
- 23 | A That was a -- that was listed in a -- one of the
- 24 | paperwork that I reviewed that the agents were -- that the
- 25 | gents tabulated, through their investigative means of the

```
surveillance techniques that they had implemented to include pole cameras, wiretaps, physical surveillance, etcetera.
```

- Q Okay. So, basically, that is their best guess as to what was actually purchased based off of pole cameras and
- 5 | Title III wiretap?
- $6 \parallel A \qquad Yes, sir.$
- 7 \mathbb{Q} Now, back on the search warrant for the Comfort Inn,
- 8 Room 316. There were drugs that were found in the room,
- 9 | correct?

3

- 10 | A Correct.
- 11 Q Okay. Do you know if those drugs were ever tested? Is
- 12 there a lab report that will reflect --
- 13 A I am not positive. I cannot recall if there's a lab 14 report that has been completed at this time. There may be
- 15 or they may -- I do not recall at this time.
- 16 Q Normally, anytime you find drugs, you would have a lab 17 report to substantiate that in fact they are drugs, correct?
- 18 A Absolutely. I just do not recall if I have -- if I
- 19 have reviewed that lab report or not. I have reviewed
- 20 | several.
- 21 | Q And was Mr. Lopez arrested in another case?
- 22 A I am -- I'm unsure of the status of him being in
- 23 custody or not. I know that he is a target of the
- 24 | investigator -- or that he was involved in the
- 25 || investigation.

```
But he is not -- he is not charged in this -- in
 1
         Okay.
 2
    this case, correct?
 3
         I do not know.
 4
              MR. SALINAS: Pass the witness, Your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: Any redirect?
 6
              MS. SCHWARTZ: Very briefly.
 7
                  REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF RYAN WARE
 8
   BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
 9
         Based on your training and experience, Officer, can the
10
    odor of narcotics linger in a place after the narcotics have
   been removed from that place?
11
12
        Yes, ma'am, it can.
        And the telephone calls you listened to on March -- for
13
   March 7, 2024, was that one call or multiple calls?
14
15
   Α
        Multiple calls.
16
        And were some -- did some of them appear to be -- well,
   with respect to the timing of any transaction, were they
17
18
   before or after, or some of each?
19
         Some of each. There was a call to arrange the
20
    transaction, and then there was additional calls, as I
21
    indicated, with the purchase amount and the currency being
22
    shorted to Suspect Lopez.
23
              MS. SCHWARTZ: I have nothing further for the
24
   witness.
```

THE COURT: Any recross based on that,

```
Mr. Salinas?
1
 2
              MR. SALINAS: Excuse me, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Any recross based on her questions?
 4
              MR. SALINAS: No, Your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. Podolsky, Mr. Gaither,
 6
   do you have any need to ask questions of this witness?
7
              MR. PODOLSKY: No, Your Honor.
8
              MR. GAITHER: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Gaither, you may proceed.
10
              MR. GAITHER: I believe so.
11
                   CROSS-EXAMINATION OF RYAN WARE
   BY MR. GAITHER:
12
         Officer Ware, I take it you are not asked as part of
13
   your preparation for testimony today to focus on Mr. Deandre
14
    Fizer. Am I correct about that?
15
        The documents that I reviewed do not focus on your
16
    client, sir.
17
        But they do mention his name, or his name comes up from
18
    time to time?
19
        Correct.
20
         So, let me just -- let's get through this and --
21
              THE COURT: Give him one of the microphones or
22
    speak in the microphone. Thank you.
23
              MR. GAITHER: Is that alright? Is that better?
24
              THE COURT: Yeah.
25
   BY MR. GAITHER:
```

- 1 | Q Can you hear me better, Officer Ware?
- 2 A Yes, sir. Thank you.
- 3 | Q All right. First of all, I was handed a search warrant
- 4 || for a property located at 5635 Heather Run. It's pretty
- 5 | lengthy document, and I flipped through it, I did not see my
- 6 | client's name. You had used it to refresh your recollection
- 7 | about something.
- 8 Based on the documents -- let me back up a second and
- 9 | say, your testimony is based in part on your personal
- 10 | experience involvement in certain aspects of this case,
- 11 || correct?
- 12 A That is correct.
- 13 | Q And some of it is based on reviewing documents and
- 14 | interviews and reports and things of that done by other
- 15 | police officers, correct?
- 16 A Yes, sir, that is correct.
- 17 \parallel Q As in relation to this warrant, this search warrant, it
- 18 \parallel appears to me to relate mostly to the Mr. Lopez that you
- 19 | have mentioned. Is that generally correct?
- 20 | A Yes, sir.
- 21 | Q My question is, are you aware and all the documents
- 22 | that you reviewed and all the material that you've looked
- 23 | through, all the conversations that you had with anybody,
- 24 | has there ever been any reference or communication that
- 25 Mr. Fizer has any relation to this Mr. Lopez whatsoever?

- 1 | A Not that I can recall.
- $2 \parallel Q$ And what is marked as Government Exhibit Number 2, and,
- 3 | I guess, it is now admitted for purposes of this hearing.
- 4 | The picture of -- I quess, there's actually three pictures
- 5 || of two trucks side by side, which my understanding is -- am
- 6 | I correct in my understanding that one of those drivers is
- 7 | Lopez and the other one is Green? Did I understand that
- 8 | testimony correctly?
- 9 A That is my -- yes, sir. That is what I believe after
- 10 | reviewing the documents.
- 11 | Q Okay. Do you know just by looking at the photograph or
- 12 | and based on the other information that you've compiled,
- 13 | which truck is Mr. Green's?
- 14 A I would have to go back and look and review the
- 15 | paperwork. I don't want to -- I don't want to guess in my
- 16 | testimony. I would like to review the paperwork.
- 17 Q Would this be the same truck that you search later on
- 18 | at the -- at some hotel?
- 19 | A That's what I would want to go back and review, and the
- 20 | license plate is visible. So, I would like to confirm that
- 21 | before giving it as testimony.
- 22 | Q Do you know whether or not -- and you can't see really
- 23 \parallel in these photographs about inside the trucks very easily.
- 24 | Do you know based on your personal knowledge or knowledge
- 25 | compiled, whether or not there was anybody else present

- during the time that these photographs were taken in the --
- 2 || in the vehicles?
- $3 \parallel A$ From the information that I have reviewed in
- 4 | preparation, I do not know of any if there were or if there
- 5 were not any other occupants in the vehicles.
- 6 Q Okay. But you have no information to suggest Mr. Fizer
- 7 | was involved in this -- whatever it was, this encounter?
- 8 A Not in the paperwork that I reviewed.
- 9 Q All right. Now, specific reference related to your
- 10 | personal involvement in the case. Did you have any personal
- 11 | involvement in what has -- I will characterize as the George
- 12 | Bush Airport incident?
- 13 | A No, I did not.
- 14 | Q Do you have any -- did you -- have you had, or did you
- 15 | have any personal involvement in the arrest of Mr. Fizer?
- 16 $\|A\|$ No, sir, I did not.
- 17 | Q Have you had any involvement surveillance or personal
- 18 || involvement with Mr. Fizer during the extent of this
- 19 || investigation?
- 20 | A No, sir. I have not.
- 21 | Q All right. Going specifically in relating to that
- 22 George Bush incident. You have an understanding of
- 23 | generally of how that went down, right?
- 24 | A That's correct.
- 25 | Q And initially, I believe you testified that it started

- with an information received via a wiretap on Mr. Green, correct?
- 3 A To my knowledge, yes, sir.
- 4 | Q And have you, in preparation for your testimony or in
- 5 | the course of the investigation, listen to those
- 6 | conversation -- that conversation, or those conversations
- 7 | that were the sort of the predicate of that incident?
- 8 A No, I have not.
- 9 \mathbb{Q} Okay. Do you have any understanding of what that
- 10 | conversation was other than there's something he wants to
- 11 | take -- he wants somebody to take a bunch of stuff to Ohio?
- 12 | A That's the extent.
- 13 \parallel Q Okay. Now, you said that there was surveillance --
- 14 \parallel after the phone call, there was surveillance established on
- 15 | individual or individuals, correct?
- 16 | A Correct.
- 17 \parallel Q Who was the surveillance established on if you -- if
- 18 | you know?
- 19 | A Surveillance was established and your client,
- 20 Mr. Fizer, and another individual at a residence in Houston,
- 21 | and it maintained to George Bush International Airport where
- 22 | your client was arrested.
- 23 | Q Okay. So, the telephone conversation, this happened on
- 24 | March 29th, correct?
- $25 \parallel A$ Yes, sir. That is correct.

- 1 | Q Okay. Now, the telephone conversations -- the
- 2 | telephone conversation, do you know if there was one
- 3 | conversation or more than one conversation?
- 4 A I do not know.
- $5 \parallel Q$ Okay. But they were presumably, were they that day or
- 6 | before that day, or do you know?
- 7 A I do not know.
- 8 ||Q So, you don't know -- or was the -- was the
- 9 | surveillance -- to your knowledge, was the surveillance on
- 10 Mr. Fizer established after the phone calls were made?
- 11 | A I do not know when it was established.
- 12 | Q All right. You testified about Mr. Green making a trip
- 13 | to Cincinnati on or about April 10. Am I -- have I got that
- 14 || right?
- 15 | A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q Do you have any information, or do you have any kind of
- 17 | understanding that Mr. Fizer was involved with that, or
- 18 | related to that in any matter?
- 19 A Not that I know of.
- 20 | Q Do you -- are you familiar with Officer Snell
- 21 | (phonetic)?
- 22 | A Yes, sir.
- 23 | Q Is he in the same unit as you are?
- 24 || A Yes, sir. He's a K-9 handler assigned to the Houston
- 25 | Police Department's Narcotics Division, and his assignment

- 1 | is at George Bush International Airport.
- 2 Q Okay. And he handles Ricky Bobby?
- $3 \parallel A$ That is correct.
- 4 ||Q| That's his K-9?
- 5 | A Correct.
- 6 Q Okay. Is there any -- how long have you known him, or
- 7 | how long have you worked together?
- 8 A Over five years.
- 9 | Q Have you ever had occasion to work with Ricky Bobby?
- 10 A We train together. So, I have seen him and his dog
- 11 | working on numerous occasions.
- 12 | Q All right. He receives pretty much the same training
- 13 | that you do?
- 14 | A Correct.
- 15 ||Q| And that training is through HPD?
- 16 |A| Yes, sir, and we're certified through a third party.
- 17 | Q Through, I'm sorry, what?
- 18 | A A third party.
- 19 || Q What third party?
- 20 | A The National Narcotics Detection Dog Association.
- 21 | Q And does that certification require a certain regiment
- 22 | that you have to go through, a test that has to be passed
- 23 | and things of that nature?
- 24 || A Correct.
- 25 Q Now, in terms of -- you mentioned that Krokusz is

- 1 | trained to alert on certain narcotics.
- 2 | A That is correct.
- 3 $\|Q\|$ But the alert is such that it does not distinguish
- 4 | between each narcotic, right?
- 5 | A That is correct.
- 6 Q And that would presumably be -- or would I be
- 7 | reasonable to assume that that's typically the -- or that's
- 8 | the same training that Ricky Bobby receives?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 ||Q| And that would be true with him as well?
- 11 | A Correct.
- 12 | Q Okay. What is -- I see -- it looked to be a term of
- 13 | art, but I can't find the word right now. Open sniff, open-
- 14 | air sniff, something like that. Am I getting close?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 $\|Q\|$ Okay. What technically is it called?
- 17 | A It's technically calling an open-air sniff.
- 18 Q Okay. It was pretty close. Okay. Now, what is that?
- 19 $\|A\|$ It is a -- it is a deployment of your K-9 in an open
- 20 environment that people are free. It's not a secluded area.
- 21 | It is a place that you and the K-9, and any other member
- 22 | would have the right to be at.
- 23 | Q When you say an open area, I mean, are you -- is there
- 24 | any kind of dimension that is part of the criteria for
- 25 determining what -- where that should be utilized?

```
1 \mid A No, sir.
```

- 2 | Q And do you have any kind of understanding based on the
- 3 | information you received how the search of the suitcases at
- 4 | George Bush on that occasion was conducted?
- $5 \parallel A$ No, sir. All that I reviewed was that an alert was
- 6 | obtained.
- 7 ||Q Okay. But have you yourself been involved in airport
- 8 || searches?
- 9 $\|A\|$ Yes, sir, I have.
- 10 | Q Okay. And based on the understanding, based on your
- 11 | testimony earlier, there was surveillance of Mr. Fizer going
- 12 | to the airport, checking in baggage, and then at that point
- 13 | -- at some point in time the bags were seized, or the dog
- 14 was alerted, things of that nature.
- 15 Okay. Now, my question is that, obviously, those bags
- 16 | had already been checked based on your understanding,
- 17 | correct?
- 18 A I am not 100% certain at what point the K-9 alerted to
- 19 | the bags.
- 20 THE COURT: Let me just interrupt you,
- 21 Mr. Gaither.
- 22 MR. GAITHER: Sure.
- 23 | THE COURT: Is your next witness going to cover a
- 24 ||lot of this?
- 25 MS. SCHWARTZ: My next witness is going to cover a

```
lot of this, and there was also a factual error that this
 1
    witness made, which I can bring out on --
 2
 3
              THE COURT: You can bring it out on redirect.
 4
              MS. SCHWARTZ: Okay.
 5
              THE COURT: I just want to make sure that you're
 6
    understanding, Mr. Gaither, that a lot of these questions
 7
    can be addressed by the next witness, I believe.
 8
              MR. GAITHER: Thank you, Judge.
 9
              MS. SCHWARTZ: Not in great detail, but --
10
              THE COURT: Sure.
              MS. SCHWARTZ: -- to more so than this witness.
11
12
              MR. GAITHER: Might I just ask who the next
13
   witness is?
14
              THE COURT: Right.
15
              MS. SCHWARTZ: The next witness is not someone who
    was there, but someone who has reviewed paperwork, Officer
16
17
   Meister.
18
             MR. GAITHER: It's not Snell, right?
              MS. SCHWARTZ: It is not Snell.
19
20
              MR. GAITHER: Okay. This might be my only shot at
21
    some of this, Judge.
22
              THE COURT: Go ahead, proceed.
23
              MR. GAITHER: I appreciate it.
   BY MR. GAITHER:
24
25
         So, that kind of situation, let's assume that the bag -
```

- 1 that the bags have been checked. They go to some location
- 2 | there in the airport, right, in preparation of getting them
- 3 on the plane to take them wherever their destination is,
- 4 | correct?
- 5 | A Yes, sir, but I'm not -- I am not familiar with the
- 6 exact process of once that -- what happens to luggage after
- 7 || it gets checked at the airport.
- 8 Q Okay. So, you don't know how big -- if it was done
- 9 that way, you don't know how big the area was, you don't
- 10 | know how secluded it was, what kind of dimensions we're
- 11 | talking about --
- 12 A I have no clue.
- 13 | Q in terms of where that is?
- 14 | A Correct. I have no clue.
- 15 || Q That would matter in terms of how the dog handles his
- 16 | business, would it not?
- 17 | A It --
- 18 $\|Q\|$ I guess, my question is, don't the dogs have a range?
- 19 A There's a lot of variables in working your dog that
- 20 | includes airflow, dimensions and everything else, but I have
- 21 | -- I have no idea what the specifics of this alert
- 22 | contained.
- 23 ||Q I understand. I'm just talking generally here in terms
- 24 ||of what you would expect, in terms of just natural
- 25 | protocols. The smaller the room, you know, the less -- the

1 less air there is to deal with, right?

And, of course, you that -- I mean, the air conditioning may be going and things like that. You talk about air flow, but it matters -- the amount of room the dog has to work does make a difference in whether or not they are more apt to alert or focus on certain things, correct?

A Our training -- our training includes small -- small areas to open fields. So, our dogs are trained to search and search for the five odors in a wide variety of environments.

Q And that includes -- does that include currency?

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gaither, I'm going to interrupt you here. I don't see how this is going to be relevant to detention. We're not here on the expert of

MR. GAITHER: I understand, Judge.

Daubert hearing on their training of the K-9s.

17 BY MR. GAITHER:

Q All right. Then, if I may have just a moment. Do you have -- dating back to the -- or going back to the -- for the George Bush Airport while Mr. Fizer was under surveillance. Do you have any kind of understanding as to where or to whether he met with Mr. Green?

A Not that I can recall.

Q Do you have any kind of understanding as to where the packages that were in the suitcases were packed?

- 1 A Not that I know of.
- $2 \parallel Q$ During the time that he was under surveillance, did
- 3 Mr. Fizer go anywhere or meet anyone after that initial
- 4 phone call or phone calls?
- 5 | A I am not entirely briefed on when the surveillance was
- 6 | implemented after the completion of the phone call.
- 7 | Q All right. And just to make clear, I believe I ask you
- 8 | this, and if I am redundant, I apologize, but you were not
- 9 present at the time of Mr. Fizer's arrest, and don't know
- 10 | anything about his arrest, correct?
- 11 | A I was not present. That is correct.
- 12 | Q And haven't heard anything of there being any kind of
- 13 | disturbance or problems with -- with that -- with them
- 14 | taking him in custody?
- 15 || A Not that I'm -- not that I'm aware of.
- 16 | Q I'm sorry?
- 17 | A Not that I'm aware of.
- 18 \parallel Q Thank you, sir.
- 19 | MR. GAITHER: I believe that's all I have, Judge.
- 20 | THE COURT: Any redirect?
- 21 MS. SCHWARTZ: Yes, just briefly.
- 22 REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF RYAN WARE
- 23 BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
- 24 \parallel Q I believe you testified on cross that Fizer was
- 25 | arrested at George Bush International Airport on March 29th.

```
Are you sure of that?
 1
 2
       No, ma'am. I misspoke and recalled incorrectly to that
 3
   matter.
 4
             MS. SCHWARTZ: I have nothing further.
 5
             THE COURT: Any recross based on that?
 6
             MR. GAITHER: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
 7
             THE COURT: All right. May this witness be
 8
   excused?
 9
             MS. SCHWARTZ: Yes, for the Government.
10
             MR. PODOLSKY: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: And you, Mr. Gaither, may this witness
11
12
   be excused?
13
             MR. GAITHER: Yes, Your Honor.
14
             THE COURT: Mr. Salinas?
15
             MR. SALINAS: Yes, Your Honor.
16
             THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You may step down.
17
             THE WITNESS: Thank you. I appreciate it.
18
        (Witness steps down.)
             THE COURT: All right. Call your next witness.
19
20
             MS. SCHWARTZ: The Government calls Detective
21
   Meister.
22
             MR. PODOLSKY: Your Honor, can I step around the
23
   corner for just a minute?
24
             THE COURT: All right. You need a break?
25
             MR. PODOLSKY: Yes.
```

```
THE COURT: We'll take a five-minute break.
 1
 2
              MR. PODOLSKY: Thank you, Judge.
 3
              THE BAILIFF: All rise.
 4
         (Brief break taken.)
 5
              THE COURT: All right. Is everyone here? Are we
 6
    ready?
 7
              MS. SCHWARTZ: Yes, Your Honor.
 8
              THE COURT: Okay. Call your next witness.
 9
              MS. SCHWARTZ: The government calls Detective
    Jordan Meister-Wile.
10
              MR. SALINAS: I'm sorry. What's that name?
11
              THE COURT: He'll say his name on here.
12
13
              MR. SALINAS: Okay.
              MS. SCHWARTZ: He'll say it better than I did.
14
15
          JORDAN MEISTER-WILE, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN
16
         (Government's witness, JORDAN MEISTER-WILE, takes the
17
    stand.)
18
              THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
19
              THE CLERK: You may be seated.
20
              MS. SCHWARTZ: May I proceed, Your Honor?
21
              THE COURT: Yes.
22
              DIRECT EXAMINATION OF JORDAN MEISTER-WILE
23
   BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
24
        Please tell the Court your name.
25
        Yes, ma'am. So, my first name is Jordan, J-O-R-D-A-N,
```

- 1 | last name Meister-Wile, so it's M-E-I-S-T-E-R hyphen
- 2 | W-I-L-E.
- 3 ||Q| And by whom are you employed?
- 4 | A The Houston Police Department,
- $5 \parallel Q$ And what is your position there?
- 6 | A I'm a homicide detective.
- 7 | Q And about how long have you worked for the Houston
- 8 | Police Department?
- 9 A Little over five years.
- 10 | Q And how long have you been a homicide detective?
- 11 | A Going on about four months.
- 12 \parallel Q And what are your general duties in that position?
- 13 A I intake the homicide cases and investigate them and go
- 14 | through the whole course of the investigation.
- 15 | Q Now, were you involved with the FBI investigation into
- 16 | Alfred Jacoby Green, Deandre Fizer and others, or not?
- 17 | A No, ma'am.
- 18 \parallel Q Have you reviewed materials about that investigation in
- 19 | preparation for your testimony today?
- 20 A Yes, ma'am
- 21 | Q So, let me direct your attention to events of March
- 22 | 29th, 2024. Were agents surveilling Deandre Fizer on that
- 23 | date?
- 24 | A Yes, ma'am, they were.
- 25 | Q And you've reviewed -- and this is based on your review

```
1 | of materials about events of that date. Is that correct?
```

- 2 | A Yes, ma'am.
- 3 \parallel Q Based on the material you have reviewed, did two sets
- 4 of things happen on that day with respect to Deandre Fizer?
- 5 A Yes, ma'am.
- 6 Q What was one of those things?
- 7 | A So, Mr. Fizer was surveilled from his apartment.
- 8 Mr. Fizer and another female had two green suitcases, which
- 9 | they loaded into a white Honda Accord, believed to be an
- 10 | Uber. The two of them went to Bush Airport. Once they
- 11 | arrived there, they self-checked their bags, and at that
- 12 point in time, their bags were removed from the check
- 13 || section.
- 14 | Q And did some kind of -- did something happen to their
- 15 | bags at that point?
- 16 A Yes, ma'am. An open-air sniff was conducted and the
- 17 | dog --
- 18 | THE COURT: I'm sorry. What did you say?
- 19 | THE WITNESS: An open-air sniff was conducted by a
- 20 || K-9 --
- 21 THE COURT: Okay.
- 22 THE WITNESS: -- and it received a positive hit.
- 23 | At that time, the luggage was removed from that area, and a
- 24 | search warrant was obtained.
- 25 BY MS. SCHWARTZ:

```
And stopping there for a second. What led up to the
 1
 2
    surveillance on Deandre Fizer's clothing and luggage?
 3
         So, the day prior on March 28 through a phone tap, a
    call was intercepted from Mr. Green to Mr. Fizer, in which
 4
 5
   Mr. Green advised that he will be picking up approximately
    eight to nine unidentified items and wanted Mr. Fizer to
 6
    deliver them to Ohio.
 7
 8
        Are you confident that Mr. Fizer was a party to that
 9
    call as opposed to Mr. Green and someone else?
10
         I would have to review the documents.
11
              MS. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, as an officer of the
12
    Court, I just feel compelled to make the record clear that
13
   Mr. Fizer was not, to my knowledge, a party to that call,
14
   and --
15
              THE COURT: The call he just talked about
16
   between --
17
              MS. SCHWARTZ: The call he just talked about. It
18
   was between Mr. Green and someone, but I do not believe that
19
   Mr. Fizer was the other party.
20
              THE COURT: This is the call the day before the
    incident?
21
22
              MS. SCHWARTZ: Yes. And I do not want to create a
23
   misimpression in the Government's favor.
24
              THE COURT: Right.
25
              MS. SCHWARTZ: So- --
```

```
1 THE COURT: Okay.
```

- 2 \parallel MS. SCHWARTZ: -- I'm just -- I'm just going to
- 3 $\|$ leave it -- leave the record that way.
- 4 | THE COURT: All right.
- 5 | BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
- 6 | Q But based on the intercepted call, the Government set
- 7 \parallel up interception and the totality of the investigation, the
- 8 | Government set up interceptions out by Mr. Fizer's building?
- 9 A Yes, ma'am, correct.
- 10 | Q Okay. And I'm going to show you Government Exhibit 1
- 11 | for identification. I don't believe you have a copy of
- 12 | this.
- 13 | A Thank you.
- 14 | Q And you recognize what's depicted in Government's
- 15 | Exhibit 1?
- 16 ||A Yes, ma'am, I do.
- 17 \parallel Q And what is that?
- 18 ||A It is a luggage tag belonging to a Deandre Fizer.
- 19 \parallel Q And was that -- is that a tag on one of the two --
- 20 | well, I'm not sure whether you said this already, but when
- 21 Mr. Fizer and the female went to the airport, were there two
- 22 || suitcases?
- 23 | A Yes, ma'am, there were two.
- 24 \parallel Q And was this the tag on one of those two suitcases?
- 25 A Yes, ma'am, it was.

```
1 \mathbb{Q} Is there a name on that tag?
```

- A Yes, ma'am, there is.
- $3 \parallel 0$ And what is that name?
- 4 | A The name is Deandre Fizer.
- $5 \parallel Q$ And does that match the Defendant's name, but for a
- 6 | missing letter?

- 7 A Yes, ma'am, it does.
- 8 \parallel Q The letter being the last letter of his first name?
- 9 A Yes, ma'am.
- 10 \parallel Q All right.
- 11 | THE COURT: Hold on. Just -- where's the -- I
- 12 | don't see that. Where's the name?
- 13 | MR. GAITHER: It's at the very bottom, Judge.
- 14 | THE COURT: Oh, I see. Okay. Thank you.
- 15 | MS. SCHWARTZ: And I'll move Exhibit 1 in evidence
- 16 | for this hearing.
- 17 | THE COURT: Any objection?
- 18 MR. GAITHER: No objection.
- 19 THE COURT: All right. Admitted for purpose of
- 20 | this hearing.
- 21 | (Government's Exhibit 1 received in evidence.)
- 22 BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
- 23 \parallel Q After the search warrant was obtained, were the two
- 24 | suitcases opened?
- 25 A Yes, ma'am, they were.

- 1 | Q And what was found inside the two suitcases?
- 2 | A There were four objects located in each suitcase
- 3 | believed to be narcotics.
- 4 | Q And were those objects tested and determined to be
- 5 || narcotics?
- 6 A Yes, ma'am, they were.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} And what narcotic substance were they?
- 8 | A Cocaine.
- 9 \parallel Q And approximately what was the weight collectively of
- 10 | the eight objects in the two suitcases?
- 11 | A Approximately eight kilos.
- 12 MS. SCHWARTZ: Okay. I'm going to -- I'd like to
- 13 | approach and show the witness, Government Exhibit 2, if I
- 14 | might?
- 15 THE COURT: Yes.
- 16 MR. GAITHER: We already have a Government's
- 17 | Exhibit 2.
- 18 | MS. SCHWARTZ: Well, that was -- oh, I had
- 19 || marked --
- 20 | THE COURT: Well, just put it -- just write on
- 21 | there, 2A and --
- MS. SCHWARTZ: Let's call this F2.
- 23 | THE COURT: Okay.
- 24 | MS. SCHWARTZ: And the first one will be F1.
- 25 | THE COURT: F1 and F2.

```
MS. SCHWARTZ: Because I had to mark them
 1
 2
   separately for each witness anticipating separate hearings.
 3
              THE COURT: Sure.
 4
         (Government's Exhibit 1 previously marked was remarked
 5
    as Government's Exhibit F1.)
         (Government's Exhibit F2 was marked for
 6
 7
   identification.)
 8
              MS. SCHWARTZ: So, if I may, Your Honor?
 9
              THE COURT: Yes.
   BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
10
        Okay. Handing F2 to the Court and to the witness. Can
11
   you tell us, Detective, what is shown in F2?
12
       There appears to be eight package item consistent with
13
   narcotics.
14
15
         So, is each of those a photograph of one of the two --
   contents of one of the two suitcases?
16
17
       Correct.
   А
18
   0
       Yes, ma'am.
              MS. SCHWARTZ: I'd like to move F2 in evidence at
19
20
   this time.
21
              THE COURT: Any objection?
22
              MR. GAITHER: No objection.
23
              THE COURT: Admitted for purposes of this hearing.
         (Government's Exhibit F2 received in evidence.)
24
25
   BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
```

- 1 \mathbb{Q} Now, was there also a search that day of Apartment 501
- 2 | at 3131 West Loop in Texas -- in Houston, Texas?
- 3 | A Yes, ma'am, there was.
- $4 \parallel Q$ And was that building the same building where officers
- 5 | had begun their surveillance on the defense -- on Defendant
- 6 || Fizer?
- 7 A Yes, ma'am.
- 8 \mathbb{Q} Was a search warrant conducted on that apartment on
- 9 | that day?
- 10 | A Yes, ma'am, it was.
- 11 | Q And was the search warrant a probable cause based on
- 12 the rental of an apartment there using the stolen identity
- 13 |of a Kentucky woman?
- 14 A Yes, ma'am.
- 15 \parallel Q What were some of the things found in the apartment?
- 16 | A Located inside of the apartment, in plain view, was a
- 17 | loaded pistol. There are also documents belonging to
- 18 | Mr. Fizer such as a Texas ID, a Social Security Card, and
- 19 | what appear to be court return documents. There was also
- 20 different items associated with sealing and manufacturing
- 21 || narcotics.
- 22 | Q And when you talk about sealing narcotics, can you be a
- 23 | little more descriptive?
- 24 \parallel A Packaging narcotics in order to have them transported.
- 25 Q Was there some kind of sealing equipment?

- 1 A Yes, there was.
- 2 ||Q| And do you recall where that was in the apartment?
- 3 | A I do not without looking, no, ma'am.
- 4 | Q Okay. Were Fizer -- were Defendant Fizer and the
- 5 | female arrested on that day at George Bush International
- 6 | Airport or not?
- $7 \parallel A$ No, ma'am, they were not.
- 8 \mathbb{Q} What were they led to believe with respect to the
- 9 | luggage?
- 10 A I believe they were led -- that it was -- the luggage
- 11 was lost at that point in time.
- 12 Q Now, let me skip ahead to July 16, 2024. Was there
- 13 | another incident involving Defendant Fizer at the same
- 14 ||airport?
- 15 | A Yes, ma'am, there was.
- 16 Q Describe briefly what happened in the later incident at
- 17 | the airport?
- 18 \parallel A In that scenario, I believe agents had learned that
- 19 Mr. Fizer was traveling from Ohio back to Houston. Once he
- 20 | had arrived to Houston, they had removed his backpack and
- 21 | conducted an open-air sniff, which tested positive, or which
- 22 | hit positive. A search warrant was conducted, and there was
- 23 U.S. currency located inside of the backpack.
- 24 ||Q About how much U.S. currency was recovered?
- 25 A Roughly \$31,000.

- 1 | Q Now, I'm going to direct your attention to Wednesday,
- 2 | August 28, 2024. Just a couple weeks ago, did something
- 3 | happen with respect to Deandre Fizer, Defendant Deandre
- 4 | Fizer, on that date?
- 5 | A Yes, ma'am. A arrest warrant was conducted on
- 6 Mr. Fizer.
- 7 | Q And did agent -- where did -- where did that -- where
- 8 | was that arrest warrant executed?
- 9 A I believe it was 3100 Post Oak.
- 10 | Q And did agents see anything when they went into that
- 11 | location to arrest him?
- 12 | A Yes, they did. They saw a pistol in plain view.
- 13 \parallel Q After seeing the pistol in plain view, did agents
- 14 | obtain a search warrant for that apartment where they had
- 15 || found him?
- 16 | A Yes, ma'am, they did.
- 17 \parallel Q And was in -- what, if anything, were among the things
- 18 | recovered in the search of that apartment at that time?
- 19 | A In that instance, another pistol was located, so two in
- 20 | total, as well as a green leafy substance believed to be
- 21 | marijuana.
- 22 | Q And were those guns loaded --
- 23 A Yes, they were.
- 24 ||Q -- or accompanied by ammunition?
- 25 A Yes, they were loaded.

- 1 | Q Does Defendant Fizer have any prior felony convictions?
- 2 | A Yes, he does.
- 3 | Q Does he have a felony conviction in federal court for
- 4 | being a felon-in-possession of a firearm in 2015?
- $5 \parallel A$ Yes, ma'am, he does.
- 6 | Q And was he sentenced to 75 months in the Southern
- 7 | District of Texas for that crime at that time?
- 8 A Yes, ma'am.
- 9 ||Q| And is that in addition to other felony convictions as
- 10 | well?
- 11 | A Yes, ma'am.
- 12 | Q Now, does he also have -- well, actually, let me go
- 13 | back and say one -- ask one question further on the 29th.
- 14 Do you recall what state, if any, or what location, if
- 15 | any, Defendant Fizer and the female were flying to on March
- 16 | 29th?
- 17 | A Yes. They were flying to Ohio, I believe, Cincinnati.
- 18 | Q So, returning to where I was.
- 19 | Setting aside any Cincinnati case relating to the
- 20 | events of April 17th, does Defendant Fizer have an open
- 21 | Cincinnati case?
- 22 | A Yes, ma'am, he does.
- 23 || Q And I'm going to show you what is marked for
- 24 | identification as Government Exhibit F3.
- 25 | (Government's Exhibit F3 was marked for

```
1 | identification.)
```

- 2 MS. SCHWARTZ: If I may approach, Your Honor?
- 3 | THE COURT: Yes.
- 4 MS. SCHWARTZ: And I have a copy as well for
- 5 | Pretrial because I'm not sure this is in their report.
- 6 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You can hand it to
- 7 Ms. Jones here.
- 8 | BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
- 9 Q Is this a court record or a computer record of a court
- 10 | proceeding that you've seen before as to Defendant Fizer?
- 11 | A Yes, ma'am. It is.
- 12 | Q And does it -- what does it indicate about whether he
- 13 has an open case in Cincinnati, and what the status of it is
- 14 | there?
- 15 A It appears he has an open case as well as four warrants
- 16 lissued for his arrest.
- 17 \parallel Q And what are -- and what was the arrest date in the or
- 18 | the occurrence date in the -- in the Cincinnati case?
- 19 $\|A\|$ It looks like it was filed on December 12th of 2023.
- 20 Q And the charges?
- 21 A One, it reads as alcohol and/or abuse of drugs. The
- 22 | second, driving without a license. The third, stopping
- 23 | after an accident on public roads or highways, and the
- 24 | fourth, a traffic light violation.
- 25 | Q And when was a warrant issued with respect to these

```
1 | charges?
```

- 2 | A On May 3rd, 2024.
- 3 ||Q And as far as you know, is this a current record of the 4 ||status of this case?
- 5 A Yes, ma'am.
- 6 MS. SCHWARTZ: I have nothing further for the 7 witness.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. Let's start with 9 Mr. Gaither. Cross?
- 10 MS. SCHWARTZ: Let me just move that in evidence.
- 11 MR. GAITHER: Yes, Your Honor, as to -- in 12 relation to Government's Exhibit F3, for a limited purpose, but for relevance, for our purposes here today, it is not 13 relevant or immaterial because they have not -- there's no 14 15 indication that Mr. Fizer was aware of this, or that he was 16 aware that a warrant had been issued, why a warrant had been issued. It doesn't say why it is. So, for our purposes 17 18 here today, I would say that it's irrelevant.
 - THE COURT: Well, the rule of evidence don't apply to these hearings. This will be admitted, and you can obviously proffer what you just said, and the Court takes note of that as well.
- 23 MR. GAITHER: Okay.

19

20

21

- 24 | (Government's Exhibit F3 received in evidence.)
- 25 | THE COURT: You may proceed.

```
1 MR. GAITHER: May I proceed?
```

- 2 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
- 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF JORDAN MEISTER-WILE
- 4 | BY MR. GAITHER:
- $5 \parallel Q$ All right. Getting back to your testimony about
- 6 Mr. Fizer's being injected or becoming involved in this
- 7 | investigation from what you have seen and read and whatnot.
- 8 First of all, in terms of your personal involvement,
- 9 personal observations, did you have any kind of personal
- 10 | involvement in the airport incident?
- 11 || A No, sir.
- 12 Q I mean, the first one on March 29?
- 13 | A No, sir.
- 14 | Q The second one in July?
- 15 || A No, sir.
- 16 Q Do you have any personal involvement, any personal
- 17 | observations at the time that Mr. Fizer was arrested on
- 18 | August 28?
- 19 | A No, sir.
- 20 | Q The phone call that was discussed, have you -- what
- 21 | information -- where did you get your information in
- 22 | relation to that phone call about the Ohio -- stuff going to
- 23 | be shipped to Ohio?
- 24 \parallel A It was through a report that I was given.
- 25 Q Okay. You've not had any discussions with anybody

- 1 | about that phone call?
- $2 \parallel A$ No, sir.
- 3 ||Q You have not listened to the -- or you have not
- 4 | listened to those intercepted messages that was based on --
- 5 | that that report was based on?
- 6 A No, sir, I have not.
- 7 | Q I believe Mr. Schwartz said that Mr. Fizer was not a
- 8 | party to that call. So, as you sit here today, do you have
- 9 | any understanding as to how Mr. Fizer became involved in
- 10 | that transaction?
- 11 | A No, sir. I do not specifically.
- 12 Q But is your understanding that he was under
- 13 | surveillance at some point, at least on March 29, correct?
- 14 A Correct. Yes, sir.
- 15 | Q As you sit here today, you have no information as to
- 16 | where the drugs in the suitcases came from?
- 17 A No, sir. Other than from the apartment, but prior to
- 18 | that, no, sir.
- 19 | 0 Because the suitcases were under constant surveillance
- 20 | from the time that they left the apartment?
- 21 A That is correct. Yes, sir.
- 22 | Q But you don't know how long -- when they were at the
- 23 | apartment, how long they were at the apartment, correct?
- 24 A Correct. Yes, sir.
- 25 | Q You don't know whether or not the packages were in the

- 1 suitcases at the time that Mr. Fizer may have picked up the
- 2 | packages that were overheard on the wire intercepts?
- 3 | A Correct, sir.
- 4 | Q And you said that there was a warrant executed that
- 5 | same day on 3100 Post Oak Boulevard, Number 501 -- Unit 501;
- 6 | is that correct?
- 7 | A Yes, correct.
- $\mathbb{S} \mid \mathbb{Q}$ And do you know who is -- who lives in that unit?
- 9 A Mr. Fizer, to my knowledge.
- 10 Q I understand that there were some, or at least your
- 11 || testimony was that there were some documents and things that
- 12 were associated with Mr. Fizer located as a result of that
- 13 | search, but he actually lives in Unit 201, doesn't he, or do
- 14 | you know?
- 15 | A No, sir, I do not know.
- 16 MR. GAITHER: May I approach the witness?
- 17 | THE COURT: Yes.
- 18 BY MR. GAITHER:
- 19 Q You testified, did you not, that at the time of the
- 20 | arrest that there was another search conducted, and there
- 21 were certain things found in Mr. Fizer's apartment at that
- 22 | time?
- 23 ||A Yes, on that date. Yes, sir.
- 24 | THE COURT: I can't hear you. What are you --
- 25 | what are you saying?

- THE WITNESS: I think it's possible that the two
 searches are being confused with each other.
- 3 BY MR. GAITHER:
- 4 | Q Okay. The West Loop is what?
- $5 \parallel A$ The West Loop South was the warrant that was executed
- 6 on May 20, I'm sorry, March 29th.
- 7 $\|Q\|$ I see. Okay. And this one is -- so, his unit, where
- 8 | he lives, is 3100 Post Oak, 201?
- 9 ||A That was where he was arrested, correct.
- 10 Q Okay. All right. So, we're talking about two
- 11 | completely different places?
- 12 | A Yes, sir, that's correct.
- 13 | Q All right. Now, at the time that that warrant was done
- 14 || in March -- okay. On the March incident, Mr. Fizer is
- 15 | allowed to proceed after his bags are confiscated, correct?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 | Q Do you know, or do you have any understanding as to
- 18 | whether or not after that date, Mr. Fizer was continued to
- 19 | be under surveillance?
- 20 | A I cannot speak to that part of the investigation.
- 21 | Q To your knowledge, after that date, there was no
- 22 | regular video surveillance or physical surveillance of any
- 23 | kind, correct?
- 24 | MS. SCHWARTZ: Asked and answered, Your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: Go ahead and answer it, if you know.

- THE WITNESS: Correct. To my knowledge, no.
- 2 | BY MR. GAITHER:
- $3 \parallel Q$ Okay. And the July incident, that's where the --
- 4 | Mr. Fizer was coming back -- oh, by the way, did I
- 5 | understand you correctly, in the March occasion, did I
- 6 understand you correctly to say that your understanding was
- 7 | that Mr. Fizer was traveling to Cincinnati?
- 8 || A Yes, to my belief, yes.
- 9 Q Are you certain of that?
- 10 | A I believe on the luggage tag it says Cincinnati.
- 11 $\|Q\|$ Well, actually on the luggage tag, does it say
- 12 | Columbus?
- 13 | A It may. I may have misspoken.
- 14 \parallel Q Is it possible that in some of the information that you
- 15 | have gone through, you had a chance to review that there are
- 16 | errors in those reports that gave -- that led you to believe
- 17 | that he was going to Cincinnati as opposed to Columbus?
- 18 \parallel A I cannot speak to as far as what they wrote is true or
- 19 | not. I can only speak to what I've read.
- 20 | Q But you read that he was going to Cincinnati?
- 21 ||A I would have to review it one more time to make sure.
- 22 | Q Okay. So, as you sit here, you just don't know?
- 23 A Correct. At this time, no.
- 24 ||Q All right. Now, moving to July. At that point in
- 25 | time, you have no understanding as to whether or not he was

```
1 | under surveillance prior to going to Ohio?
```

- $2 \parallel A$ My part of the investigation, no, sir, I do not know.
- 3 \parallel Q Well, I mean, you were asked to come in and testify as
- 4 | to Mr. Fizer's case, correct?
- $5 \parallel A$ Yes, sir.
- 6 Q And I would imagine that they gave you everything that
- 7 was significant for purposes of allowing you to do so.
- 8 MS. SCHWARTZ: Objection, Your Honor. He would
- 9 | have no way of knowing.
- 10 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- 11 BY MR. GAITHER:
- 12 ||Q Well, wouldn't you have asked to be given all the vital
- 13 | information about Mr. Fizer so that you could testify fully
- 14 | and completely in front of the Judge?
- 15 | A Yes, sir.
- 16 \parallel Q All right. And at least at the time that you were
- 17 || reviewing this, and the time that you were getting prepared
- 18 || for your testimony, you were satisfied that you were given
- 19 \parallel all the information that you needed to testify, correct?
- 20 A From my knowledge, yes, sir.
- 21 | Q All right. Well, if they're holding out on you, you
- 22 | know, I'm not going to hold that against you, but I don't --
- 23 | I don't think that happened. But anyway --
- 24 | THE COURT: Mr. Gaither, I don't want these
- 25 | sidebar comments, please. Please proceed.

- 1 MR. GAITHER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 2 BY MR. GAITHER:
- 3 \parallel Q Okay. In the July incident, you have no understanding
- 4 | that he was under surveillance leading up to that trip,
- 5 || correct?
- 6 A There was some sort of surveillance because they were
- 7 | aware that he was coming from Ohio to Houston. So, there
- 8 was some sort of surveillance, but as far as to what extent,
- 9 \parallel I cannot speak on that.
- 10 | Q Okay. So, you don't know what it was that alerted them
- 11 | whether he was just on some watchlist, and he was picked up
- 12 | at the airport, or if he had been under surveillance, or for
- 13 | how long any of that?
- 14 A Correct. I'm unsure. Yes, sir.
- 15 \parallel Q All right. Is it quite possible that he was tagged at
- 16 | the airport itself, or at one of the airports because he is
- 17 on some watchlist?
- 18 A I cannot speak to that.
- 19 $\|Q\|$ All right. But what you can speak to is that he was
- 20 | coming in from some place to Houston at the time that he was
- 21 | stopped and detained on that occasion, correct?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 ||Q And do you have an understanding as to where it was he
- 24 | was coming from?
- 25 A From Ohio.

- 1 | Q Do you know whereabouts in Ohio?
- $2 \parallel A$ No, sir, not without looking.
- $3 \parallel Q$ All right. If I were to suggest to you that it was
- 4 || from Cincinnati on that occasion, would that refresh your
- $5 \parallel \text{recollection}$, or would you have your reason to disagree with
- 6 | that?
- $7 \parallel A$ I would not have any reason to disagree. No, sir.
- 8 | Q And possibly that is the basis of the confusion about
- 9 | the Columbus on the other occasion, right?
- 10 ||A Yes, sir.
- 11 ||Q All right. Now, have you -- you've been in HPD for
- 12 | five years. Is that correct?
- 13 || A Yes, sir.
- 14 | Q Where were you prior to that?
- 15 $\|A\|$ I've been at three different patrol stations.
- 16 | Q Three different patrol stations?
- 17 | A Yes, sir.
- 18 ||Q Well, I mean, before you -- the patrol station within
- 19 | HPD?
- 20 | A Yes, sir.
- 21 ||Q Well, I'm talking about -- how long have you been with
- 22 | HPD?
- 23 | A For five years.
- 24 ||Q Okay. And before that you were with who?
- 25 A I worked for various places. The last one was a

```
1 | aviation company.
```

- 2 ||Q| Okay. The sort of roundabout way of going to ask you,
- 3 on that July 16th occasion, Mr. Fizer was not found with any
- 4 drugs to your understanding, correct?
- $5 \parallel A$ That is correct to my understanding, yes.
- 6 Q There are no drugs in his baggage. There were no drugs
- 7 | in his vehicle, not on his person, etcetera, etcetera,
- 8 ||correct?
- 9 | A Correct.
- 10 | Q Are you familiar at all, or how familiar are you with
- 11 | Cincinnati?
- 12 | A None at all.
- 13 \parallel None at all?
- 14 | So, you are not aware that they're within driving
- 15 | distance, I'd say 50, 75 miles of the CBD of Cincinnati,
- 16 | there are like 10 casinos?
- 17 A No, sir. I'm not aware of that.
- 18 \parallel Q As conceivable that the currency that was located on
- 19 Mr. Fizer, on that occasion, had nothing to do with
- 20 | narcotics. Is that true?
- 21 MS. SCHWARTZ: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for
- 22 || speculation.
- 23 | THE COURT: Answer if you know.
- 24 | THE WITNESS: I do not know, sir.
- 25 BY MR. GAITHER:

```
1 | Q Okay. You don't have any -- based on your
```

- 2 | understanding of what you have seen, what you have read, you
- 3 | have no reason to say there's no evidence that says that
- 4 | that is definitively narcotics money or money derived from
- 5 | the sale of narcotics, correct?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 | Q You had no personal involvement at the time of
- 8 | Mr. Fizer's arrest, correct?
- 9 | A Correct.
- 10 | Q Have you read anything about that occasion?
- 11 | A Yes, I have.
- 12 \parallel Q Okay. And am I correct in saying that at the time of
- 13 Mr. Fizer's arrest, he was arrested without incident,
- 14 || correct?
- 15 \parallel A Yes, sir.
- 16 \parallel Q Okay. Which means that he didn't put any kind of
- 17 | struggle or anything like that, or you have no reports that
- 18 | there was any kind of resistance or any kind of standoff,
- 19 \parallel or, for that matter, any kind of delay in securing
- 20 Mr. Fizer's custody, correct?
- 21 || A That's correct.
- 22 | Q Do you know -- have you seen anything that indicates
- 23 | that mister -- excuse me, that Mr. Fizer was interviewed at
- 24 | the time of his arrest?
- 25 A I have not seen anything. No, sir.

- Q All right. Do you have any reason to believe that there were attempts to interview him that he was not
- 3 | cooperative with?
- 4 A I cannot speak to that.
- 5 Q As far as you know, based on your understanding and
- 6 things that you've read and material and get prepared for
- 7 | testimony today, at the time of his arrest, he was
- 8 | professional and cooperative -- and by cooperative, I mean
- 9 that he did what he was supposed to do, or what he was asked
- 10 | to do within a reasonable amount of time to satisfy the
- 11 | arresting officers, correct?
- 12 | A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q All right. Now, have you seen anything or read
- 14 | anything, or have any knowledge at the time that he was
- 15 | detained in March of 2024 as to whether or not he was
- 16 || interviewed at that time?
- 17 A I have not seen anything to that. No, sir.
- 18 \parallel Q You have not seen any statements that Mr. Fizer
- 19 | allegedly made during that incident or after that incident?
- 20 | A No, sir.
- 21 | Q Same question as to the July 16th incident. Have you
- 22 | seen any -- have any knowledge -- have you seen anything
- 23 | that indicates that Mr. Fizer made any statements in
- 24 | relation to that incident?
- 25 | A No, sir, I'm not.

- 1 Q And, of course, you have no way of knowing this warrant 2 or this information on the warrant that's marked as
- 3 Government Exhibit 3. Do you know what I'm talking about?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- $5 \parallel Q$ The Ohio thing?
- 6 A Yes, sir.
- 7 | Q You have no way of knowing whether or not there have
- 8 | been any efforts to execute that warrant?
- 9 A I do not know, sir.
- 10 \mathbb{Q} A warrant was issued in May of 2024, and is it fair to
- 11 \parallel say that in July of 2024, that nobody at HPD, or nobody
- 12 | involved in this investigation was aware of this?
- 13 A I cannot speak to what they knew or did not.
- 14 ||Q Well, based on your understanding.
- 15 A To my understanding, no, but I can't speak to what they
- 16 did know or did not know.
- 17 | Q Well, sir, the -- but that's one of the things that one
- 18 | would expect to be in a report that you had reviewed for
- 19 | purposes of preparing to testify. But you said you haven't
- 20 | seen anything that references this, that there's a warrant
- 21 | out of Cincinnati or out of Ohio?
- 22 | A Other than seeing this exhibit, no, sir.
- 23 \parallel Q Right. And same in there -- at the time of his arrest
- 24 || on August the 28th, any of the information that you have,
- 25 | any of the material that you have reviewed, does any of that

- 1 | say that there is an arrest warrant out of Ohio as perhaps
- 2 | part of the basis for the arrest?
- $3 \parallel A$ No, sir.
- $4 \parallel Q$ Okay. So, there is no indication that you know of that
- 5 | anybody at HPD was aware that there was an open warrant out
- 6 on Mr. Fizer, correct?
- 7 A As far as documentation, no, sir.
- 8 Q Okay. Do you have there a copy of the return --
- 9 A No, sir, I do not.
- 10 $\|Q\|$ -- for -- let me finish it.
- 11 A Sorry.
- 12 | Q Return for the items that were recovered at the time
- 13 | Mr. Fizer's -- or I guess, to be technically correct, at the
- 14 | time of the execution of the search warrant following
- 15 | Mr. Fizer's arrest on August 28th?
- 16 | A | I do not have that return. No, sir.
- 17 \parallel Q All right. Do you recall what it was that you
- 18 | testified to that was recovered, or at least was listed on
- 19 | the return of that warrant?
- 20 | A Yes, there was two pistols.
- 21 | Q All right. Anything else?
- 22 | A Believed to be marijuana.
- 23 \parallel Q That was the only substance, or narcotic substance that
- 24 | was found?
- 25 | A Yes, sir.

- Q Okay. Anything else of significance to the investigation in your opinion?
- $3 \parallel A$ From what I can observe, no, sir.
- 4 | Q Okay. On August 28, for example, the return from the
- 5 \parallel August 28 warrant, there was no bagging equipment, there was
- 6 | no sealing equipment, there was no vacuum type equipment
- 7 | that is associated from time to time with narcotics
- 8 ||activity, correct?
- 9 A In that instance, no, sir.
- 10 Q All right. That stuff had been there previously as a
- 11 || result of the warrant that was issued -- that was executed
- 12 | back in March?
- 13 A Correct. Yes, sir.
- 14 \parallel Q All right. So, there's at least some indication that
- 15 | Mr. Fizer was not -- did not have that kind of trafficking
- 16 | equipment or paraphernalia four months after the initial
- 17 | March incident, correct?
- 18 ||A Correct. It was not listed on the return, yes, sir.
- 19 MR. GAITHER: All right. I believe that's all I
- 20 | have. Thank you.
- 21 | THE COURT: Any redirect?
- 22 MS. SCHWARTZ: Just briefly.
- 23 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF JORDAN MEISTER-WILE
- 24 BY MS. SCHWARTZ:
- 25 Q The March warrant and the August warrant were for

```
different apartments and different buildings, correct?
1
2
        Correct. Yes, ma'am.
 3
        And you mentioned in the July incident at the airport,
 4
   I believe you mentioned a search warrant. Did you ever see
 5
   a search warrant in connection with that, or did you just
   surmise that there was a search warrant?
 6
7
         I read in the report that there was a search warrant,
   but I did not see the physical search warrant.
        Okay. And finally, do you know when the wiretap on
 9
   Defendant Green ended with respect to -- as a source of
10
    information on the conduct of Green and his associates?
11
12
        No, ma'am, I do not.
13
             MS. SCHWARTZ: Nothing further.
14
              THE COURT: Any recross based on that,
   Mr. Gaither?
15
16
             MR. GAITHER: No, Your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: All right. Any further questions for
18
   this witness from the Government?
             MS. SCHWARTZ: None for the Government.
19
20
             THE COURT: Mr. Podolsky?
21
             MR. PODOLSKY: No, Your Honor.
22
             THE COURT: Mr. Salinas?
23
             MR. SALINAS: No, Your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: And, Mr. Gaither, anything further
25
   with this witness?
```

```
MR. GAITHER: No, Judge.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. You're
 3
    excused.
 4
         (Witness steps down.)
 5
              THE COURT: Any further witnesses from the
 6
    Government?
 7
              MS. SCHWARTZ: No further witnesses. Two small
 8
   matters.
 9
             THE COURT: Yes.
10
              MS. SCHWARTZ: In the course of the hearing, I
    received an email from Cincinnati that the warrant that I
11
    turned over provisionally has now been unsealed. So,
12
   Mr. Salinas may keep that.
13
              THE COURT: Okay.
14
15
              MS. SCHWARTZ: And second, the witness maybe
    accurately remembering a warrant for July 16th, or he may be
16
    wrong, but as I stand here now, I cannot tell you one way or
17
18
    the other. I had not thought that there was one.
              THE COURT: Something to be fogged out at a later
19
20
    time.
21
              All right. So- --
22
              MR. GAITHER: I just have a clarification.
23
   Warrant on July 16th for what?
24
              MS. SCHWARTZ: For the bag at the airport with the
25
   money.
```

```
1
              MR. GAITHER: Okay.
 2
              MS. SCHWARTZ: I just -- I had not thought there
 3
    was one, but I can't really say that I'm sure that there
 4
    wasn't.
 5
              MR. GAITHER: I've not seen one. There is a
 6
    reference that there was one.
 7
              MS. SCHWARTZ: Okay.
 8
              MR. GAITHER: But --
 9
              MS. SCHWARTZ: Then in that case, my memory is
10
    faulty.
11
              MR. GAITHER: You would tax my memory to pinpoint
    it at this time.
12
              I do have one sort of question. I do not have a
13
    copy of the search warrant information for the 5/29 search.
14
15
              MS. SCHWARTZ: 3/29.
              MR. GAITHER: I'm sorry. 3/29.
16
17
              MS. SCHWARTZ: I have -- because I only very
18
    recently obtained a copy of the warrant for the March 29,
    the witness did not review it, and, therefore, I did not
19
20
    consider it required disclosure for this hearing.
              It was a state warrant rather than a federal
21
22
    warrant, and I was planning to turn it over as in the course
23
    of general discovery once it was unsealed.
24
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
25
              MR. GAITHER: That's fine. I have no problem with
```

```
that if I -- if you can just get it to me, I'd appreciate
 1
 2
    it.
 3
              THE COURT: Sure.
 4
              MS. SCHWARTZ: I certainly will. There was --
 5
    there was a fairly detailed report about the basis for that
 6
    warrant.
 7
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, to defense
 8
   Counsels, anyone planning to call witnesses?
 9
              MR. PODOLSKY: Your Honor, I would just prefer to
10
   proffer the Court.
11
              THE COURT: And that's what I'm going to ask
12
   first. If you-all are proffering, that's great. We'll go
13
    one by one, but I just want to see if anyone's going to
14
   bring a witness first.
15
              MR. GAITHER: No, Your Honor, we do not intend to,
   but we do intend to proffer.
16
17
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Salinas?
18
              MR. SALINAS: No, Your Honor.
19
              THE COURT: All right. So, then, let's start with
20
   Mr. Salinas. You may proffer on Mr. Green.
21
              MR. SALINAS: Your Honor, there was just one
22
    correction on the Pretrial Services Report as far as
23
   page 4, felony possession of a firearm where he received the
24
    tenure deferred that was successfully or early terminated.
25
    So, he is no longer on deferred probation.
```

THE COURT: Right. That says that on the next page, terminated early.

2.3

MR. SALINAS: And, basically, Your Honor, by way of proffer, he is a 35-year-old high school student, graduate in 2007. He has got -- he is single, and he does have two minor children, a two-year-old and a one-year-old.

Employment with Remus Barber Lounge, and he does the Spivey Uptown Promoter (phonetic) afterhours on the weekends. He resides at 9402 Silver Borough Lane in Rosharon. In that home is his mother, his stepfather, the two-year-old, the one-year-old and the defendant. The mother is here today, and the stepfather is here, Rosetta Douglas and Rodney Douglas, and I believe his grandfather is here also.

Rosetta Douglas has been employed for 30 years as caregiver, and Rodney Douglas has been employed 19 years at the Port of Houston. He is a longshoreman. They own a home, which is appraised at \$379,460. They're willing to post the bond should the Court grant the bond for him.

He also has three sisters that are all employed.

A 36-year-old, a 32-year-old and a 30-year-old that are
lifelong residents of Harris County, and they'd be willing
to assist in the bond as well.

So, I would suggest that there is a condition for bond that the Court could fashion, possibly with a GPS

```
monitor and hours that he would have to be at home.
1
              That's all, Your Honor.
2
 3
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
              All right. Mr. -- Defendant Number 3,
 4
5
   Mr. Gaither.
 6
             MR. GAITHER: Yes, Your Honor.
7
             THE COURT: Just going in order of the defendant
8
   numbers.
9
             MR. GAITHER: Yes, Your Honor. I'm going to focus
10
   on those that we're going to proffer to the Court as
11
   potential custodians or quarantors. Lonnie Fizer is here.
12
   She is Mr. Fizer's mother. She is employed by Net Force
13
    Security, and has been for several years, currently
14
    stationed at 1010 Lamar, which is my understanding is a
15
   building that was recently purchased by Harris County and is
16
    in the middle of being transformed into some sort of annex.
17
              She is a security -- she is on the security force
18
   there. She is licensed by the -- by the Tops Agency, which
   is the licensing agency for those kinds of folks. And, she,
19
20
   of course, would be in a position to verify about her
21
   background information that appears in Pretrial report, in
22
   terms of Deandre having been born here in Houston, having
2.3
   lived in Houston his entire life. That she believes that
24
   her son would abide by the conditions that would be placed
25
   upon him as a result of a bond, and would confirm that, to
```

her knowledge, he has never traveled outside the United

States and does not nor has never had a passport to do so.

And so, she doesn't believe he's a risk of flight.

When I confronted her with the numerous instance showing in the Pretrial report of bond revocations and bond forfeitures, and things of that nature, which I may get back to later, but essentially she under -- I mean, she is aware that he has had various problems, and in fact, she has been on some of those bonds herself through the years. But, the bottom line is the -- she at no point in time, was he ever intending or attempting to run, hide or abscond or not ultimately face and as you can see, for the most part, whatever difficulties, ultimately, he was there to face the music.

She has offered to accept the responsibility of the custodian or guarantor. As I mentioned before, she does live in an apartment with another child, and so there is another person that I will get to in a moment that we would kind of proffer as a better situation overall. But she is also aware of, obviously, his grandmother, that Deandre's two children -- he does have two children, one daughter, Ziara and a son, Terrion, who he does not have primary custody over, but he sees on a regular basis, provides financial support for and she has had an opportunity to see him interact with those -- with his kids, and believes him

to be a very good parent, and knows that they are financially reliant on him to some degree.

2.3

Secondly, Tracy Davis, who is Mr. Fizer's cousin, but in talking with her, it kind of comes off as more of a brother sister type relationship, but she has a successful business -- or she runs a business and is successful in that business. Owns a trucking company called, All You Needed Transportation and a tire shop at Colin Reed called Road Runner Services, and she works and conducts those companies while also being the mother to three daughters.

She owns her home, and where she lives with the three daughters. The house has five bedrooms, and she would — and we would proffer that she would accept the responsibility and welcome Mr. Fizer into her home because there — because there's adequate room, and it would not be any kind of inconvenience in terms of that nature.

But I discussed with her at length the obligations of a custodian or a guarantor, and she confided that she is willing to entertain those roles and has confidence -- has every confidence in the world that Mr. Fizer will show up and do what he's supposed to do. And understands that part of that obligation would be that if he doesn't, then, part of that obligation is to notify the Court accordingly and let them handle it, and she is willing to do that.

And we are kind of looking at her, we believe this

2.3

to be a better fit because, number one, it is a -- it is a -- it is more accommodating, and because she is, or at least comes off to me, to be a very structured person, very disciplined person, and I think that that under the circumstances here would be a very healthy environment.

The second part of it is kind of a, you know, possibility, I suppose, and that is that through her businesses, it would also offer an opportunity for him — the kind of businesses that she's in, she can always use more help, and he would be a perfect candidate to do some of the stuff that doesn't require any kind of special expertise around the various shops. And that would keep them busy and also basically allow her to be in some sort of monitoring or supervisory capacity virtually 24 hours a day.

And in relation to -- or speaking of employment, as the Pretrial report notes that Mr. Fizer is self-employed as a barber, and I have contacted -- I've talked to probably half a dozen of his customers to confirm that, number one, and to find out how that exactly works. And as a general rule, he could be what you -- what you call a mobile barber. He doesn't work out of his own shop. He goes to where the business is. And most of the folks are -- yeah, most of the folks that I've talked to have been customers of his in one way or another for at least -- well, somewhere between one and three years.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

notice of.

And of the half dozen or so that I've spoken to, they all speak very highly of his skill and his ability and him as a person, but one struck me in particular, and that was talking to a Ms. Camery Powell (phonetic), and that's because she herself is not a customer, but she takes her kids to Mr. Fizer, and like all of them, they were referred to him by mutual acquaintances, friends, whatever. But Ms. Powell told me that in the course of that relationship, they have actually become friends. She has kids that are about the same age as Mr. Fizer's kids, and so they -- actually their families have socialized on various occasions. And so, she too has had an opportunity to observe Mr. Fizer with his children, and she characterizes him as a wonderful father, very attentive, very loving, very caring to their needs in the times that they were together, and that's on a fairly regular basis. And that's all the proffer that we have, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Podolsky? MR. PODOLSKY: Yes, Your Honor. And, obviously, Judge, I know you have a Pretrial Services Report for the Court. THE COURT: Right. MR. PODOLSKY: Certainly, you'll take judicial

THE COURT: Yes, the Court takes notice of it.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ PODOLSKY: I would like to just point out a few facts regarding that.

As it states, my client was working. He was working loading trucks for -- his father works for Boise Trucking, and he has been working with his father in that capacity, in the trucking business for quite some time. Prior to that, he was employed for three years, you know, operating a forklift. So, he has been employed.

There was a mention of his traveling to Jamaica, and that was confirmed through Pretrials discussions with the father. First, those are family vacations.

He is living -- at the time of his arrest, he was living with his -- with his father and his step -- or excuse me, with his father and stepmother, Curtis Pink and Thea Pink (phonetic). And he is also the primary caretaker of his son, Denzel Junior.

Now, the Court may be thinking, well, you know,

I'm not comfortable with him caretaking for a four-year-old

under these circumstances and in that -- and we have an

alternative for the Court. Denzel Junior is welcome to live

with Tiska Pink (phonetic), who is in court today.

Ms. Pink, if you can just stand. Just there. And she will take care and custody of Denzel Junior, if that's a concern for the Court, and then Denzel will continue to live

at the Sonoma address with Thea Pink and Curtis Pink.

All his family in court today is Rashon (phonetic)
Pink, his mother in court today. She just raised her hand,
Your Honor. Thea Pink, step-mom in the back. His -Belinda, grandmother, excuse me. Tiska Pink, as we've
mentioned, sister, Kendall Richardson, (phonetic). They're
all present in court, all of which have been -- are willing
to sign on to any bond to represent to the Court that they
can be -- that they would assist in any way in notifying the
Court of any issues that come that the Court would -- they
feel the Court would be alarmed about.

The Defendant has employment, cares for his four year old, but we also recognize that the Court may be concerned about access or care taking of a child, and we have an alternative, which we just presented to the Court for that.

With regard to and I understand that the Defendant has criminal history, and I want to point out to something that is in the report, Judge, with regard to his transport of firearm felony from 2010. It says, my understanding of that case is that it alleged a new law violation. I'm not aware of any arrest that was happening during the time that he was on supervised release. There's none mentioned in here that at least I can see it, unless I'm misreading this. I believe there was -- it was technical violations on that,

```
Judge. So, I just wanted to point that out. I don't see any arrest during -- between 2010 and 2012, when he -- it looks like his supervision was revoked, Judge. At least no arrest for any new law violation.
```

We would ask the Court -- I don't need to belabor. The Court is well aware of what conditions, ankle monitor, house arrest and whatnot, the Court has at its disposal to ensure appearance in Court and/or safety in the community. I know the Court is well aware of all them.

I would ask the Court strongly consider a release. It appears to me just based on looking at the Indictment that he is -- in it, it looks like a -- I got this correct, a 14 count Indictment of which my client is only mentioned in one count, which is count 14. I'm sorry. It's a 15 count Indictment, of which my client is mentioned in only one count.

It is a -- it is a 500 grams case with a five-year minimum sentence, Judge. I would ask the Court to consider release to allow him to defend himself properly, assist his attorney in these -- in these charges.

Judge, it is heck of a thing to incarcerate someone, you know, pending the outcome of a case, disposition of a case and (indiscernible) --

I understand that this is what these detention hearings are about. I understand the concept of the

2.3

presumption in this case. Given the evidence in this case,

I would ask the Court to consider release, consider home

confinement, consider an ankle monitor, consider all the

weapons the Court has to ensure the safety of the community

and his appearance in court, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Podolsky.

All right. Brief argument from the Government.

MR. MEGGALI: Your Honor, at the end of the day, a search warrant was executed in his home. He had a felony conviction from as recent as 2016, which is released in 2020, and those firearms, Your Honor, they were not there for decoration. Law enforcement recovered ammunition as well.

The Government is learning right now, for the first time, there's a four-year-old child who resided there. That four-year-old child was endangered not only by his drug trafficking activities, not only by the presence of firearms, but by potential fire fights that might proceed from the drug trafficking activities and the possession of those firearms.

MR. PODOLSKY: Judge, I don't believe that -excuse me to interrupt, but I argue that there's any
evidence that the child was living at that Sonoma address
certainly at the time that any of this happened or any of
this occurred. There's been no evidence of that.

In fact, they asked the witness who was residing at the home, and in the house at the time, and all he said was family members. So, I don't know where that information is coming from.

MR. MEGGALI: If I --

THE COURT: Hold on. Let him finish.

MR. PODOLSKY: The Pretrial Services Report indicates that he has a son that he cares for and I'm just noting that. I just -- if that was a concern for the Court, we can make sure that arrangements are made to make sure that there's some sort of severance between the two upon release.

THE COURT: You may proceed.

MR. MEGGALI: My apologies, Your Honor. So, the Government is not prepared to prove that the son was residing there at the time.

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. MEGGALI: All we know is that he was residing there, his stepmother was residing there, and his father was residing there. Who else could have been taking care of the child, we're not prepared to prove that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. MEGGALI: And as far as the weight of the evidence that the Defendant is facing, we have evidence going back to March 2024 of him ordering cocaine on wiretap.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have several encounters that other law enforcement agents have witnessed, that this law enforcement agent has witnessed. We have an unsolicited pointing at the Defendant from somebody who had just encountered the Defendant after he saw a known drug distributor in the Houston area.

And so, in light of that, the Defendant also poses a risk of flight. We would submit that his April 4th, 2016 conviction does qualify as a serious drug felony. That would lead to an enhancement in his sentence.

We would note that the Indictment that the Defendant is facing right now doesn't include some of the firearms and the drugs that law enforcement seized on August 28th, and therefore those are ripe for a superseding Indictment that the Defendant might end up facing as well.

And so, in light of the volume of evidence that he is facing, in light of the potential for a serious drug felony to aggravate his sentence, and in light of potential superseding Indictments, he does pose a flight risk as well.

And so, we would conclude that given that he hasn't not yet provided the potential third party custodians that he's proposing. And given that a four-year term of incarceration that is pending, neither of those individuals deterred him and the specter of a felony of a federal conviction for drug trafficking or felony possession charges, that specter didn't deter him. We don't think that

```
there's any combination of conditions that would ensure
 1
 2
    safety of community or his appearance at trial.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you. We'll take
 4
    this one by one, since you're just discussing Mr. Pink.
 5
              So, is there anything else you want to add
 6
   Mr. Podolsky that you already hadn't said?
 7
              MR. PODOLSKY: No, Your Honor. And this goes
   without saying, but obviously he could surrender his
 8
 9
   passport --
10
              THE COURT: Sure.
                                 Thank you.
              All right. Further closing argument from the
11
12
    Government.
13
              MS. SCHWARTZ: Yes. I'll turn first to Mr. Green.
14
              THE COURT: Okay.
15
              MS. SCHWARTZ: So, you had not asked at the
   beginning of the basis for the detention hearing as to
16
    Mr. Green. I believe that Mr. Meggali answered only a
17
18
    little moot now since we got --
              THE COURT: I thought he was responding to all
19
20
    defendants, but the presumption does apply to all
    defendants.
21
22
              MS. SCHWARTZ: The presumption does -- that's what
23
    I was going to say.
24
              And their -- I mean, based on the Grand Jury's
25
    test -- the Grand Jury's vote alone as to Mr. Green, there
```

2.3

is probable cause to show that he has committed a drug offense punishable by 10 years or more, and also the 924(c). So, there's a rebuttable presumption both of flight risk and a danger to the community based on the charges. And, of course, the Court has also heard evidence to that effect as well.

In terms of the nature and circumstances of the charged offense, as to Mr. Green, these are very serious charges. He is basically wholesaling drugs that he acquires in bulk from Lopez and then routes onward. It includes interstate transport of drugs dealing over a prolonged period of time. The investigation having lasted, as the one witness said, about a year and a half. The Indictment charges activity from March of 2023 through July of 2024, and he is charged with buying for distribution kilogram weight of cocaine during that time.

Looking only to the 22 kilograms of cocaine that he is believed to have purchased from Jose Lopez and — well, I guess, some of this evidence didn't come out, but I would proffer that six of the eight kilograms that were seized on March 29th had a different source. Based on that, the Government calculated that under sentencing guidelines for those 28 kilograms, that would be a base level of 32. And I'll discuss his criminal history separately, but he's a Category four, and as such, the amount of time he faces for

this crime, even without an enhancement for a leadership role, would be 168 to 210 months. And, of course, that underestimates, in the Government's view, the amount of cocaine that he actually transacted during that period of time.

In addition, because of his prior history, he also faces enhanced minimum sentences, a minimum of 25 to life on a (b)(1)(A) crime.

So, this is -- this is not just one small incident. This is a course of conduct involving large, large volumes of cocaine. There is no question that he is a felon, and he had 11 loaded weapons and half a kilo of cocaine in his home on April 17th, 2024, the same day he was arrested in Cincinnati with 10 kilograms of cocaine. And on the day of his arrest in August of 2024, when he was told and knew there was an arrest warrant for him, I mean, people throughout the hotel could hear that there was an arrest warrant for him, instead of surrendering right away, the evidence shows he flushed drugs down the tub drain.

And the implication, although this officer didn't see it, of the door having been breached, is that he did not voluntarily open the door to law enforcement either. And on that day, as well, he had a loaded gun in his car.

So, these are serious charges, and the charges themselves say something about the history and

characteristic of the Defendant, which I'll get to in a moment.

But in the meantime, in terms of the weight of the evidence, the next factor to consider, this is not a one-time observation, this is not a single search warrant. This was a long-term investigation. The Court has seen -- has in evidence samples of some of the different types of surveillance. You've seen photographs from one date, you've heard about recordings on another. This was a year and a half FBI invest -- year and a half long FBI investigation. The Defendant was captured on wiretaps, photographs, pole cameras and directly observed by agents over the course of more than the year. So, I think it's fair to call this a very strong case.

In terms of the Defendant's history and characteristics, he does have three prior Texas convictions for possession with intent to distribute controlled substance. The most recent of which were two in 2016, as well as additional convictions as well. He has a history of bond forfeiture as found by Pretrial, the 2014 case shows that in the Pretrial report.

There is conflicting information about his employment. He claims to work as a barber, and yet, the Pretrial report indicates that he had not received a barber's license. The Pretrial report indicates drug and

| alcohol abuse.

In addition, he was arrested staying at a motel, not even in a home, which suggests a measure of transients. The record of the hearing does not show it, but I would proffer to the Court that Counsel did ask on Cross, the motel room was rented in the name of his mother and paid for by, if memory serves, FEMA. It had something to do with Hurricane Beryl relief that she was being provided with this hotel room, although agents saw that no apparent damage to the house in Rosharon where the Defendant is now suggesting that he would live.

So, his being in that hotel room was, in some sense, potentially at that point in time, at least a fraud on the federal government as well. In addition, and this also is not in the record, but I'll proffer it to the Court. He was not consistently staying in that hotel room.

One of the reasons that we did a warrant on that room to execute the arrest warrant -- a search warrant on the room to execute the arrest warrant prior to the actual search warrant to search the room for the drugs and so forth. Found in plain view was that he had not been staying in that hotel for very long. He had been at some other motel shortly beforehand. And again, this is the proffer on behalf of the Government that was -- I'm not claiming that this was in the record of the hearing. To that extent, he

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is a transient.

Now, the Government is not denying that he has Houston ties, but it would be the Government's position that his mother has not been able to supervise him to date. he has had the opportunity, you know, to live with her and so forth until this point, and there's nothing in the current circumstances that suggest that she would be able to control -- be able to control him or prevent him from doing anything further.

The Defendant was not on probation or parole at the time of the incident offense, but he does have a history of violating probation in the past, Pretrial, both parole and probation violation, as well as the bond forfeiture I mentioned before.

And, finally, as to danger to the community, the cocaine in itself presents a serious danger to the community but given the Defendant's penchant for possessing weapons and the 924(c) charge showing that the possession was in furtherance, that's for the April date, of the drug trafficking.

And, presumably, and again, this could be the subject of a superseding Indictment because we have not done anything with the evidence seized on the day of the arrests. His possession of a gun on the day of the arrest, even though he did not bring it into the hotel room, suggests

```
that when he is out in the world with drugs, he keeps a
1
 2
    firearm for protection of himself, his drugs, the drug
 3
   proceeds, which include that sum of money in the -- in the
 4
   hotel room. As such that presents a danger to the
 5
    community, both to bystanders and to the other people with
 6
   whom he transacts.
7
              It would be the Government's position that no set
   of -- no set of conditions would be sufficient to prevent a
8
 9
    danger to the community in this case, or a risk of flight
10
   with an emphasis on the danger to the community.
                                      Thank you.
              THE COURT: All right.
11
12
              Mr. Salinas, would you like to add anything to
13
   your proffer for Mr. Green?
14
              MR. SALINAS: No, Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: Okay. And lastly, for Mr. Fizer?
              MS. SCHWARTZ: Yes.
16
```

MR. GAITHER: Go ahead. I'm sorry.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SCHWARTZ: As to Mr. Fizer, it is also a presumption case. In his case, he is also charged both with drug offense having the punishable by more than 10 years, and as well the 924(c). The 924(c) is based on the gun recovered on March 29th. The theory being that he could not take it with him to the airport and therefore had to leave it at home for that trip, but nonetheless, that it was there to protect the inventory of drugs when it was at the

airport.

In terms of the seriousness of the case, the nature and circumstances of the charges, he was in joint possession with the female with him of eight kilograms of cocaine on that date. The circumstances at the apartment made clear that this was not something -- he wasn't just given a suitcase that he didn't know the contents of. He brought it with him from that building, and in that apartment that was rented by him in a false name at that building. There was -- there were items in his name, and there was material there consistent with the packing of suitcases, including luggage tags and so forth, and a sealing machine suitable for packaging narcotics for transport without detection.

To that extent, that's a -- that's a serious amount of -- that's a serious charge, and even though it is substantially less than what Mr. Green is charged with, when one looks at the sentencing guidelines for that amount of cocaine, there is, I believe, if memory serves that that is a base level of 30 without any enhancements. I noted down that the -- his guidelines would be 97 to 121 months based on that amount of cocaine alone. That's holding him jointly responsible for both suitcases as a joint enterprise on that date.

The evidence suggests that on his return from

Ohio, he had the proceeds. He was -- the suitcases were intercepted on one date on the way to Ohio. He was intercepted with a bag on the way back from Ohio on another date, and the strong inference would be that that is -- had the suitcases not been intercepted, he would have been coming back from Ohio with proceeds shortly after the March date, he did not.

The circumstances also suggest that there was some shipment that was not detected that got through and that he was intercepted on the July date coming back with that.

In addition, when you look at both dates, he had a gun in the apartment on March 29th, 2024 and two guns loaded in the apartment on when he -- when that was searched after his arrest on August 28th, 2024. And one can't say that he doesn't know he's a felon and shouldn't possess a firearm because here in the Southern District of Texas in 2015, he was convicted of the offense of being a felon-in-possession of a firearm.

So, if there is the clearest indication of his disregard of this court is that having been convicted of this crime in this court in 2015, he went out in 2024, and committed that crime, essentially two, if you count dates, or three, if you count firearms, additional times.

In terms of his history and characteristics, I've discussed his prior federal conviction. He has a multitude

2.3

of earlier, less serious convictions. He does have an open case stemming from an arrest on July 13, 2020, the Pretrial report indicates that there is no current court date on that. The Government had learned that, in fact, he had a court date on Monday of this week on that case, which I alerted Pretrial to, and I was informed in the course of today's proceedings that they looked it up and that that court date has now been adjourned until November.

So, that case is an active case for engaging in organized crime, which is a serious charge here in Harris County. But notably, he was out on bond for that case. Pretrial even thought he was on GPS supervision for that case although I'm thinking there must be an error there because I'm not aware of any GPS tracker on the Defendant. But in any event, he was on bond. He was out pending that and that did not prevent him from committing both the March crime, and the August crime.

In addition, he's got a history of drug and alcohol abuse Pretrial. He is identified as a member of the Young Scott Block Gang. His residence has been unstable. He had rented the apartment — in the evidence showed here he had rented the apartment in March under a false identity, and Pretrial indicated that he could not explain who the female was that the apartment he was arrested in in August was. So, the strong implication there is that there was

likely identity fraud in connection with his rental of the apartment in August. That's the Post Oak apartment as well.

His mother -- his mother's influence did not prevent him from doing any of these things in the past, and whether his niece/sister -- if her relationship with him is of long standing and like that, then his relationship with her also did not prevent him from doing any of these things in the past. I would also think that a woman with three small children and running her own business would have a limited amount of time to supervise his activities, and as such, the Government believes there is no combination of conditions that would be sufficient to ensure his appearance, even if he doesn't travel internationally.

We know he is comfortable with travel, including the multiple trips to Cincinnati, and this is a large country where one can get oneself lost. But most seriously, the Government does not believe that there is any combination of conditions that could prevent him from being a danger to the community if he were released.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Gaither, do you have anything to add in addition to your proffer previously?

MR. GAITHER: Yes, Your Honor. I would tend to agree with Ms. Schwartz that it is not the mother or the

cousin that would control the behavior of a grown man, but that's where the conditions come in, GPS monitoring, the curfew, and again, the plan that we had proposed sort of includes the provision that Ms. Davis would, in fact, be in a position to monitor him as a -- just in the day-to-day course of her regular duties in her business if he were to go to work there with that.

One of the things about the prior record, in terms of what's showing on the Pretrial report, and specifically in relation to the bond forfeitures and the revocations, on the ongoing case, which have been going on now for five years and so, you know, one has to question, how serious is it if it's going on for five years and there's no activity over there, and that many activity for a year and a half is according to what I've seen on the schedule. But in any event, he was on bond, and there had been what two revocations on that, and each one of those revocations was based not on any violation of the bond but being arrested on matters that ultimately were dismissed for lack of evidence.

And so, I'm not sure that that doesn't overstate what it -- what appears on the page here, and similarly with -- now, this is talk about your double-edged sword here. If you go back to the bond forfeitures, if you look at the timing that is just noted on the Pretrial report, those bond forfeitures were all posted at a time when he was in federal

custody. I'm not exactly sure, you know, how that happened -- how that happens because if you are not able to be there because of some valid reason, that's not supposed to result in a bond forfeiture. But in any event, so you have four things listed there that basically he had no control over.

You know, like I said, he has had plenty of problems, but there are a lot of these things that are showing that have been dismissed. A lot of them were a long time ago, and most of them are nonviolent. You know, the guns aside, you know, that's not a good situation, but it's one of those things that, you know, we just have to deal with, although the ones that we — that are involved in this case, no indication that those were ever used and never taken anywhere. There's no indication that he was in — found in possession of those weapons and the theory that, well, he couldn't take it to the airport didn't mean couldn't take it in the car.

So, anyway, there is a line of demarcation there, and probably of most significance is this, we have basically talked about two incidents, or they presented evidence of two incidents, one in March, one in July. July, admittedly, their agent says we have absolutely no evidence that that involved any kind of drug trafficking whatsoever. So, you can just set that one aside.

In the March one, the question, is there

surveillance on Mr. Fizer at some point, but there is no continued surveillance at least based on the knowledge of the person that they brought to testify to the Court. They don't continue any kind of monitoring. They don't continue any kind of surveillance either up to the -- up to then or to the July incident, or anywhere up to the August incident. And so, you know, you gauge if he's not significant or in their mind dangerous enough to put on any kind of monitoring of some sort, keep an eye on things like that, then just how dangerous is he, in their minds.

And I suggested based on the evidences before the Court, that the best case scenario is for Mr. Fizer be given a bond with the custodian and the plan that we generally describe going in more detail if the Court has inquiries, and we request by Mr. Podolsky, request the opportunity to confront these charges in the most efficient and fullest way possible on behalf Mr. Fizer.

Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you. Yes?

MS. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, I just -- I neglected to mention, and I won't go through all of it, but Government Exhibit F3, the open warrant from Cincinnati, and particularly the nature of the charges in that case, which apparently reading between the lines of the paper or drunkenly or on drugs going through a red light causing an

accident, leaving the scene of the accident and then not returning to Court.

The Government may not have been aware of the warrant at the time he returned, you know, and was stopped in July, but given the timing of the warrant and the initial case, the Defendant should have known that he had a case in Cincinnati and gone back to court, didn't do it there.

That's one of our concern.

MR. GAITHER: Well, Judge, if I can respond to that?

THE COURT: All right. Look -- all right. Who knew what about what warrant, the fact is, this is issued, this is in Ohio, and this is the case that's pending. That's what the Court is knowing. That's what the Court is taking into consideration.

Whether he knew he had a warrant, I'm not going to consider whether he knew, or he didn't know. I'm only considering that there is a warrant.

So, do you have anything further to add, Mr. Gaither?

MR. GAITHER: All the more reason to release him and let him go take care of it now that he knows about it.

THE COURT: All right. Is there anything further from either side?

MS. SCHWARTZ: Not from the Government, Your

```
Honor.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: Anything from the defense, either of
 3
    the defense attorneys or any of the defense attorneys?
 4
              MR. PODOLSKY: No, Your Honor.
 5
              MR. GAITHER: No, Judge.
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 6
 7
              So, to the Defendants, you are all -- the
    Government has moved for detention based on the -- this is a
 8
 9
    presumption case, and the presumption arises based upon a
10
    finding of probable cause that your potential sentence is
11
    subject to a maximum of at least 10 years or more, and all
12
    of you face a potential serious sentence given this
13
    Indictment that involves potentially 10 years or more as a
14
    sentence. So, the presumption does apply in the
15
    detention --
16
              MR. PODOLSKY: Your Honor, I think it's five
17
    years.
18
              THE COURT: It's five to 40, isn't it?
19
              MR. PODOLSKY: Right. That's right.
20
                          So, it involves potentially 10 years.
              THE COURT:
21
              MR. PODOLSKY: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought --
22
              THE COURT: Right. So, it's subject to a maximum
23
    of 10 years or more. He could possibly get 10 years or
24
    more, therefore, the presumption applies.
25
              In a presumption case, the presumption is that
```

there are no condition or combination of conditions that will reasonably assure the appearance of the person as required and the safety of the community.

In a presumption case, the burden shifts to you, the Defendant, to produce only rebutting evidence. The burden of persuasion remains with the prosecutor. If there is some evidence tending to rebut the presumption, the presumption still remains as a factor to be considered, along with the 3142(g) factors.

If there is not sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption, the Court still must consider the factors along with the presumption. If there's no evidence at all to rebut the presumption, then you will be detained.

Merely producing some evidence does not completely rebut the presumption, and the Defendant must produce evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption under both -- under both flight and danger. That's the protocol that the Court has considered each of your cases.

I will address each of one of you separately.

I'll start with Mr. Fizer. With respect to Deandre Marquel

Fizer, Defendant Number 3, and Cause Number 24404.

With respect to the presumption based on the evidence and the testimony today, the Court finds that there are no condition or combination of condition to reasonably assure the safety of the community as required. In other

words, the Court finds that the presumption has not been rebutted with regard to safety of the community.

2.3

Alternatively, if there's -- if there was some evidence to rebut the presumption that the safety of the community, the Court still finds under the 3142(g) factors, by clear and convincing evidence that there are no condition or a combination of conditions to reasonably assure the safety of the community.

In addition, the Court also finds that based upon the evidence and testimony as well as the Pretrial Services Report that there is no condition or combination of conditions a court can impose to reasonably assure your appearance as required in court. And the Court will issue, and for all of you, the Court will issue a written order after today's hearing, outlining the reasons for the Court's order. But, in summary, the Court bases its decision on the following:

For Mr. Fizer the Court notes that the weight of the evidence in this case is strong. The Court takes into consideration that the search warrant -- the firearms that were found in the residence. The Court also takes into consideration the numerous arrests and convictions including arrest for resisting arrest, an arrest for evading arrest with a bond forfeiture in 2012 that resulted in a nine month sentence.

Again, in 2012, it looks like the same incident. You were arrested for unauthorized use of a motor vehicle with the bond forfeiture. You were also sentenced to nine months of confinement. It looks like out of the same instance, but two different cases. You have an assault on a family member in 2013. You have multiple bond forfeitures, and the Court does take into consideration that it looks like those bond forfeitures resulted while you were in federal custody, which is a whole another issue. You were in federal custody and arrested for a felony possession of a firearm in the Southern District of Texas, given a 75 month term of imprisonment, followed by a three-year term of supervised release with numerous petitions for violation of your supervised release alleging new criminal conduct.

In 2020 you have a -- you were arrested in Harris County for engaging in organized crime, which is still pending in Harris County. According to the Pretrial Services Report, you were released on a \$20,000 personal bond in 2020, and your bond was revoked for new criminal conduct, which was aggravated assault with a family member or aggravated assault with a deadly weapon and felony possession of a firearm.

The Court notes you were released on September 30th, 2020, on a new bond, and in 2021, there was a motion to revoke that bond filed for new criminal conduct, and you

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

were continued on bond with GPS monitoring.

The Court also notes that you do have pending warrants out of Ohio for driving while under the influence of alcohol, drugs, failure to stop after the accident.

The Court does note that you are affiliated with a gang. The Court also notes that you were certified as an adult even though the case was dismissed, you were charged with murder.

You have a history of bond forfeitures and committing new criminal offenses while under supervision. You have a history of including evading and resisting arrest of law enforcement. You have numerous arrests, convictions for drug-related and firearms offenses.

This case does involve a large-scale drug conspiracy and the Court notes that the risk of continued drug trafficking, which the Court finds in this case, is a risk to the community, and finds that, according to case law, the drug offenders pose a special risk of flight and dangerousness to the society.

Sir, you reflect a pattern of violent offenses, which include assaults, aggravated assaults, aggravated robberies, firearms. Based on all these reasons then the Court finds that there are no condition or combination of conditions to reasonably assure your appearance as required and the safety of the community and orders you detained

```
pending trial in this case.
1
 2
              Anything further for Mr. Fizer?
 3
              MS. SCHWARTZ: Not from the Government.
 4
              MR. GAITHER: No, Your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 6
              Sir, you're remanded into custody pending trial in
7
   this case. And I'll ask the Marshals, as we resolve these
    cases, to remove the Defendants from the courtroom because I
8
 9
    do have to get into a Grand Jury after this.
10
              MR. GAITHER: Your Honor, may I be excused?
              THE COURT: Yes, sir. Thank you.
11
12
              MR. GAITHER: Thank you.
13
              THE COURT: The Court next turns to Defendant
   Number 1, Alfred Jacoby Green. Cause Number 4:24-cr-00404,
14
15
   Defendant Number 1.
              Again, the Court finds that the presumption has
16
   not been rebutted that will ensure the safety of the
17
18
   community. The Court finds there are no combination -- no
    condition or combination of conditions that will reasonably
19
20
   assure the safety of the community, and to the extent
21
    there's been some evidence to rebut the risk of flight, the
22
   Court finds, still considering the 3142(g) factors, that
2.3
   there are no condition or combination of conditions that
```

will reasonably ensure the appearance of the person as

24

25

required.

The Court, again, will issue a written order after this, but issues this finding for the following reasons:

One, given the nature and circumstances of this offense and the potential lengthy sentence that you're going to be facing. You also have a history of using illicit drugs. You also have 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 -- six convictions for drug-related offenses, and now, you're here on another drug related offense. You also have numerous other arrests for drug-related offenses.

In 2007, you were convicted for a drug-related offense, given probation that was revoked because of new criminal conduct. 2007, you were convicted for a drug offense. Received four years confinement. You were paroled to Harris County. In 2011, new criminal conduct involving drugs which you were convicted in 2011 for drugs, for cocaine. 2013, again, convicted for cocaine. 2014, convicted for manufacturing and delivering cocaine with a bond forfeiture. 2014, received time for possession and intent to distribute or deliver cocaine. 2017, felon-in-possession of a firearm. Ten years deferred adjudication. And there's a 2024, looks like, pending felonies out of Hamilton County, Ohio.

MS. SCHWARTZ: The April 2024 is this incident in Ohio, and they will be dismissing those charges.

THE COURT: Okay. The Court takes note of that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Regardless, you have a history of failing to abide by court orders as evidenced by bond forfeiture and history committing new criminal offenses while on probation. You have numerous, again, convictions for drug-related and firearms offenses. You're involved in a large-scale drug conspiracy now and potentially facing a lengthy sentence. You have a history for violating probation and parole. You're a documented gang member, and your pattern of continuing to engage in drug trafficking is evident. The Court notes, again, continued drug trafficking is a risk to the community and poses a special risk of flight and dangerousness to society. The Court will issue a written order, but the Court finds that there no condition or combination of conditions to reasonably assure your appearance as required and the safety of the community, therefore, orders you detained pending trial in this case. Anything further with respect to Mr. Green? MS. SCHWARTZ: Not from the Government, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Salinas? MR. SALINAS: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. You're remanded, and you're excused, Mr. Salinas. Now, the Court turns to Defendant Number -- on Defendant Number -- Denzel Dwayne Pink. And again, the

Court finds that the presumption of danger to the community has not been rebutted and, therefore, order you detained based on that.

2.3

In addition, to the extent that there's some evidence rebutting the presumption that you will assure your appearance in court as required, the Court considers the presumption along the 3142(g) factors, and still finds, by preponderance of evidence, that you — that there are no condition or combination of conditions to reasonably assure your appearance as required.

The Court bases its findings on the following:

One, the nature and circumstances of the pending

criminal case, your potential for a lengthy sentence. The

fact that you have 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 convictions for drugs,

and that continued drug trafficking again poses risk to the

community and poses special risk of flight and dangerousness

to society.

In addition, your probation was adjudicated in 2008 on a drug offense, and you were sentenced to seven months confinement. In 2010, you were convicted in federal court for unlawfully transporting of firearms. Received supervised release after your term of imprisonment, and that was revoked. It says based on new law violations; I do note that your attorney said that there are technical violations regardless your supervised release was revoked.

| 1 | Even after that, you have continued drug |
|----|---|
| 2 | convictions and arrests, and convictions for unlawful |
| 3 | possession of a firearm by a felon, which you received four |
| 4 | years in 2015. And a conviction for evading arrest, |
| 5 | detention with a motor vehicle. You have a 2021 conviction |
| 6 | for assault of a family member. |
| 7 | You have a history of serious felony convictions, |
| 8 | including evading arrest, detention and drugs. Based on |
| 9 | this pattern of continued drug activity, the Court, again, |
| 10 | finds that there are no condition or combination of |
| 11 | conditions to reasonably assure your appearance as required |
| 12 | or the safety of the community, and orders you detained |
| 13 | pending trial in this case. |
| 14 | Anything further on Mr. Pink? |
| 15 | MR. PODOLSKY: No, Your Honor. |
| 16 | MR. MEGGALI: And nothing further from the |
| 17 | Government. |
| 18 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You-all are |
| 19 | excused. |
| 20 | And I would ask the courtroom to be cleared for |
| 21 | the Court to take in the Grand Jury. |
| 22 | (Proceeding adjourned at 1:12 p.m.) |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | * * * * |

| 1 | I certify that the foregoing is a correct |
|----|---|
| 2 | transcript to the best of my ability produced from the |
| 3 | electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above- |
| 4 | entitled matter. |
| 5 | /S/ MARY D. HENRY |
| 6 | CERTIFIED BY THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF |
| 7 | ELECTRONIC REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS, CET**337 |
| 8 | JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC |
| 9 | JTT TRANSCRIPT #69520 |
| 10 | DATE FILED: JANUARY 27, 2025 |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |